| 1 | Monday, 11 March 2019 | 1 | Q. If there are any problems with the equipment, |
|----|---|----|---|
| 2 | (10.00 am) | 2 | Mrs Mowatt, or if, for any other reason, you just need |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to the | 3 | to take a short break, just say so, and we will have |
| 4 | second week of this public hearing. Mr O'Connor? | 4 | a break. Do you understand? |
| 5 | MR O'CONNOR: Good morning, chair. Our first witness this | 5 | A. Thank you. |
| 6 | morning is Frances Mowatt. As you can see, she is | 6 | Q. Just a few questions about background first, Mrs Mowatt. |
| 7 | giving evidence by videolink. Perhaps if I can | 7 | You now live in Essex, don't you? |
| 8 | establish that we can both hear each other and then I'll | 8 | A. I do. |
| 9 | ask for her to be sworn. | 9 | Q. But previously, you lived and worked in Chester? |
| 10 | MS DOREEN FRANCES MOWATT (sworn) | 10 | A. I didn't live in Chester, but I worked in Chester. |
| 11 | (Evidence given via videolink) | 11 | Q. We don't need to know exactly where you lived, but you |
| 12 | Examination by MR O'CONNOR | 12 | lived just outside, I think? |
| 13 | MR O'CONNOR: Could you give us your full name, please, | 13 | A. About 23 miles, yes. |
| 14 | Mrs Mowatt. | 14 | Q. When you lived outside Chester, you moved there, |
| 15 | A. My full name is Doreen Frances Mowatt. | 15 | I think, or at least you started working for the City of |
| 16 | Q. Mrs Mowatt, I know that you have had the procedure for | 16 | Chester Conservative Association in 1975? |
| 17 | this morning explained to you. I am going to ask you | 17 | A. That's correct. |
| 18 | some questions first and, when I finish, the chair and | 18 | Q. What was your job, what was the title of your job, at |
| 19 | panel may have some questions for you. You have got | 19 | that time? |
| 20 | a bundle of documents in front of you, mainly witness | 20 | A. I was the agent and secretary to the City of Chester |
| 21 | statements, and the chair and panel have got the same | 21 | Conservative Association. |
| 22 | bundles in front of them. So if I ask you to look at | 22 | Q. Now, we are going to talk a little bit more about what |
| 23 | some documents, we will be looking at the same documents | 23 | that job involved, but did that remain your job for some |
| 24 | here in court. Do you understand? | 24 | time, until you left Chester, I think, in 1988? |
| 25 | A. Thank you, yes. | 25 | A. Yes, the beginning of 1988. With additions to my |
| | Dage 1 | | Daga 2 |
| | Page 1 | | Page 2 |
| 1 | responsibilities, the job was basically the same. | 1 | A. Yes, indeed. It was organising voluntary workers for |
| 2 | Q. You have said that that job started in 1975 and, at that | 2 | victory. |
| 3 | time, Peter Morrison was the MP for Chester, wasn't he? | 3 | Q. Having started in 1975, Mrs Mowatt, I think it is right |
| 4 | A. He was. | 4 | to say that you fought, or were involved in fighting, |
| 5 | Q. I think he was first elected the year before, in1974? | 5 | three General Elections during your time in Chester? |
| 6 | A. Yes, indeed. | 6 | A. That is so, yes. |
| 7 | Q. He remained the MP throughout the time that you were | 7 | Q. Those would have been the elections in 1979, 1983 and, |
| 8 | there; he was still the MP when you left in 1988? | 8 | lastly, 1987? |
| 9 | A. Yes, he was. | 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. You mentioned that part of your title and part of your | 10 | Q. The 1987 election was also Mr Morrison's last election; |
| 11 | job being the election agent for the Chester | 11 | he didn't fight the 1992 election, did he? |
| 12 | Conservative Association. That role has some very | 12 | A. I believe not. |
| 13 | specific responsibilities, particularly at the time of | 13 | Q. Can you remember, Mrs Mowatt, when you found out that |
| 14 | a General Election, doesn't it? | 14 | Mr Morrison didn't intend to fight the 1992 election? |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | A. I heard about it when the vacancy was published in 1991. |
| 16 | Q. For example, responsibilities relating to campaign | 16 | Q. In other words, after you had left Chester? |
| 17 | financing? | 17 | A. Several years. |
| 18 | A. Yes. I had to raise a fighting fund to cover all | 18 | Q. I wondered whether you'd found out earlier than that. |
| 19 | expenses of the election. | 19 | Even perhaps during the 1987 election, did Mr Morrison |
| 20 | Q. Was it also part of your responsibility to account for | 20 | perhaps tell you privately that that was going to be his |
| 21 | the way in which that money had been spent? | 21 | last election and he wasn't going to stand again? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I was responsible for making a return of election | 22 | A. No, he didn't. |
| 23 | expenses within the due timetable. | 23 | Q. Can you give us an idea of your relationship with |
| 24 | Q. You were aware of all those responsibilities of being an | 24 | Mr Morrison, please, Mrs Mowatt? You worked with him |
| 25 | election agent at the time that you did that job? | 25 | for some time. How did you get on with him? |
| | | | |
| | Page 3 | | Page 4 |

1 A. We got on very well, yes; professionally, that is. He 1 Q. You mentioned a moment ago that Mr Morrison was popular 2 was a very good constituency member of parliament. For 2 in Chester and you gave some examples of different areas 3 him, nothing was too much trouble for everyone who 3 in society, different groups of people, who found him to 4 approached him for help. The professions in the city, 4 be a good MP. Was that part of your job, if you like, 5 business and commerce, greatly admired him, not only his 5 improving his image and talking to people on his behalf 6 diligence, but for everything that he achieved in the 6 in Chester? 7 7 City of Chester. He was a very popular member of A. No, that wasn't my role. The voluntary workers -- I had 8 parliament. I knew nothing of Westminster, where he branches in every one of the local government areas. Q lived, worked and socialised. Q The voluntary workers were very keen to promote 10 Q. Mrs Mowatt, Mr Morrison obviously spent a fair amount of 10 Mr Morrison, and I kept records, mainly, of their work. 11 his time in Westminster, in the House of Commons? 11 Q. I just want to ask you about a few other people, and 12 A. He did, and he was also, from -- I think it was from 12 just ask whether you knew them. First of all, a man 13 1986, he was vice chairman and was in Conservative 13 called David Robinson, who I think was initially 14 Central Office. 14 a Labour Party agent, and then became the candidate in 15 Q. Would it be fair to say that part of your job between 15 the 1987 election, the Labour candidate. Do you 16 elections was looking after the interests of 16 remember him? 17 the Conservative Party and also Mr Morrison's interests, 17 A. I remember him being the Labour candidate at the 1987 18 political interests, in Chester while he was down in 18 election. 19 London? 19 Q. I am going to ask you some questions about him in 20 20 A. Yes. Largely, of course, on an annual basis, we would a moment, but just moving on, do you remember 21 have local government elections in what was then the 21 Christine Russell? 22 22 A. Yes. Christine Russell, I think she was his agent. Chester Corporation, and also the county council 23 elections, and I was also looking after the interests of 23 Q. In the Labour Party? 24 the Cheshire West European member of parliament, and of 24 A. I never met her. 25 25 Q. I see. course Mr Morrison. Page 5 Page 6 1 A. Yes, in the Labour Party, yes. 1 Q. I was going to ask you what prompted the move, 2 2 Q. What about Grahame Nicholls, Mrs Mowatt? Mrs Mowatt, and I think you may have already given us 3 A. I knew of him. I think he was the Trades Council and 3 some of the answer to that question? 4 the National Union of Public Employees' representative. 4 A. Yes. Happily, over the 50 years that I have conducted 5 5 parliamentary elections, I developed a reputation for But I never met him. 6 Q. You never met him in all the years that you were in 6 winning those elections, and I was headhunted to come 7 7 Chester and doing that job? down to Essex with the specific job of securing the 8 8 A. I never met him, ever. return of a Conservative member to the European 9 9 Q. You have already mentioned, Mrs Mowatt, that you moved Parliament, as the then existing member of parliament was retiring. 10 away from Chester, and that was the time that you moved 10 11 11 Q. You have explained why the people down in Essex wanted to Essex, wasn't it, and you have said it was after the 12 1987 election? 12 you to move down there. Was there anything that may 13 13 have happened in Chester that made you want to leave A. Yes. 14 14 Q. Did you say a moment ago that it was in -- did you say Chester? 15 15 early 1988? A. No. 16 16 Q. Once you had moved down to Essex, and you have described A. Ves. I can't remember exactly when it was, because 17 I was asked -- I travelled backwards and forwards 17 a period when you were back and forth, did you carry on 18 18 having anything to do with Chester affairs after you'd because I was headhunted to go to the Essex South West 19 Euro constituency in the run-up to the 1989 European 19 finally moved to Essex? 2.0 elections, so I was backwards and forwards, but I was 20 A. I encouraged fundraising because we had a constituency 21 21 never employed by the Billericay Conservative office to support, and so it was more on the social 22 22 Association. 23 23 Q. As far as the date is concerned, let's not worry about Q. Mrs Mowatt, I am going to ask you to look at a couple of 24 the exact date. It was sometime in 1988, was it? 24 documents now, but before I do, let me ask you this: the 25 25 chair and panel are going to hear evidence this morning A. It was, yes. Page 7 Page 8

1 about rumours about Peter Morrison that circulated in 1 Now, you were there from 1975 to 1988. Did you hear 2 Chester during the time that he was an MP. What can you 2 those rumours? 3 tell us about what you heard about rumours about 3 A. I didn't. Quite honestly, I don't recognise what she is 4 Peter Morrison's sexual life or private life during that 4 describing. Q. Are you saying you just simply heard no negative rumours 5 5 6 A. I didn't hear any rumours about either his -- what did 6 about Mr Morrison at all? 7 7 A. Correct. you describe it? -- sexual -- sorry, I'm --8 Q. What would you have done if you had heard such rumours, 8 Q. The words I used were -- I asked you about rumours about 9 9 his sexual life or his private life? Mrs Mowatt? 10 A. In the first place, I would have communicated with the 10 A. No, I didn't hear any rumours during the time that I was 11 in Chester. 11 legal department of Conservative Central Office. 12 Q. Were you aware of any policies or guidance at the time 12 Q. Let me just take you one document, Mrs Mowatt, and 13 13 I think it is in tab 6 in your bundle -- it will be for that they might have called on to advise you? 14 14 A. I don't quite follow that question. the chair and panel. The reference is LAB000037. It is 15 Q. Well, let's say, for example, that you heard a rumour 15 the witness statement of Christine Russell, Mrs Mowatt. 16 that Mr Morrison was engaging in illegal sexual activity 16 Do you have that? 17 with teenage boys. Were you aware of any guidance about 17 A. Yes, I have. I have got that in my hand, yes. There is 18 child protection or safeguarding that the Conservative 18 a section of that which I only read this morning when 19 Party might have used to advise you about what to do? 19 the hard copies were brought to me. 20 A. No, I wasn't aware of any such advice. 20 Q. Let's look at a passage of it together, Mrs Mowatt. It 21 Q. If you had heard rumours about Mr Morrison engaging in 21 is on page 3 of the statement, paragraph 6. It is just 22 unlawful acts of that type, would you have contacted the 22 the first sentence or so. Mrs Russell says: 23 police? 23 "Chester was awash with rumours about 24 A. Not -- well, I would have thought Conservative Central 24 Peter Morrison's private life -- his alcoholism and 25 Office would have done that, after my initial approach 25 penchant for young men -- from the early 1980s onwards." Page 10 Page 9 1 to them. 1 look at it, please. About six or seven lines down, we 2 Q. Rumours -- sorry, please say what you were going to say? 2 can see a sentence starting "I do recall", and this is 3 A. I was saying that -- it's difficult for me to comment on 3 Mrs Russell saying: 4 4 something that didn't happen. "I do recall Frances Mowatt, the Conservative 5 Q. Rumours like that can be very damaging electorally, 5 Party's agent in Chester, requesting a meeting with 6 can't they, Mrs Mowatt? David Robinson, the former Labour Party agent and 6 7 A. Yes, and as far as I have read in various documents, 7 prospective parliamentary candidate." these were rife, really, around 1990, and I had moved 8 8 Pausing there, I think we have already agreed he was 9 9 the candidate in the 1987 election, wasn't he? 10 Q. I have just shown you Mrs Russell's evidence which talks 10 A. Yes, but what you have just read out, she is completely 11 11 about these rumours starting in the early 1980s, mistaken. 12 Mrs Mowatt? 12 Q. Are you saying that you never requested a meeting with 13 13 A. Well, as far as I'm concerned, she is mistaken. 14 Q. Do you think, if you had heard these rumours, you might 14 A. I am saying quite definitely I did not request a meeting 15 have spoken to anyone, for example, in the Labour Party 15 with David Robinson. 16 16 and encouraged them to keep quiet about them to protect Q. If I can just carry on reading, Ms Russell mentions that 17 17 Mr Morrison's reputation? Mr Robinson is now dead and so can't tell us what 18 18 A. I certainly would not have done that. happened, but just reading on at the bottom of this page and going on to the next, she says: 19 Q. Since you have got that statement of Mrs Russell open, 19 20 Mrs Mowatt, may I ask you to look further down the same 20 "However, I do recall David Robinson informing me 21 page. It is at paragraph 8. 21 that Frances Mowatt had told him that there would not be 22 A. Yes, that is the paragraph I read for the first time 22 a by-election and that Peter Morrison would not be 23 this morning, and I'm utterly bewildered by it. It just 23 resigning, although 'he was not a well man' (I think 24 didn't happen. 24 those were her exact words as reported by 25 Q. Let's just, so everyone else can follow what's going on, 25 David Robinson) and that he would not be standing at the Page 11 Page 12

| 1 next election." | 1 a liking for young boys; he admitted as much to |
|---|---|
| 2 Does that help you to remember? | Norman Tebbit when he became deputy chairman of |
| 3 A. There was never any suggestion whatever that he would be | the party, but added, 'However, I'm very discreet' |
| 4 resigning or creating a by-election. I can't understand | 4 and he must be!" |
| 5 why this has been said. | 5 Mrs Mowatt, from your evidence earlier, you never |
| 6 Q. Let me ask you about another document, Mrs Mowatt, | 6 heard anything about that? |
| 7 please, and that is in the same bundle at tab 4. Now, | 7 A. It's speculation that Mrs Currie's mentioning. |
| 8 I know this is an extract from Edwina Currie's | 8 Q. I am going to ask you |
| 9 published diaries, I know you are familiar with this. | 9 A. I don't know why she was saying it. |
| 10 A. Yes. | 10 Q. Well, I just want to know whether you knew anything |
| 11 Q. Let's just call it up on screen, please: INQ004107. If | about rumours that Mr Morrison had a liking for young |
| 12 we can zoom in on the middle paragraph, please. This | boys? |
| was a diary entry that Edwina Currie wrote in 1990. You | 13 A. No, I hadn't. |
| will recall, Mrs Mowatt, it is about a conversation she | 14 Q. Let's read on. Mrs Currie says: |
| 15 had with Teresa Gorman? | "She [I think that must be Mrs Thatcher] either |
| 16 A. Yes. | knows and is taking a chance, or doesn't; either way, it |
| 17 Q. Let's look at it and then I am going to ask you about | is a really dumb move." |
| 18 it. What Mrs Currie wrote was: | 18 Then this: |
| 19 "One appointment in the recent reshuffle has | 19 "Teresa Gorman told me this evening (in a taxi |
| 20 attracted a lot of gossip and could be very dangerous: | 20 coming back from a drinks party at the BBC) that she |
| 21 Peter Morrison has become the PM's PPS." | 21 inherited Morrison's (woman) agent, who claimed to have |
| 22 That's Parliamentary Private Secretary, isn't it? | been offered money to keep quiet about his activities. |
| 23 A. It is. | 23 It scares me, as all the press know and, as we get |
| 24 Q. It goes on: | closer to the election, someone is going to make |
| 25 "Now, he's what they call 'a noted pederast' with | 25 trouble, very close to her indeed." |
| Page 13 | Page 14 |
| 1 4ge 13 | 1 age 11 |
| 1 Just taking this in stages, Mrs Mowatt, the | 1 Q. I just want to ask you about one final document, |
| 2 description of a "woman agent" of Mr Morrison's who had | 2 Mrs Mowatt, and that I think you will find behind tab 3 |
| 3 moved from Chester to Essex, where Teresa Gorman had her | in the bundle, and it is CAB000123. Mrs Mowatt, you |
| 4 constituency, sounds like it may well be a reference to | 4 have seen this letter before? |
| 5 you, doesn't it? | 5 A. Yes, in January. It was sent to me by the solicitor to |
| 6 A. That is what the inquiry assumed in contacting me this | 6 the inquiry, drawing attention to one paragraph in it. |
| 7 time last year. | 7 Q. Let's just I am going to take you to that paragraph |
| 8 Q. I know your evidence is that you were never | 8 in a minute, but before we go there, let's just note, it |
| 9 Teresa Gorman's agent? | 9 is dated July 1987, so shortly after the 1987 election. |
| 10 A. That is correct. | 10 It is a letter from a man called Mr Walker at the |
| 11 Q. But the fact is, you were Mr Morrison's, as Mrs Currie | Security Service to the Cabinet Secretary, |
| put it, "woman agent", and you did move around this time | 12 Sir Robert Armstrong. We see that at the bottom of |
| from Chester to Essex, didn't you? | the page, don't we? |
| 14 A. I did. But what Mrs Gorman is saying I would go so far | 14 A. Yes. |
| as to say it's a wicked lie. | Q. If we just look at the very first paragraph, we can see |
| 16 Q. Just to be clear, then well, tell us what the wicked | Mr Walker explaining that he had briefed Mr Morrison, |
| 17 lie exactly is, Mrs Mowatt? | because at that stage he was a new minister, and that |
| 18 A. The wicked part of it is to suggest that I had been | during the course of that briefing, Mr Morrison |
| offered money; and, secondly, merely saying that I was | 19 A. Sorry, you say the first paragraph? |
| 20 her agent is untrue. | Q. Yes. it starts, "On 19 June". Just read to that |
| Q. Well, there may have been a confusion about whether you | 21 yourself? |
| were her agent or not, but your evidence to the inquiry | 22 A. Yes. |
| 23 is that you were never offered money to keep quiet about | Q. In other words, this arose in the context of briefings |
| 24 Mr Morrison's activities? | to new ministers, of which Peter Morrison was one? |
| 25 A. Absolutely not. | 25 A. Yes. |
| | |
| Page 15 | Page 16 |

| 1 | Q. The subject had come up of what Mr Walker described as | 1 | Q. The paragraph then goes on: |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2 | a number of unpleasant rumours that had circulated about | 2 | "Unfortunately, his election agent" |
| 3 | Mr Morrison in recent years? | 3 | That was you, wasn't it, Mrs Mowatt? |
| 4 | A. That is what Mr Walker is saying. | 4 | A. I was the Conservative election agent. |
| 5 | Q. Are you sure that you hadn't heard any of those rumours, | 5 | Q. Yes: |
| 6 | Mrs Mowatt? | 6 | " in a well-meaning but clumsy attempt to spare |
| 7 | A. When I was in the Chester constituency, rumours were not | 7 | Morrison embarrassment, had spoken without Morrison's |
| 8 | circulating. | 8 | authority or knowledge to the Labour candidate." |
| 9 | Q. Or you didn't hear them, anyway? | 9 | That was Mr Robinson, wasn't it, Mrs Mowatt? |
| 10 | A. You could draw that conclusion. | 10 | A. If Mr Morrison is alleging this, he is talking about |
| 11 | Q. Well, what's your evidence, Mrs Mowatt? | 11 | Mr Robinson. |
| 12 | A. That I heard of no such rumours. | 12 | Q. Well, that's precisely what he's saying, isn't it, |
| 13 | Q. You have also said to the chair and panel this morning | 13 | Mrs Mowatt? |
| 14 | that you didn't have a meeting with David Robinson, | 14 | A. Seemingly, but only Mr Morrison knows why he made these |
| 15 | haven't you? | 15 | remarks. I mean, okay, so I was legally appointed the |
| 16 | A. Correct. | 16 | agent, but I had 19 other subagents. It could well have |
| 17 | Q. Let's look at paragraph 5 of the letter, over the page, | 17 | been one of them. |
| 18 | because we see here Mr Walker recording a conversation | 18 | Q. Why would Mr Morrison have been making this up in what |
| 19 | he had had with Peter Morrison, and we see | 19 | must have been what he regarded as a private |
| 20 | Peter Morrison himself mentioning the stories about his | 20 | conversation with a member of the Security Service? |
| 21 | alleged homosexual behaviour which surfaced in his | 21 | A. I don't know. |
| 22 | Chester constituency during the General Election. Are | 22 | Q. Just reading on, we can see that, whoever it was who |
| 23 | you still sure you didn't hear those rumours, | 23 | spoke to Mr Robinson, was a woman. He says: |
| 24 | Mrs Mowatt? | 24 | "She chose to do so in a back street of all places. |
| 25 | A. I didn't. | 25 | Morrison feared that if his agent's approach reached the |
| | | | |
| | Page 17 | | Page 18 |
| | | | |
| 1 1 | wrong ears, it could be misrepresented as an attempted | 1 | Kent? |
| 1 2 | wrong ears, it could be misrepresented as an attempted | 1 2 | Kent? A. That's correct |
| _ | coverup." | 2 | A. That's correct. |
| _ | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson | 2 3 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and |
| 2 3 | coverup." | 2 3 4 | A. That's correct.Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? |
| 2 3 4 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, | 2 3 | A. That's correct.Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham?A. That's correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department I don't want to get too |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. A. Grahame Nicholls. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. Q. Shop steward and convenor. I think it is right to say |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. A. Grahame Nicholls. Q. Mr Nicholls, you are a lifelong trade unionist and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. Q. Shop steward and convenor. I think it is right to say you left the dockyard to become a union official in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. A. Grahame Nicholls. Q. Mr Nicholls, you are a lifelong trade unionist and member of the Labour Party? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. Q. Shop steward and convenor. I think it is right to say you left the dockyard to become a union official in London? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. A. Grahame Nicholls. Q. Mr Nicholls, you are a lifelong trade unionist and member of the Labour Party? A. I am. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. Q. Shop steward and convenor. I think it is right to say you left the dockyard to become a union official in London? A. September 1966. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. A. Grahame Nicholls. Q. Mr Nicholls, you are a lifelong trade unionist and member of the Labour Party? A. I am. Q. You have supplied a statement to the inquiry, and we can | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. Q. Shop steward and convenor. I think it is right to say you left the dockyard to become a union official in London? A. September 1966. Q. That was for a different union, the National Union of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. A. Grahame Nicholls. Q. Mr Nicholls, you are a lifelong trade unionist and member of the Labour Party? A. I am. Q. You have supplied a statement to the inquiry, and we can see from it that you joined the Labour Party when you were 18, when you were living in the Medway towns in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. Q. Shop steward and convenor. I think it is right to say you left the dockyard to become a union official in London? A. September 1966. Q. That was for a different union, the National Union of Public Employees? A. That's correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | coverup." Are you sure it wasn't you who spoke to Mr Robinson and tried to cover up the rumours about Mr Morrison, Mrs Mowatt? A. I am certain. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. That's all the questions I wanted to ask you. I am just going to see if the chair and panel have any questions for you themselves. THE CHAIR: We have no questions. Thank you, Mrs Mowatt. MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mrs Mowatt. (The witness withdrew) MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness this morning is Mr Nicholls. MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed) Examination by MR O'CONNOR MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. A. Grahame Nicholls. Q. Mr Nicholls, you are a lifelong trade unionist and member of the Labour Party? A. I am. Q. You have supplied a statement to the inquiry, and we can see from it that you joined the Labour Party when you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. That's correct. Q. You served an apprenticeship as an engine fitter and turner at the naval dockyard in Chatham? A. That's correct. Q. It was while you were there that you became a shop steward for the Amalgamated Engineering Union? A. That's quite correct. Q. Is it right that, after that, your career developed as a trades union official rather than as an engineer? A. As a shop steward for the engineering union, there were about nine in the department — I don't want to get too complicated, but about nine in the department — and they elected me the convenor of the shop stewards, so I was the senior steward in the naval dockyard until 1966. Q. So that's while you were still in the dockyard? A. That's right. Q. Shop steward and convenor. I think it is right to say you left the dockyard to become a union official in London? A. September 1966. Q. That was for a different union, the National Union of Public Employees? |

| 1 | Q. You worked for NUPE in London for a few years? | 1 | A. Absolutely. |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2 | A. Six and a half. | 2 | Q more or less. Did you actually live in Chester |
| 3 | Q. And then you moved to Chester | 3 | itself? |
| 4 | A. That's correct. | 4 | A. I did, in a place called Hoole. It became part of |
| 5 | Q still working for NUPE | 5 | Chester, I think, in the boundary reorganisation. But |
| 6 | A. Absolutely, yes. | 6 | Hoole, Chester is the official address. |
| 7 | Q in 1974? | 7 | Q. While you were there, you became involved in local |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | politics? |
| 9 | Q. As you had been in London, that was a working as an | 9 | A. It took me about four years, because, having settled in |
| 10 | official for the union? | 10 | Chester, by the time I got used to the organisation |
| 11 | A. A full-time official, yes. | 11 | as I say, I took a family up there and they were all |
| 12 | Q. Did you have an area of responsibility for NUPE members | 12 | very, very young children as well. I didn't have time, |
| 13 | when you were in Chester? | 13 | until around 1979, to actually get involved in politics |
| 14 | A. When I was in Chester, the responsibility I had was for | 14 | in Chester. |
| 15 | the whole of the Social Services Department in Cheshire, | 15 | Q. But, as you say, there did come a time when you became |
| 16 | most of the Education Department and, I'm sure, bits and | 16 | involved? |
| 17 | bobs that's added on, I covered the whole of Cheshire | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | for that. But also, there were two offices of | 18 | Q. One of the things we see from your statement was that |
| 19 | responsibility. We also broke up to have I had | 19 | you were the secretary of the Chester Trade Union |
| 20 | districts in Cheshire itself and I had four of those | 20 | Council? |
| 21 | districts and I had to look after refuse collectors, | 21 | A. That's correct. |
| 22 | street sweepers, et cetera, et cetera. Public sector | 22 | Q. Was that part of your, as it were, job with NUPE or was |
| 23 | workers in local government. | 23 | it a voluntary post? |
| 24 | Q. So your area of responsibility covered the whole | 24 | A. It was a voluntary post I was elected to, because the |
| 25 | county | 25 | Trades Union Council at that time was one man and a dog |
| | D 04 | | D 00 |
| | Page 21 | | Page 22 |
| 1 | and I took over and made it, shall we say, a force to be | 1 | Commission, the chairman, to come down and open it, |
| 2 | reckoned with. | 2 | which was, to us, quite an event. We managed to get on |
| 3 | Q. A force to be reckoned with? | 3 | a number of committees. As I say, we met Peter Morrison |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | on a number of occasions. That was in my role as |
| 5 | Q. Tell us something about what it did and why it was | 5 | secretary of the Chester TUC. |
| 6 | a force to be reckoned with? | 6 | Q. I'm going to come to Mr Morrison in a minute. I'm just |
| 7 | A. To me, one of the main things it did for me was to, | 7 | trying to ask you a little bit more about your role in |
| 8 | first of all, get all the local trade unions into the | 8 | these various organisations? |
| 9 | Trade Union Council | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Mr Nicholls, just bear in mind that the stenographer | 10 | Q. So that was the Trade Union Council? |
| 11 | here is making a note of what you say, so, first of all, | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | if you can try and not go too quickly, but also if you | 12 | Q. What about the local Constituency Labour Party. Did you |
| 13 | can just be clear about what you are saying, so she can | 13 | come to have an involvement in that as well? |
| 14 | record it. | 14 | A. Yes, I became a delegate to the local Constituency |
| 15 | A. The Trade Union Council, we were in fact making we | 15 | Labour Party, the CLP, from my union, the National Union |
| 16 | met with Peter Morrison on a number of occasions to do | 16 | of Public Employees. That was my avenue in. But nobody |
| 17 | with employment matters in Chester because unemployment | 17 | from the Trade Union Council could sit on the Chester |
| 18 | at the time was very high indeed. The leadworks in | 18 | CLP as it was against national TUC rules to do that. So |
| 19 | Chester was closing down. So we met him to discuss | 19 | I came in through the National Union of Public Employees |
| 20 | that. | 20 | and I became the delegate to the CLP, as I would call |
| 21 | We also got all the local firms, in conjunction with | 21 | it, general meeting. |
| 22 | the city council and the Manpower Services Commission, | 22 | Q. Just pausing there, you said the CLP that's the |
| 23 | to organise an event in Chester Town Hall where all the | 23 | Constituency Labour Party? |
| 24 | local employers came and put their wares out and people | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | could come down and see. We got the Manpower Services | 25 | Q. And so you had we don't need the fine detail, but you |
| | | 1 | |
| | Page 22 | | $p_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}$ 24 |
| | Page 23 | | Page 24 6 (Pages 21 to 24) |

1 had a post or a status within that? 1 vote. I didn't really get involved with Peter Morrison 2 2 A. I was delegate to it from my union, and I did become until the 1980s, when I took a more positive role in 3 press officer for the Constituency Labour Party in 3 what was happening in Chester. Before that, I hadn't 4 4 1982/83, and I was the press officer for the done so because I had such a big area to cover. And 5 parliamentary candidate -- I don't want to complicate 5 basically to make my mark. So it wasn't until the 1980s 6 this, but the candidate was a David Robertson. that I became aware -- I knew he was the MP, but 7 O. I'm aware that there were two men, one called I actually became aware of Peter Morrison. 8 David Robertson and one called David Robinson. 8 Q. In the 1980s, then, perhaps with your role with the 9 A. I was David Robertson's press officer for that election, 9 Trades Union Council, what dealings did you have with 10 but I did -- I was also branch secretary of the local 10 him and how frequent were they? 11 ward of Chester Labour Party, the ward were called 11 A. I met him on -- well, not on my own, I met him with my 12 Hoole, and I was secretary for that as well. 12 president. I never met him on my own, when I went from 13 13 Chester TUC. I only met him on my own when I went as Q. Would it be a fair summary to say that you were very 14 much involved in local employment matters and local 14 a NUPE official. I know it's confusing, but that's how 15 politics in Chester throughout the 1970s and '80s? 15 we worked it. When I went with the president, we 16 A. Very late '70s and the whole of the '80s. 16 discussed the unemployment situation in Chester on 17 Q. You moved to Chester in 1974. You have already told us 17 many -- we kept going back on many occasions to see him 18 that. That was, in fact, the year that Peter Morrison 18 because unemployment was so bad, and after he became 19 was elected as MP for Chester. Tell us something about 19 a minister, the leadworks -- they were called leadworks, 20 20 your memory, just in general terms, of meeting him and which was the only industrial complex at all in 21 doing business with him during that period of time? 21 Chester -- closed. Obviously, we went to see him and 22 22 A. In 1974, he knocked on my door where I lived to try and every time, I have to say, he was very thoughtful, he 23 get me to vote for him, but unfortunately for him, I was 23 was very careful, he was very helpful, but actually did 24 still -- had my ballot paper in Bexley in Kent, so -- he 24 nothing. 25 25 Q. I think you were in court when Mrs Mowatt was giving wouldn't have got it anyhow, but I didn't give him my Page 25 Page 26 evidence, and she said words to the effect that he was 1 1 A. Yes. 2 a very well-regarded constituency MP, people in Chester 2 Q. How often did you meet him over that period of, let's say, the 1980s? 3 thought that he did a good job. What would your 3 4 observation on that be? 4 A. The 1980s, I would say a dozen times. 5 5 A. I'd use the expression "she would say that". But so far Q. Sorry, just to be clear, did you say a dozen or dozens? 6 as I was concerned, he was very clever in giving the A. A dozen. 6 7 impression that he was doing a lot, but actually in fact 7 Q. A dozen. 8 he was doing little or nothing. One thing I will say, 8 A. And once when he was with the city council councillors 9 on a personal matter, I did take something up for 9 on an issue which he decided he wanted to sit in on 10 myself, and he was very helpful and he actually did what 10 while I met the councillors, but that was one particular 11 11 I asked. Whether it's because of the positions I held, issue. Otherwise, about a dozen times over that period. 12 I don't know, but he went out of his way to help me on 12 But we had a lot of correspondence -- I should say, not 13 13 correspondence, we had a lot of say in the press to each a particular issue. 14 other. The press at that time, me and him were quite Q. So on that occasion, at any rate, you would agree with 14 15 what Mrs Mowatt said? 15 often attacking each other, so we didn't have to meet. 16 16 A. On that one occasion. But if you talk -- I only met him Q. Just so we are clear, you say you had, as it were, 17 17 to talk about industrial matters or -- I only met him to conversations in the press. Do you mean you would make 18 talk about political matters as such, but on industrial 18 a public statement and he would make some sort of 19 matters, I found him very nice to talk to but absolutely 19 statement in response? 20 unhelpful. 20 A. Yes, and the other way around. 21 Q. Just give us an idea, Mr Nicholls -- we don't need to go 2.1 Q. And reported in the local newspapers? 22 22 into the fine detail. But you have talked a couple of A. Yes, mainly the Cheshire Observer and the 23 times now about your meetings with him on what you 23 Evening Leader, which is a daily evening paper. 24 describe as "on industrial matters" from your -- with 24 Q. Just going back to 1974 for a moment, Ted Heath was the

your trades union hat on?

Page 27

25

25

leader of the Conservative Party at that time. In the

Page 28

| 1 | witness statement that you have given to the inquiry, | 1 | anything. To have an MD like that and to get him in |
|--|--|--|---|
| | , , , | 1 | anything. To have an MP like that and to get him in |
| 2 | you say that it was generally known those were the | 2 | that position, he knew nothing. When he spoke when |
| 3 | words you use that Peter Morrison's mother was the | 3 | we met him on one occasion, he would go off the subject |
| 4 | companion of Ted Heath and that that was somehow | 4 5 | we were there to talk about and he would talk about |
| 5 | involved with him becoming an MP in the first place? | 6 | his he went to Eton and public schools and he would |
| 6 | A. I think all I'm saying is all I knew, that he was the | 1 | start talking about that for no particular reason at |
| 7 | companion to Mrs Thatcher no, to the sorry, he was | 7 | all, but just to divert us away from the subject we were |
| 8 | the companion to Ted Heath. I suppose it's my opinion | 8 | there. To me, by saying those things, and the |
| 9 | that's why he became the MP not the MP, but the | 9 | connection that I heard he had, just made it more |
| 10 | parliamentary candidate for the Conservative Party, | 10 | certain that, "You would never have got that, you |
| 11 | because later on in the '70s and into the '80s | 11 | weren't competent enough an individual to be an MP". |
| 12 | because I felt, as I said earlier on, I felt he was not | 12 | Q. In your witness statement, Mr Nicholls I am moving on |
| 13 | a very good MP at all, and it then gave me more an | 13 | now you give a very specific account of an incident |
| 14 | impression the point I made here that he only got | 14 | at Crewe Railway Station and things that followed that. |
| 15 | the job because of who he knew rather than because he | 15 | I am going to come to that. But before we come to that, |
| 16 | was competent enough to do it. | 16 | let me ask you more generally: over your years in |
| 17 | Q. I wanted to go on to ask you that more general question | 17 | Chester, the 1970s and 1980s, did you hear rumours about |
| 18 | then. So moving on from 1974 and how he may have been | 18 | Peter Morrison's sexual life? |
| 19 | put up as the candidate, throughout his career and the | 19 | A. They were rife. |
| 20 | time that you knew him, the time that you were in | 20 | Q. Rife? |
| 21 | Chester, did you have an impression about whether his | 21 | A. Rife. |
| 22 | connections assisted him? | 22 | Q. Tell us what you heard? |
| 23 | A. Yes, because, as far as I was concerned, he didn't do | 23 | A. I heard that certainly that he had I think the |
| 24 | anything to help anybody on industrial matters, which is | 24 | word they were using in those days "liked little boys". |
| 25 | what I was interested in. He didn't seem to do | 25 | You know, that's the expression that was used in that |
| | Page 29 | | Page 30 |
| | - | | - |
| | | ١. | |
| 1 | period. I didn't hear the word "paedophile" at all, but | 1 | moment, but otherwise, did you ever hear of any |
| 2 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know | 2 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or |
| 2 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the | 2 3 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? |
| 2 3 4 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not | 2 3 4 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. |
| 2 3 4 5 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word | 2 3 4 5 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did | 2 3 4 5 6 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys."? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | "he liked little boys", and that not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was a 15-year-old at Crewe Station, and that was put down as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", and that's how it went around Chester. Not just Chester |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was a 15-year-old at Crewe Station, and that was put down as "a little boy". | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", and that's how it went around Chester. Not just Chester Labour Party, it was the press the press certainly |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was a 15-year-old at Crewe Station, and that was put down as "a little boy". Q. I'm going to come back to that, but I just want to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", and that's how it went around Chester. Not just Chester Labour Party, it was the press the press certainly knew. The chief reporter on one of the newspapers that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was a 15-year-old at Crewe Station, and that was put down as "a little boy". Q. I'm going to come back to that, but I just want to finish asking you questions about these general points. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", and that's how it went around Chester. Not just Chester Labour Party, it was the press the press certainly knew. The chief reporter on one of the newspapers that I was very friendly with, she would talk about it all |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was a 15-year-old at Crewe Station, and that was put down as "a little boy". Q. I'm going to come back to that, but I just want to finish asking you questions about these general points. How did you know what to understand by the idea of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", and that's how it went around Chester. Not just Chester Labour Party, it was the press the press certainly knew. The chief reporter on one of the newspapers that I was very friendly with, she would talk about it all the time, you know. So the press knew, certainly, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was a 15-year-old at Crewe Station, and that was put down as "a little boy". Q. I'm going to come back to that, but I just want to finish asking you questions about these general points. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", and that's how it went around Chester. Not just Chester Labour Party, it was the press the press certainly knew. The chief reporter on one of the newspapers that I was very friendly with, she would talk about it all |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "he liked little boys", and that — not only did I know this, but I would say everybody of what I would call the political elite of Chester knew of these — not accusations, but these rumours, which is the best word to use, these rumours. Everybody knew. Nobody did anything, but everybody knew that he had a way for young children. Q. Just let me ask you a few questions about that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you say that he was known for liking little boys. What did you understand by the term "little boys"? Are we talking, for example, about 16-/17-year-olds or younger? A. No, I would put it around about anything from about 11 to 17, because — things have changed since little boys were 17/18 then, they're certainly not little boys now, and the social structure's changed in such a way that "little boys" takes on a different meaning. But I would say, particularly I heard there was a 15-year-old at Crewe Station, and that was put down as "a little boy". Q. I'm going to come back to that, but I just want to finish asking you questions about these general points. How did you know what to understand by the idea of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | particular cases? Did you ever meet any of the boys or their parents? A. No. Q. Did you have any other evidence? A. No. The evidence was the rumour the rumours that were going around Chester. Q. You say "rumours going around Chester". You have used the word "rife". You talk about the "political elite". Can you explain a little bit more about how these rumours travelled and who was involved? A. When I moved to Chester, and I had been working in the party for a little while, they just seemed to "Oh, we know about Peter". That was it. That would be the way it would be spread around, like Chinese whispers, you know, you don't you sit down talking to perhaps after a meeting you went for a drink and then you'd start talking. That's how the rumours "I didn't know about that", and I would then say, "Did you know", and that's how it went around Chester. Not just Chester Labour Party, it was the press the press certainly knew. The chief reporter on one of the newspapers that I was very friendly with, she would talk about it all the time, you know. So the press knew, certainly, and |

1 1 understand how anybody at a political level didn't know, secure. He had a very -- he could have -- or did have, 2 2 I just find that incredible. in the early '80s, a very charming manner. He didn't 3 3 Q. We have heard Frances Mowatt this morning say she didn't have it at the end, because he was drinking too much. 4 know anything about it? 4 Q. Did you have children, Mr Nicholls? 5 A. I find that absolutely incredible. 5 A. I had three. 6 Q. Let me ask you a question, Mr Nicholls, about your 6 Q. Was there ever a time when you acted upon that thought? 7 witness statement. I think you have it in front of you. 7 A. No. My children were brought up -- shall we say, they 8 A. Yes, I have. 8 grew up and knew their politics. 9 Q. It is behind tab 1, for the chair and panel. It is 9 Q. Mr Nicholls, I want to turn to this incident you have 10 LAB000038. It is actually page 3, please, paragraph 6. 10 already mentioned and which you discussed in your witness statement that arose from an incident at Crewe 11 You say here: 11 12 "I became aware of his sexual preferences." 12 Railway Station. 13 Then there is a sentence I am going to come back to. 13 A. Mmm. 14 In the third sentence you say he was known for liking 14 Q. Can you tell us, first of all, what you remember hearing 15 little boys. That's what you have just said? 15 about the incident at Crewe Railway Station? Then I am 16 16 A. Mmm. going to come on to ask you about meeting of 17 Q. In the middle there, you have said: 17 the Labour Party, and so on? 18 "It was common knowledge that, if you had children, 18 A. That was all -- there was a rumour going around -- I say 19 make sure that he was not around them." 19 these are rumours, because that's all they were, rumours 20 20 What did you mean by that? going around, that something had happened in the toilets 21 A. I just meant that, he could be a very smooth talker, 21 at Crewe Railway Station involving Peter Morrison and 22 22 I think that's the right way to put it. He could be a 15-year-old boy. I read other areas where it says it 23 quite charming, and some people -- or some mothers 23 was on the train, but, whatever, I mean, what I heard, 24 could -- shall we say the charm could rub off and could 24 it was in the toilets at the -- and that came from the 25 25 Cheshire Observer newspaper's reporter, that it was the give a false impression or make perhaps the parent feel Page 33 Page 34 minds, you have said how you first heard about this 1 toilets at Crewe. 1 2 2 incident from your friend Cynthia. Do you want me to carry on? 3 Q. Tell me, how did you first hear about this? 3 A. Mmm. 4 A. I first heard about it when I was having a drink with 4 Q. Then you heard about it again at some sort of a meeting 5 5 of the Labour Party? the reporter from the Cheshire Observer, Cynthia Body, 6 and she just mentioned it because she knew everybody. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did she relate this as something that had happened quite 7 Q. I think what you are saying is, it wasn't a full meeting 8 recently, or --8 of the Constituency Labour Party? 9 9 A. That's correct. It was the Executive or the Campaign. 10 10 Q. Within days or weeks or --Q. Maybe let's not worry too much about exactly what group 11 A. Well, I would say within a couple of weeks. 11 it was. Where was this meeting held, do you think? 12 Q. So that was how you first found out about it? 12 A. At the Labour Party headquarters. 13 A. That's how I first found out. 13 Q. Can you help us with when this happened, in terms of --14 first of all, was it after the 1987 election? 14 Q. So it would have been after that, then, that you heard 15 15 about it again at a meeting -- was it of A. Oh. ves. 16 the Constituency Labour Party? 16 Q. But before the 1992 elections? 17 A. Well, no, I don't remember it going to the Constituency 17 A. Yes. 18 Labour Party. I'm not sure whether it was the Executive 18 Q. That's given us a bracket of five years. 19 Committee or the Campaign Committee of the Labour Party 19 A. I said honestly in my statement that I cannot remember 20 that I heard it. There weren't many people there, so 20 the year that I was given that information. I have 21 I know it couldn't have been CLP. The CLP is usually 21 tried to remember, but I just can't remember. 22 22 quite well attended and you sit in rows. I can remember Unfortunately -- I now keep a diary and I have kept one 23 23 sitting two sides -- two sides and one at the back, like since 1992. It was a bit too late then. I honestly 24 24 cannot remember the year or month that this came about. a square. 25 Q. Pause there, Mr Nicholls. Just so it is clear in our 25 Q. In your witness statement, you say that it was sometime Page 35 Page 36

| 1 | between 1988 and 1990. Is that the best you can | 1 | General Election. The reason she gave was that |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | A. That's the best I can do. | 2 | Peter Morrison had been caught by Cheshire Police in |
| 3 | Q. You have said that it was a subgroup of the Constituency | 3 | a toilet in Crewe Railway Station." |
| 4 | Labour Party? | 4 | Pausing there, presumably there was more to it than |
| 5 | A. Yes, it was. | 5 | just being in the toilet? I think you have already |
| 6 | Q. Let's not worry about precisely which group. But can | 6 | said |
| 7 | you remember, first of all, roughly how many people were | 7 | A. I find it incredible that Cheshire Police were actually |
| 8 | there and, secondly, who was there? | 8 | in Crewe Station anyhow, but there we are. |
| 9 | A. I can remember Christine Russell being there, | 9 | Q. But you've said he was doing something, the allegation |
| 10 | David Robinson being there. I wouldn't have thought | 10 | was he was doing something in Crewe? |
| 11 | there was any more than about oh, come on, as a rough | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | guess, a dozen. That's a really rough guess. | 12 | Q. What? |
| 13 | Q. I think in your statement you say Gwyn Cooper(?) is | 13 | A. I presume it is only a presumption that he was in |
| 14 | that Gwyneth? | 14 | there with a 15-year-old person and he was caught in |
| 15 | A. She was the secretary of the party I think at the time. | 15 | a sexual |
| 16 | Q. Can we go back to your statement, then, please, | 16 | Q. Why do you say it is a presumption, Mr Nicholls? Did |
| 17 | LAB000038. If we can go to page 4, and, Mr Nicholls, we | 17 | you not hear from someone, either Cynthia Body or |
| 18 | are looking at paragraph 17. So we have missed the | 18 | someone at the meeting, about that allegation? |
| 19 | first few words of that paragraph, but it's simply | 19 | A. I knew about I knew about the allegation, as I said, |
| 20 | stating that the meeting was at the Labour Party | 20 | earlier on. I was given that information by the |
| 21 | headquarters, and we see in the first line or two you | 21 | reporter on the Cheshire Observer. |
| 22 | say who was there. Then this, just to pick it up from | 22 | Q. I see. |
| 23 | three lines down: | 23 | A. That's when I got the |
| 24 | "At that meeting, Christine Russell stated that | 24 | Q. So you'd already heard that allegation |
| 25 | Peter Morrison was not standing at the next | 25 | A. Yes, I'd already |
| | • | | |
| | Page 37 | | Page 38 |
| 1 | Q before the meeting? Right. Then let's carry on in | 1 | about Christine Russell being involved at all? So, for |
| 2 | the paragraph. It says this: | 2 | example, it may not even have been her who mentioned it |
| 3 | | _ | entample, it may not even have even her who mentioned it |
| | "After we were fold this information | 3 | at the meeting? |
| | "After we were told this information, Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been | 3 4 | at the meeting? A. No. What I'm saving is, she may not have been at the |
| 4 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been | 4 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the |
| 4 5 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that | | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to |
| 4 5 6 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not | 4 5 6 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have from the evidence I have heard this morning, it |
| 4 5 6 7 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local | 4 5 6 7 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." | 4 5 6 7 8 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps were taken by me. I may, of course, be wrong in my assumption that Christine Russell was in some way | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't know anything about this? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps were taken by me. I may, of course, be wrong in my assumption that Christine Russell was in some way involved in the agreement or any linked talks." | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't know anything about this? A. Well, I do not — I could not make it up. I mean, as |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps were taken by me. I may, of course, be wrong in my assumption that Christine Russell was in some way | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't know anything about this? A. Well, I do not — I could not make it up. I mean, as far as I'm concerned, I have heard one person already |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps were taken by me. I may, of course, be wrong in my assumption that Christine Russell was in some way involved in the agreement or any linked talks." Let me ask you a few questions about all of that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you end paragraph 18 by | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't know anything about this? A. Well, I do not — I could not make it up. I mean, as far as I'm concerned, I have heard one person already deny all knowledge of it, all knowledge of everything |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps were taken by me. I may, of course, be wrong in my assumption that Christine Russell was in some way involved in the agreement or any linked talks." Let me ask you a few questions about all of that, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't know anything about this? A. Well, I do not I could not make it up. I mean, as far as I'm concerned, I have heard one person already deny all knowledge of it, all knowledge of everything concerning Peter Morrison, but to make a story up, "This |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps were taken by me. I may, of course, be wrong in my assumption that Christine Russell was in some way involved in the agreement or any linked talks." Let me ask you a few questions about all of that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you end paragraph 18 by saying that you might be wrong about Christine Russell | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't know anything about this? A. Well, I do not — I could not make it up. I mean, as far as I'm concerned, I have heard one person already deny all knowledge of it, all knowledge of everything concerning Peter Morrison, but to make a story up, "This is why he's not standing at the next election and these |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Christine Russell stated that an agreement had been reached with the Conservative Association that Peter Morrison would stand down and the police would not take the matter any further. I know the local newspapers were aware of this arrangement." Just reading on, you then refer to your friend on the Chester Observer, Cynthia Body. You say: "I did not at the time question where Christine Russell obtained this information from." Reading the next paragraph too, paragraph 18: "I do not know who at the Conservative Association or Labour Party met with to reach this agreement, but I am aware that it may well have been Christine Russell, who at the time was the election agent. What I can say is that, after I heard this information, no actual steps were taken by me. I may, of course, be wrong in my assumption that Christine Russell was in some way involved in the agreement or any linked talks." Let me ask you a few questions about all of that, Mr Nicholls. First of all, you end paragraph 18 by saying that you might be wrong about Christine Russell | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. No. What I'm saying is, she may not have been at the meeting with the Conservative Association. It seems to have — from the evidence I have heard this morning, it might have been David Robinson. We were given the information by Christine at the meeting. I cannot assume that she was actually at the meeting where this deal was done. That's what I'm trying to say. Q. So you do have a memory that it was her who told you about it? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. But you can't be sure whether she was actually involved in making the arrangement? A. That's correct. Q. Are you absolutely sure that it was her who told you about it? Because, as you, I think, know, she doesn't know anything about this? A. Well, I do not — I could not make it up. I mean, as far as I'm concerned, I have heard one person already deny all knowledge of it, all knowledge of everything concerning Peter Morrison, but to make a story up, "This is why he's not standing at the next election and these |

| 1 | press already knew about it anyhow, that the press | 1 | Q. You have already said that your friend on the Chester |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | weren't going to go along with it, well, they're not | 2 | Observer knew about the story anyway? |
| 3 | going to put it in the papers, it happened, and I just | 3 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 4 | can't imagine I may have some good imagination on | 4 | Q. So were the press involved in this agreement too, that |
| 5 | some things, but on this particular thing, that's not | 5 | they weren't going to publish? |
| 6 | the sort of thing I would wish to have an imagination | 6 | A. Yes, they weren't going to publish. She told me they |
| 7 | on. | 7 | weren't going to publish. |
| 8 | Q. All right. You have told us, and the word you use in | 8 | Q. Was that because they had also bought into some |
| 9 | your statement is that this was an agreement. Who was | 9 | agreement of this nature? |
| 10 | a party? Who was involved in this agreement? It looks, | 10 | A. I presume, yes. |
| 11 | from what you say, at the very least, the Conservative | 11 | Q. What about the police? |
| 12 | Party and the Labour Party. Did you understand the | 12 | A. Well, the police were the first ones to be aware of |
| 13 | terms of the agreement, what they'd agreed between the | 13 | the incident that took place at Crewe, either in the |
| 14 | two of them? | 14 | toilets or off the train. They were the first people |
| 15 | A. My understanding was that we would sorry, that | 15 | who knew about it. And someone somewhere then leaked it |
| 16 | Peter Morrison would not be standing in the 1992 | 16 | into either the media or into the two Constituency |
| 17 | election. The agreement was that if he wasn't standing | 17 | Labour Party into the Labour Party and Conservative |
| 18 | then we wouldn't break cover on this particular story. | 18 | Party, I'm not sure. But the police leaked that must |
| 19 | Q. What do you mean by "break cover"? | 19 | have leaked that information. There is no other way |
| 20 | A. Release all the information that we had of what happened | 20 | I can think it would have got out. |
| 21 | at Crewe hopefully into the local media. The local | 21 | Q. I'm asking you about your understanding of the agreement |
| 22 | media wasn't at that time it wasn't going to go | 22 | or the arrangements that were made. But did you |
| 23 | along didn't want that published, because there was | 23 | understand that the police were also involved |
| 24 | a very strong relationship between the particularly | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | the main newspaper and the Conservative Party. | 25 | Q in covering this up? |
| 23 | the main newspaper and the Conservative Farty. | 23 | Q. — in covering and up: |
| | Page 41 | | Page 42 |
| 1 | | | |
| | A Covering it up | 1 1 | the next election? |
| 1 | A. Covering it up. O. In not taking any further action? | 1 2 | the next election? A. I roully don't know why they decided to cover it up. |
| 2 | Q. In not taking any further action? | 2 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. |
| 2 3 | Q. In not taking any further action?A. Absolutely. | 2 3 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the |
| 2 3 4 | Q. In not taking any further action?A. Absolutely.Q. Was that something that you understood from what | 2 3 4 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q. In not taking any further action?A. Absolutely.Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? | 2 3 4 5 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q. In not taking any further action?A. Absolutely.Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting?A. Yes, it was. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to — politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know don't know that, but what I do know is that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It might sound odd to hear what you have said. I mean, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed it; you could have challenged it? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It might sound odd to hear what you have said. I mean, after all, the Labour Party was trying to win this seat | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed it; you could have challenged it? A. I could have done, but I didn't. I just took the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It might sound odd to hear what you have said. I mean, after all, the Labour Party was trying to win this seat back from the Conservatives. It was a winnable seat, as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed it; you could have challenged it? A. I could have done, but I didn't. I just took the information that was received and I was just pleased |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It might sound odd to hear what you have said. I mean, after all, the Labour Party was trying to win this seat back from the Conservatives. It was a winnable seat, as events in later years showed. Why would the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed it; you could have challenged it? A. I could have done, but I didn't. I just took the information that was received and I was just pleased that he was standing down, and that was as far as I went |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It might sound odd to hear what you have said. I mean, after all, the Labour Party was trying to win this seat back from the Conservatives. It was a winnable seat, as events in later years showed. Why would the Labour Party cover up a story like this which one would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed it; you could have challenged it? A. I could have done, but I didn't. I just took the information that was received and I was just pleased that he was standing down, and that was as far as I went with it. We can all have hindsight, but at that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It might sound odd to hear what you have said. I mean, after all, the Labour Party was trying to win this seat back from the Conservatives. It was a winnable seat, as events in later years showed. Why would the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed it; you could have challenged it? A. I could have done, but I didn't. I just took the information that was received and I was just pleased that he was standing down, and that was as far as I went |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q. In not taking any further action? A. Absolutely. Q. Was that something that you understood from what Christine Russell said at the meeting? A. Yes, it was. Q. Did that surprise you? A. I didn't — I wasn't surprised. I will be quite honest, I just took what was said. No more than that. I never asked any questions. Q. Did you hear any suggestion that, for example, the Prime Minister's office may have been in touch with the chief constable about this? A. I know — don't know that, but what I do know is that the people who knew about this, the chief executive of Cheshire County Council, Robin Wendt, he wrote a letter to the chief constable complaining that something should have been done about the coverup of Peter Morrison. Q. Let me just ask you more about the Labour Party. It might sound odd to hear what you have said. I mean, after all, the Labour Party was trying to win this seat back from the Conservatives. It was a winnable seat, as events in later years showed. Why would the Labour Party cover up a story like this which one would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. I really don't know why they decided to cover it up. I was not privileged to be at the top table and in the discussions that took place. I'm not trying to avoid the answer. But that, I really don't know. I prefer politics to politics itself can be very dirty. I didn't really think, myself, that this would be helpful, because there could be other rumours concerning anybody on our side, and I wouldn't like the election to be fought on personalities rather than politics. Q. If I A. Sorry, I'm really not trying to avoid. I don't know, is the answer. I wasn't privy to the top table, so I can't answer that. Q. You have already described yourself this morning as a "force to be reckoned with" in Chester? A. Mmm. Q. If you had disagreed with this, you would have opposed it; you could have challenged it? A. I could have done, but I didn't. I just took the information that was received and I was just pleased that he was standing down, and that was as far as I went with it. We can all have hindsight, but at that |

3

6

- 1 the things I was doing from one day to the next, and 2 I think that's the reason why I just took it away.
- 3 Q. Was one of the reasons why you didn't challenge this 4
- agreement because you could see that it might actually 5 be advantageous to your party because, as I think you
- 6 hinted a moment ago, if you challenged the Conservative
- 7 Party about scandal on their side, they might challenge
- 8 you about scandal on your side?
- 9 A. They might have scandal on our side, and that -- then
- 10 the whole issue would come out into the open, if there 11
 - was that, and politics would not be discussed.
- 12 Morrison, I think, would still have held on till 1992.
- 13 Q. So does that really add up to local politicians
- 14 protecting each other from scandal, Mr Nicholls?
- A. I am very anti-establishment, as you've probably 15
- 16 gathered. I always think that conspiracies are taking
- 17 place. I think you'll find, probably, later on, when
- 18 you speak to Christine, I was not very favourable with
 - the top table of the Labour Party. I just found -- it
- 20 annoyed me. I was not after any position in the
- 21 Labour Party, I was quite happy what I was doing,
- 22 I couldn't do any more anyhow. But I just felt the top
- 23 table at times infuriated me by not doing things,
- 24 et cetera, so I do think that the politicians in Chester 25
 - City Council, on all sides, weren't that -- weren't very Page 45

- 1 good and did not reflect the views generally of
- 2 the people of Chester that I found through my
 - associations that I dealt with.
- 4 Q. But you were one of those politicians, Mr Nicholls?
- 5 A. I was a politician, but not a councillor.
 - Q. But you could have done something about this if you'd
- 7 wanted to?
- 8 A. I'd have found it very difficult. To be honest, I don't 9 know where I'd go. I couldn't go to -- well, I didn't
- 10 even think about it, but I couldn't have gone to the
- 11 press because the press weren't going to touch it
- 12 anyhow. I couldn't go to the police because I was not 13
- in their best books because I had written a pamphlet 14 about the police in 1982 which resulted in one of my
- 15
- members in 1983 -- the police settled out of court for
- 16 £12,000 because of the book I'd written, pamphlet I'd
- 17 written. So the police were not on my side, no.
- One time, the Chester mayor accused the chief 19 constable of being a racist and I said, "If he said what
- 20 you say he said, I agree he's a racist". So the police
- 21 were not people I could go to either.
- 22 But it didn't occur to me to think along those
- 23 lines, "I can't go there or I can't go here". I just
- 24 left it on the table.
 - Q. Did you think, in the middle of all this, about the

Page 46

1

4

7

25

18

15-year-old boy, Mr Nicholls?

19

7

- 1 2
- 3
- 4 decided they would do, and that was it, and it left my
- 5 mind completely, because the whole incident, at that
- 6 time, left my mind until a little bit later when we were
 - talking about, "Oh, this happened, Peter Morrison got
- 8 caught", this was it, "Peter Morrison's got caught, has
- 9 he?" You're right, there was no mention of
- 10 11 caught".
- 12
- 13
- 14 A. I agree now, as things have turned out, and we have
- 15 found over the years -- I think it's abhorrent what has
- 16 happened and what we allowed to happen. I knew nothing
- 17 of the home in North Wales, I knew nothing about that
- 18 whatsoever, and that came as a complete surprise when
- 19
- 20 quite honestly, until I got back to Kent. But looking
- 21 back, yes, I think every one of us, every one of us, not
- 22 just me, everyone who knew -- and there were a lot of
- 23 people -- I don't mean just the people meeting here
- 24 today -- a lot of people knew and a lot of people did
- 25 nothing.

- A. I did not. I just thought, that's the story of
- Peter Morrison that's been told, that's what they

- the 15-year-old boy at all. "Peter Morrison's got
- Q. Don't you think you ought to have thought about the
- 15-year-old boy?

- I found out. In fact, I didn't find out about that,

- - Page 47

- Q. If what was happening, Mr Nicholls, is that what you
- 2 have described as the Chester elite was protecting
- 3 Mr Morrison, you were exposing other 15-year-old boys to
 - risk, weren't you?
- 5 A. I think it's fair to say that I, along with everybody
- 6 else, recognised, when the stories started to come out,
 - that that was the time when we should have spoken, and
- 8 we didn't. I have no idea what happened to
- 9 Peter Morrison after the incident. He was very rarely
- 10 in the papers, I don't remember, after that time,
- 11 I don't know what happened to him. But, yes, I think
- 12 everybody in hindsight should have taken that into
- 13 account and should have thought of other people that
- 14 might in fact be involved. But we just didn't.
- 15 Q. You mentioned earlier on in your evidence that I think
- 16 someone called Robin Wendt, the chief executive of
- 17 Cheshire County Council, spoke to the chief constable 18 complaining about this?
- 19 A. He said -- I did understand from him -- I met him last
- 20 year. I understood from him that he'd written to this 21
- hearing about the incident. That's the information 22
- I understand from him. But also my understanding was he 23 blamed the police entirely for this.
- 24 Q. Is it your understanding that he wrote to the chief
- 25 constable back in the day, back in the late '80s, or was

Page 48

| 1 | it something that happened later? | 1 | I was aware then that one newspaper was in fact on the |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | A. I think it was Chief Constable it might have been | 2 | trail, and if you wish me to tell you how I know that, |
| 3 | Finn, it was way back in the days when it happened, he | 3 | I'm quite happy to do so. |
| 4 | thought it was an outrage. What I'm saying is, the | 4 | Q. Let's first of all look at the Guardian article. |
| 5 | hierarchy of Cheshire County Council, the employees | 5 | Perhaps we can call it up. It is INQ003856. I think |
| 6 | and I know other employees who are in senior positions | 6 | you will find it behind tab 6 of your bundle, |
| 7 | who also knew all about it. It was what I would call | 7 | Mr Nicholls. |
| 8 | a Chester coverup, if you like. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. A Chester coverup? | 9 | Q. This is obviously an internet print-out. We can see |
| 10 | A. Nobody was going to break ranks. | 10 | from the first page here, just below Mr Hoggart's name, |
| 11 | Q. You mention a story starting to come out. As far as we | 11 | it was published on 16 November 2012. Can you see |
| 12 | are aware, the story of what you describe as the | 12 | Simon Hoggart's name in blue and just underneath that? |
| 13 | "Chester coverup" didn't actually come out for 20 years | 13 | Is that when it was published, to the best of your |
| 14 | or more, until Simon Hoggart wrote his piece in | 14 | memory? |
| 15 | The Guardian. Are you aware of there being publicity or | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | press coverage about this incident before then? | 16 | Q. So, depending on your dates, that's 20 or more years |
| 17 | A. No, he wrote in The Guardian, a few weeks earlier, | 17 | after it happened? |
| 18 | a piece about paedophile Peter Morrison I think it's | 18 | A. Mmm. |
| 19 | in the notes there and then it just I remembered, | 19 | Q. You hadn't told anyone, or you hadn't made any public |
| 20 | I thought | 20 | comment about this in all of that time? |
| 21 | Q. Just pausing there, I am going to come to that. My | 21 | A. No, I'd left Chester. I had a heart attack in 1993, |
| 22 | question is whether you were aware of this story being | 22 | which more or less finished me, all my politics |
| 23 | referred to in the press before Mr Hoggart's piece? | 23 | literally died at that time when I had that. Then |
| 24 | A. No. I was aware after he put that my statement went | 24 | I have had other things wrong with me since then. |
| 25 | in, and we will come back, as you say, in a minute. | 25 | I left Chester in the beginning of 1997, and I came |
| | Page 49 | | Page 50 |
| | | | |
| 1 | down to Kent, and then I moved up to another part of | 1 | the local Tories, the local press and the police that if |
| 2 | | | |
| 2 | Kent and then the article appeared. That was the first | 2 | he stood down at the next election, the matter would go |
| 3 | I heard of it. | 3 | no further. Chester finished up with |
| | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to | 3 4 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" |
| 3 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to | 3 4 5 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: |
| 3 4 5 6 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last | 3 4 5 6 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". | 3 4 5 6 7 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested"." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: "After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. Q. Did you know Mr Hoggart? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: "'After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, I attended a meeting of Chester Labour Party where we | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. Q. Did you know Mr Hoggart? A. No. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: "After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, I attended a meeting of Chester Labour Party where we were informed by the agent, Christine Russell, that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. Q. Did you know Mr Hoggart? A. No. Q. Why would you send a journalist who wrote a diary column |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: "After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, I attended a meeting of Chester Labour Party where we were informed by the agent, Christine Russell, that Peter Morrison would not be standing in 1992. He had | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested'." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. Q. Did you know Mr Hoggart? A. No. Q. Why would you send a journalist who wrote a diary column a juicy piece of information like that, asking him not |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: "After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, I attended a meeting of Chester Labour Party where we were informed by the agent, Christine Russell, that Peter Morrison would not be standing in 1992. He had been caught in the toilets at Crewe Station with | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested"." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. Q. Did you know Mr Hoggart? A. No. Q. Why would you send a journalist who wrote a diary column a juicy piece of information like that, asking him not to publish it? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: "After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, I attended a meeting of Chester Labour Party where we were informed by the agent, Christine Russell, that Peter Morrison would not be standing in 1992. He had | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested!." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. Q. Did you know Mr Hoggart? A. No. Q. Why would you send a journalist who wrote a diary column a juicy piece of information like that, asking him not |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | I heard of it. Q. Let's just look at the article and then I am going to ask you some more questions about it. If we can go to the second page of this document and zoom in on the last couple of paragraphs, "More on the late Peter Morrison". A. Yes. Q. This was a diary column, wasn't it, so a series of little unconnected stories, of which this was one? A. Yes. Q. We see Mr Hoggart wrote: "More on the late Peter Morrison, the paedophile who was also Margaret Thatcher's Parliamentary Private Secretary. Grahame Nicholls, who ran the Chester Trades Council when Morrison was the local MP, wrote describing how he'd often met Morrison, who was, by the 1980s, pretty well constantly drunk." Then in quotes: "After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, I attended a meeting of Chester Labour Party where we were informed by the agent, Christine Russell, that Peter Morrison would not be standing in 1992. He had been caught in the toilets at Crewe Station with | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | no further. Chester finished up with Gyles Brandreth" That was the next Conservative MP: " and Morrison walked away scot free. I thought you might be interested"." If we can go to the top of the next page, there is a web link and then this this is now out of quotes: "This was only a year and a half after his failed, boozey campaign to save Mrs Thatcher. Incredible that she presumably had no idea, and that such deals could be struck then." Mr Nicholls, the passage in quotation marks which describes those events in 1990, was that what you sent to Mr Hoggart? A. I did send something else with it as well. Q. What was that? A. And that was not for publication, and he published it. Q. Did you know Mr Hoggart? A. No. Q. Why would you send a journalist who wrote a diary column a juicy piece of information like that, asking him not to publish it? |

1 1 it was an email, as an aside to his -- I hoped -- put it unable to participate in anything that was going on, any 2 this way, if he was going to use it, I would have 2 confrontations, things. I was not well enough to be 3 thought he would've come back to me, at least as 3 able to do that and I still had problems. And I just 4 a journalist, and said, "Can you give me more dates or 4 left it and that was it, and I can only, for want of 5 something more?", et cetera. He did nothing at all and 5 a better word, put it down to the fact that I sent it 6 just put the thing in the paper. I read it and thought, 6 because I was there, but that was it, just to be 7 great, and ... interested, not for publication. I didn't expect to be 8 Q. Even 20 or more years later, you still didn't want 8 put in a confrontational situation again and I was not 9 details of this arrangement to be published? 9 medically capable of dealing with anything like that. 10 A. No, no, it was a case of, I just sent it to him -- if he 10 Q. I see. I just want to clarify your answer. You said 11 wanted more information or if he wanted to talk to me 11 that when you saw the first statement, and then you said 12 12 you brushed the second one off. Do you mean the first about it, he had my email, he -- on the top of it, it 13 13 article which prompted you to write the email? I think made it quite clear "not for publication". I would have 14 thought he should have at least said, "Why not?" He you've already referred to that? 14 15 never said anything. He just pushed it in the paper, 15 A. Yes. 16 and that was it. No reference back to me about, "I'm 16 Q. So there was an article that Mr Hoggart, was it, had 17 putting it in, whether you like it or not", or anything 17 already written? 18 like that. 18 A. I read it out just now. 19 19 Q. No, what I read out was what he wrote, having received Q. Let me ask you that question, Mr Nicholls: why not? Was 20 it because you weren't sure about the accuracy of 20 your email? 21 21 the account you'd given? A. It says there, "More on the late Peter Morrison, the 22 22 A. No, it wasn't that at all. It was to do with the fact paedophile ..." Yes, he'd written two weeks earlier --23 23 that, when I saw the first statement, I brushed the I think it was two weeks earlier -- about Peter Morrison 24 second one off, I was not well enough to be able to 24 and his paedophilia, and I then wrote that article --25 25 enter into any political discussion. By 2012, I was I was responding. Page 54 Page 53 Q. She told you about the incident at Crewe or the 1 Q. So when you said you'd seen the first statement, you 1 2 arrangement? 2 meant Mr Hoggart's first article? 3 A. Mmm. 3 A. Both. 4 4 Q. Both the incident at Crewe and, what? Q. As you rightly say, this piece starts with, "More 5 5 A. The pact that had been made because the press were not on ...", it is obviously a follow-up to that earlier 6 article? 6 going to print the story about Peter Morrison. 7 7 Q. I see. A. Yes. 8 8 Q. Just finally, Mr Nicholls, from me, at any rate, we are A. They had it and they weren't going to print it. I know 9 9 now standing here in 2019, so 30 years or more from the later on you've got a statement from somebody else 10 10 events that you have described. Are you sure that this saying that the Wrexham Leader, I think it is, were 11 11 going to print it, and they didn't print it. There was arrangement, this agreement, in Chester really did 12 happen, in the way that you have described it? 12 an agreement to be quiet. 13 13 MR O'CONNOR: Mr Nicholls, thank you. Those are all the A. As far as I'm concerned, that is what took place, and 14 14 the meeting of -- a small meeting, as I say, I don't questions I wanted to ask you. I don't know whether the 15 15 know who it was, the EC, or whatever, but a small chair and panel have any questions. 16 16 Questions by THE PANEL meeting, that was the information that came out and 17 17 THE CHAIR: Just one clarification, please, Mr Nicholls. I was aware of the press knowing because the reporter 18 When you referred to rumours circulating in the 18 had told me directly that the press weren't using it and 19 19 the rest of it came from the meeting that I say took political elite and the press, did you intend that to 20 place here. But I certainly had it confirmed, if you 20 include the Conservative Party? 21 21 like, by the senior reporter of the Cheshire -- the late 22 reporter now, unfortunately, of the Cheshire Observer 22 THE CHAIR: So you were in no doubt that local Conservative 23 23 who told me and gave me this information. Party members were aware of it? 24 Q. That's Cynthia Body? 24 A. Honestly, it was so rife -- I mean, if you were anything 25 25 to do with any of the political party, including --A. Yes. Page 55 Page 56

1 1 I think it was the SDP at the time, if you were involved Labour Party; is that correct? 2 in anything to do with the politics, you must have 2 A. Yes. 3 known, you did know. Nobody can turn around and say 3 Q. Just to be clear, at that time, you were known as 4 they didn't know. 4 Jane Leach; is that right? 5 5 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Nicholls. We have no A. Leach, that was my married name. 6 6 further questions. Thank you. Q. In which county is Gresford and Rossett? 7 7 A. It's in Wrexham which is in North Wales, and that was MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, chair. May I suggest we take our 8 8 Clwyd, it's now Flintshire. break now? We do have two more witnesses to get through 9 Q. How far is Wrexham from Chester? Q before lunch, so can I suggest that we start again in 15 10 10 A. About 7 miles, but it's another world. minutes, at 11.40 am. 11 (11.26 am) 11 Q. Tell us, what position did Ian Lucas hold in the 12 Labour Party at that time? 12 (A short break) 13 13 (11.40 am) A. Those two years, he was chair, and I was secretary. 14 Q. He was chair of --14 MS O'BYRNE: Chair, I call Ms Jane Lee. 15 A. Gresford and Rossett Labour Party. 15 MS JANE LEE (affirmed) 16 Q. What position did Peter Morrison hold at that time? 16 Examination by MS O'BYRNE 17 A. He was the MP for Chester. 17 MS O'BYRNE: Ms Lee, you have provided the inquiry with 18 Q. At that time, Ms Lee, what was your experience of 18 a witness statement dated 1 February 2019, so we might 19 Chester politics and Chester party members? You have 19 make reference to that witness statement during the 20 referred to it as being "another world"? 20 course of your evidence, but otherwise, I ask you to 21 A. I had no knowledge of them at all. Wales -- Welsh 21 speak from your own knowledge and recollection. 22 Labour Party is a separate entity. So even when we had 22 A. Yes, okay. 23 big get-togethers, we had our own conference, so we --23 Q. I am going to ask you some questions about your 24 we just didn't mix. professional background. In 1989 and 1990, you were the 24 25 Q. Ms Lee, in your statement you refer to a get-together 25 secretary of the Gresford and Rossett branch. Page 58 Page 57 that took place at a pub after the monthly branch 1 1 Hoole. 2 2 O. And Hoole is in Chester? meeting --A. And Hoole is in Chester. It's the branch I then joined. 3 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. -- where you spoke with Eileen Neidermeyer(?)? 4 But I didn't join Hoole branch straight away because, 5 5 A. Yes. being secretary, and there was a local election coming 6 Q. I am going to ask you some questions about that. Just 6 up, I wanted to stay on, help with the campaigning in 7 to be clear, that was the monthly meeting of the Wrexham 7 Wrexham. We were a very new branch and we were very 8 branch: is that right? 8 idealistic and we had real commitment. Anyway, so 9 9 A. No, that was a monthly meeting of the Gresford and I stayed on as secretary in Rossett, even though I lived 10 Rossett branch. We had had our meeting in I think it 10 in Chester. I used to drive through, go to the 11 was Gresford Village Hall. We used to alternate between 11 meetings. I know that I bought the house in April 1989 12 Gresford and Rossett. There was a hall in Rossett as 12 in Hoole, so therefore I know that it was -- and that 13 13 well, and I think we'd been in Gresford that night I was only in this halfway position for a short time. 14 14 because we adjourned to a pub in Gresford. So I think I know that that was the date -- can you hear 15 Q. Can you help us, please, with the date of this 15 me? Because I don't feel -- anyway, go on, yes. Q. I think we can hear you. So your best recollection of 16 16 get-together? 17 17 the date of that get-together that you're referring to A. Yes. 18 18 was somewhere in 1989 or 1990, when you were in that Q. In your correspondence with police, I think you mention 19 19 that you have narrowed down the date of the get-together halfway position? 20 between 1984 and 1988, but in your inquiry statement, 20 A. Yes, exactly. I was a secretary, and there are minute 21 21 you seemed to indicate that it was 1989 or 1990. books for the Gresford and Rossett branch. I kept the 22 22 A. Yes minutes. So it would be in there. 23 23 Q. Can you recall who was present at the get-together you Q. What's your best recollection of when it was? 24 A. I lived in Rossett until 1989 and then I left the house 24 have referred to? 25 in Rossett and my then partner, and I bought a house in 25 A. In the pub afterwards -- well, I've tried and tried to Page 59 Page 60

1 think. We had -- it was always a small group because 1 said, with relish, "Get the paper tomorrow morning", she 2 2 there were the older members who didn't come to the pub said, "It's all set. It's typeset", we all went, "What, 3 afterwards, so I know that there would have been Ian and 3 what?", she said, "Peter Morrison's been found in the 4 his wife Nora, Stuart Wyles and his wife Chris Wyles. toilets at Crewe Station with" -- I can't remember if 4 5 We were the ones who set the Branch up. So there was she said "boy" or "boys", and I'm still confused about 6 a core group. There would have been Peter Angel. He that because there was either one boy or two -- anyway, 6 7 was -- he worked for the local council, but -- I think "It will all be in the paper tomorrow morning. Buy the he was treasurer at that -- sorry. 8 paper". I felt guilty --9 Q. Just pausing there. Eileen Neidermeyer was present with 9 Q. To follow up on that, by "the paper", did she mean the 10 the group at that get-together? 10 Wrexham Leader where she worked? 11 A. Eileen was there, but Eileen didn't come to meetings 11 12 very often. She was a very random attender at meetings. 12 Q. So she told you that a story was going to be published 13 Q. Who was Eileen Neidermeyer, what was her job? 13 the next day? 14 A. She was a member, she was a Labour Party member of 14 15 the branch. 15 Q. About Peter Morrison having some interaction with a boy 16 Q. And she was a journalist; is that right? 16 or boys --17 A. She was a journalist on the Wrexham Leader. 17 A. Yes, in the toilets. 18 Q. Can you tell us --18 Q. -- at the Crewe Railway Station in the toilets? 19 19 A. I don't know if that was her name but it was A. That day, that day, she'd come from the paper -- I think 20 Neidermeyer, Neiderlov, Neider something. It was 20 maybe she'd come from the paper to tell us, because 21 a Dutch name, I think. 21 we -- I feel guilty now, but we were just -- we just 22 Q. You don't have a precise recollection as to her exact 22 thought, "Great" -- it's terrible, isn't it? 23 name. Can you tell us what Eileen Neidermeyer told you 23 O. So she --24 at the pub get-together? 24 A. We thought, "Great, we're going to win" --25 A. She said with relish -- I feel ashamed -- anyway. She 25 Q. Sorry, please continue. Page 62 Page 61 1 A. "Great, we're going to win in Chester. He's going to be 1 Q. What did she say about that? 2 2 all over the front pages" -- we didn't use the word A. She said -- and I can remember it virtually word for 3 "paedophile" in those days, but that's all I thought at 3 word. She said the Chief Constable of Cheshire got 4 4 a phone call from the Prime Minister's office --5 Q. So you -- the feeling in the group at the time was that 5 Margaret Thatcher's name wasn't mentioned, but it was 6 this was a good thing --6 "from the Prime Minister's office" and had been 7 persuaded to not press charges but to give a warning, an 8 Q. -- because there might be some political gain out of it? 8 official warning, and, well, we said, "Well, why didn't 9 A. Absolutely, yes. that go in the paper?", and she said -- and I don't know 10 10 Q. You mentioned just before that Ms Neidermeyer had come if it's true or not, but she said, "You can't report 11 11 from the paper and that the incident at the railway warnings. You can't put warnings in the paper". 12 station --12 Q. Was it the word "warning" or the word "caution" that you 13 13 A. Happened that -heard? 14 Q. - had happened that day? 14 A. I think it was "warning". He was going to be given an 15 15 A. Yes. official warning and it couldn't be reported in the 16 16 press. And that, in return, Morrison wouldn't stand at Q. Did that story in fact appear in the Wrexham Leader the 17 17 next day? the next General Election. That was the deal. 18 18 Q. Ms Lee, if I could just take you to your statement A. No, no. 19 Q. Ms Lee, you say that you spoke to Ms Neidermeyer again 19 briefly, that's INQ003993. I will give you the page 20 after the next branch meeting, which was a month later; 20 number. It is page 2 of the statement, paragraph 3. In 21 is that right? 2.1 your statement there, Ms Lee, you have used the term 22 22 four lines down "official caution"? A. Yes, that's right. 23 23 Q. You say that Ms Neidermeyer explained why the story 24 24 Q. Do you recollect whether the word Mrs Neidermeyer used hadn't appeared? 25 25 was "warning" or "caution"? A. Yes. Page 63 Page 64

| 1 | A. It probably was "caution". | 1 it, I simply said, "Ian, we need to do something about |
|--|--|---|
| 2 | Q. So Ms Neidermeyer told you that there had been an | 2 this. It's not right". |
| 3 | agreement of sorts between the Chief Constable of | 3 Q. What did you suggest that should be that he or you |
| 4 | Cheshire and the Prime Minister's office that the | 4 should do about it? |
| 5 | charges would be dropped. Is that right? | 5 A. I didn't get a chance to do anything because immediately |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 Ian said, "I have done, Jane. I have rung somebody |
| 7 | Q. Did Ms Neidermeyer say how she had come to know about | 7 higher up, and they've told us, 'We just don't do |
| 8 | this alleged agreement? | 8 that"', and these were the words he used, "For every one |
| 9 | A. Well, she didn't say how she knew. She just said that's | 9 they have got, we have got one". |
| 10 | what had happened. The story had been pulled at the | 10 Q. Just to take it in stages, he said he had rung somebody |
| 11 12 | last minute because of this phone call from the Prime Minister's office and this caution instead of | 11 higher up? |
| 13 | | 12 A. Yes. |
| 14 | a charge. Q. You understand that the reason that it wasn't reported | 13 Q. What did you take that to mean? |
| 15 | was, as she told you, that cautions or warnings could | 14 A. I didn't ask. I was so shocked at what he said that it |
| 16 | not be reported? | 15 was like I didn't say anything. I knew not to say |
| 17 | A. She said they can't be reported. | 16 it was awful, really. I knew not to ask. Because it |
| 18 | Q. Did any of this appear in the Wrexham Leader or any | seemed to be such a serious statement, not just, "There |
| 19 | other paper, to your knowledge? | is one", and, "If they tell about us, our one, we will |
| 20 | A. No, not at all. | 19 tell about their one", but it was the fact that he was |
| 21 | Q. Ms Lee, did you raise this matter with Ian Lucas, who | 20 saying, every one they have got, every paedophile they |
| 22 | was at that time the chair of the branch? | 21 have got, we have got one. So it was so shattering, |
| 23 | A. Yes, I did. We always used to arrive at the branch | because, at that moment, I knew that my party was in the |
| 24 | venue early, Ian and I, a sort of pre-meeting meeting, | 23 same position. |
| 25 | and I just said to him, after a month of thinking about | Q. Just pausing there to take you through some of |
| 23 | and I just said to mini, arter a month of thinking about | 25 the details, you said that Mr Lucas had rung someone |
| | Page 65 | Page 66 |
| | | |
| 1 | higher up in the party and that you didn't sorry, | 1 that to the effect of, "We don't tell on them and they |
| 1 2 | higher up in the party and that you didn't sorry, I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher | that to the effect of, "We don't tell on them and they don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on |
| | | , |
| 2 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher | 2 don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on |
| 2 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". |
| 2 3 4 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. | 2 don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on 3 them, they don't tell on us". 4 Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on |
| 2 3 4 5 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" 10 A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have been — | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? A. Because of the story of the Morrison being in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have been — Q. Just pausing there, thank you. Just to take these steps | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? A. Because of the story of the Morrison being in the toilets with boys. That's what the charge would have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have been Q. Just pausing there, thank you. Just to take these steps one at a time, in terms of what Mr Lucas had been told | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? A. Because of the story of the Morrison being in the toilets with boys. That's what the charge would have been. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have been — Q. Just pausing there, thank you. Just to take these steps one at a time, in terms of what Mr Lucas had been told by whoever it was that he had spoken to, can you tell us | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? A. Because of the story of the Morrison being in the toilets with boys. That's what the charge would have been. Q. So help us with this. So you understood that Ian Lucas |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have been — Q. Just pausing there, thank you. Just to take these steps one at a time, in terms of what Mr Lucas had been told by whoever it was that he had spoken to, can you tell us what he said he'd been told? | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? A. Because of the story of the Morrison being in the toilets with boys. That's what the charge would have been. Q. So help us with this. So you understood that Ian Lucas was told, in effect, that there was an unwritten rule |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have been — Q. Just pausing there, thank you. Just to take these steps one at a time, in terms of what Mr Lucas had been told by whoever it was that he had spoken to, can you tell us what he said he'd been told? A. The only exact words I can remember are the words "For | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? A. Because of the story of the Morrison being in the toilets with boys. That's what the charge would have been. Q. So help us with this. So you understood that Ian Lucas was told, in effect, that there was an unwritten rule that one political party would not expose a paedophile |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | I apologise, you said Mr Lucas had rung someone higher up and that you didn't ask what that meant? A. I didn't ask. Q. Did you understand that this referred to somebody higher up in the party? A. Yes. Q. I might just take you to your statement again. It is INQ003993_003, paragraph 4. Just in that paragraph, Ms Lee, again, line 4, you said: " he'd rung someone in the party hierarchy" A. That's what I mean by "someone higher up". The party hierarchy. Q. But he didn't tell you who that person was? A. No, and I didn't ask. It could have been as simple as — it could have been John Marrick, who was the MP at the time in Wrexham, Dr John Marrick. It could have been Q. Just pausing there, thank you. Just to take these steps one at a time, in terms of what Mr Lucas had been told by whoever it was that he had spoken to, can you tell us what he said he'd been told? A. The only exact words I can remember are the words "For every one they've got, we've got one". I can remember | don't" "There is an unwritten rule: we don't tell on them, they don't tell on us". Q. When he said, "We don't tell on them, they don't tell on us", did you take that to mean the Labour Party and other parties? A. Yes. Q. When you say "Because for every one they have got, we have got one" A. Yes. Q what did you understand him to mean by "every one, we've got one"? A. Paedophiles. Q. Did he use the word "paedophile"? A. No, we didn't use the word "paedophiles" at all. Nobody did in those days. Q. Why was it that you understood him to mean paedophile? A. Because of the story of the Morrison being in the toilets with boys. That's what the charge would have been. Q. So help us with this. So you understood that Ian Lucas was told, in effect, that there was an unwritten rule that one political party would not expose a paedophile in another political party because every party had |

| 1 | A. Absolutely, yes. That was the tumbleweed moment. That | 1 | saying he's a member of parliament. He was going to |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | was just the conversation stopped right there. | 2 | stand as a member of parliament very shortly after that. |
| 3 | I didn't ask another thing. He didn't say another | 3 | Dr John Marrick was resigning was retiring. I just |
| 4 | thing. | 4 | feel this was a chance for Ian to say he's got two |
| 5 | Q. Just pause for one minute there. Thank you, Ms Lee. | 5 | children. It was a chance for Ian to say, "We did have |
| 6 | Can you tell us how you responded to what Mr Lucas told | 6 | that conversation", and I'm sorry that he didn't find it |
| 7 | you? | 7 | in himself to do that. |
| 8 | A. I didn't ask and I didn't say anything. I kept it quiet | 8 | Q. Can you remind us, Ms Lee, who was the Conservative |
| 9 | for many, many years. I | 9 | candidate for Chester in the next General Election in |
| 10 | Q. If I can just ask you, what did you do about it at that | 10 | 1992? |
| 11 | time? | 11 | A. David Robinson. |
| 12 | A. Nothing at all. | 12 | Q. Sorry, the Conservative candidate? |
| 13 | Q. Did you talk to Ian about it again? | 13 | A. Oh, the Conservative, Gyles Brandreth, '92. |
| 14 | A. Never. | 14 | Q. What did you take that to mean, that there was a new |
| 15 | Q. Ms Lee, I think you've seen the witness statement of | 15 | candidate? |
| 16 | Ian Lucas? | 16 | A. I took it that Peter Morrison had stood down and that |
| 17 | A. Yes, I have. | 17 | the story and that the facts and they were facts. |
| 18 | Q. Can we pull up, please, INQ004087_002, please. If you | 18 | Q. Ms Lee, you've heard, I think, the evidence from |
| 19 | can zoom in on paragraphs 6 and 7. Ms Lee, Mr Lucas | 19 | Mr Grahame Nicholls earlier this morning? |
| 20 | states here that he did not discuss the incident | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | concerning Peter Morrison with anyone at Chester Labour | 21 | Q. You've heard what he said about a deal being struck |
| 22 | Party or the national Labour Party or, indeed, with | 22 | between Labour and the local Tories, the local press and |
| 23 | anyone outside the group that evening in Gresford and | 23 | the police in relation to Peter Morrison standing down? |
| 24 | Rossett. What do you say about what he said there? | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | A. I'm disappointed that he I understand that what I'm | 25 | Q. And you have seen the evidence that's been brought up on |
| | | | |
| | Page 69 | | Page 70 |
| | | | |
| 1 | the screen about that? | 1 | would have said that to me. We were very good friends. |
| 1 2 | the screen about that? A. Yes. | 1 2 | would have said that to me. We were very good friends. We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for |
| | | | • • |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for |
| 2 3 | A. Yes.Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had | 2 3 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when |
| 2 3 4 | A. Yes.Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? | 2 3 4 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. Yes.Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas?A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, | 2 3 4 5 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Yes.Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas?A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the | 2 3 4 5 6 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other I hate to say it, but I think the only thing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that maybe Ian has more political nous than I ever had — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on a minute, why am I trying to find a way of telling |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that maybe Ian has more political nous than I ever had — I just haven't got the makings of a politician, thank | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on a minute, why am I trying to find a way of telling—accusing other people in this case? Because, really, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that maybe Ian has more political nous than I ever had — I just haven't got the makings of a politician, thank goodness, sorry. Maybe he understood that all he had to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on a minute, why am I trying to find a way of telling—accusing other people in this case? Because, really, I'm as guilty as everybody else", and that's when I had |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that maybe Ian has more political nous than I ever had — I just haven't got the makings of a politician, thank goodness, sorry. Maybe he understood that all he had to say to me was, "Jane, I have spoken to somebody higher | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on a minute, why am I trying to find a way of telling accusing other people in this case? Because, really, I'm as guilty as everybody else", and that's when I had the idea that I could not I wasn't saying I went |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that maybe Ian has more political nous than I ever had — I just haven't got the makings of a politician, thank goodness, sorry. Maybe he understood that all he had to say to me was, "Jane, I have spoken to somebody higher up and they have said to keep quiet", and maybe he | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on a minute, why am I trying to find a way of telling — accusing other people in this case? Because, really, I'm as guilty as everybody else", and that's when I had the idea that I could not — I wasn't saying — I went to the police — I said — I thought, I'll give myself |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that maybe Ian has more political nous than I ever had — I just haven't got the makings of a politician, thank goodness, sorry. Maybe he understood that all he had to say to me was, "Jane, I have spoken to somebody higher up and they have said to keep quiet", and maybe he hadn't. I do not know. Unless Ian tells you that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on a minute, why am I trying to find a way of telling accusing other people in this case? Because, really, I'm as guilty as everybody else", and that's when I had the idea that I could not I wasn't saying I went to the police I said I thought, I'll give myself in. It is a conspiracy. I will go to the police and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that was the same deal that you had heard about from Ian Lucas? A. The same deal? I think the implication is the same, yes. I think that Grahame was asked, "Why would the Labour Party in Chester do a deal like that? That's ridiculous". But if what Ian said to me is true, in other words, that "For every one they have got, we have got one", then it would have been mutually assured destruction, wouldn't it, for both parties? It would have been that moment, mad, like nuclear weapons: if we know all this about you and you know all this about them, I can quite easily see why one party and the other — I hate to say it, but I think the only thing anybody could have done in those circumstances was to stay silent, if what Ian said to me was true, that he had spoken — I mean, I hadn't overlooked the fact that maybe Ian has more political nous than I ever had — I just haven't got the makings of a politician, thank goodness, sorry. Maybe he understood that all he had to say to me was, "Jane, I have spoken to somebody higher up and they have said to keep quiet", and maybe he hadn't. I do not know. Unless Ian tells you that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | We have never fallen out. I have the utmost respect for him. I know his family, I lost touch with him when Tony Blair became leader and Prime Minister because his politics are completely different from mine and I didn't want to antagonise a good friend. We had been so close. Q. You say in your statement, Ms Lee, you had tried to forget what you had heard from Ms Neidermeyer and Mr Lucas about the labour Party's approach to paedophiles and any deal that might have been struck at that time. But you later reported it to police, in 2014; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What prompted you to do that? A. I think it was something like this: all those years I'd spent thinking, how do I do this, who can I tell, this is not right. Thinking of the children, really. Anyway, so at some point I suddenly thought, "Hang on a minute, why am I trying to find a way of telling accusing other people in this case? Because, really, I'm as guilty as everybody else", and that's when I had the idea that I could not I wasn't saying I went to the police I said I thought, I'll give myself in. It is a conspiracy. I will go to the police and |

| 1 | anybody. It is a crime". So I went to | 1 | a phone call, because Sergeant Smith had been very |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q. Just pausing there, thank you. So when you said you | 2 | dismissive. Anyway, she said, "We have been having |
| 3 | would give yourself in and it is a conspiracy and it is | 3 | we have had accusations against this man for years", she |
| 4 | a crime, can you explain why it was that you felt you | 4 | said, "Can you pin it down to a better date?", because, |
| 5 | were giving yourself in? | 5 | at that time, my date was between '80 and whatever you |
| 6 | A. Because I was guilty of covering it up, because I knew | 6 | said at first. |
| 7 | about this I knew about these things, and I hadn't | 7 | Q. You had said it was between 1984 and 1988. |
| 8 | said anything. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. | 9 | Q. That's the date that you gave to police? |
| 10 | A. And I suddenly realised that I was as guilty as the | 10 | A. She said, "We have heard so many accusations and, quite |
| 11 | people who do it, if I cover it up. | 11 | frankly", she said, "some of them horrific". I remember |
| 12 | Q. Could we bring up, please, INQ001664. I'm not going to | 12 | the word "horrific". |
| 13 | take you to any particular reference, but this | 13 | Q. Thank you. |
| 14 | correspondence shows that you gave a statement to | 14 | A. She was an inspector in professional services. |
| 15 | Sergeant Smith and were contacted by Inspector Marinari | 15 | Q. You say that you had told your sister and your daughters |
| 16 | and Sergeant Bickle after you'd reported these instances | 16 | about your report to police; is that right? |
| 17 | to police; is that right? | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | Q. What did your sister tell you after that? |
| 19 | Q. What did Inspector Marinari say to you about | 19 | A. Well, I told them exactly what I was going to do because |
| 20 | Peter Morrison's activities? | 20 | I actually thought I might be arrested. So I needed |
| 21 | A. Can I say something about Sergeant Smith first? Do you | 21 | them to know where I'd gone and what I was doing. So |
| 22 | mind? | 22 | I told my sister the story of the incidents, when Eileen |
| 23 | Q. Can I just ask you what Inspector Marinari had said to | 23 | told us what had happened, and afterwards a couple of |
| 24 | you about Peter Morrison's activities? | 24 | days later, she said, "Well" she rang me and she |
| 25 | A. Inspector Marinari said I was quite shocked to get | 25 | said, after you said you were going to do, I Googled |
| | | | |
| | Page 73 | | Page 74 |
| | | | |
| 1 | Peter Marrison She used to read the newspaper every | 1 | A Ves |
| 1 | Peter Morrison. She used to read the newspaper every | 1 2 | A. Yes. O published in The Independent online: is that right? |
| 2 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up | 2 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? |
| 2 3 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said | 2 3 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right?A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the | 2 3 4 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right?A. Yes.Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you |
| 2 3 4 5 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him | 2 3 4 5 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right?A. Yes.Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report — A. I told her the story — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report A. I told her the story Q the allegations to police? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report — A. I told her the story — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report A. I told her the story Q the allegations to police? A and what I was going to say, yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report — A. I told her the story — Q. — the allegations to police? A. — and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report — A. I told her the story — Q. — the allegations to police? A. — and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report A. I told her the story Q the allegations to police? A and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? A. I thought that the police in Chester had told the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report A. I told her the story Q the allegations to police? A and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story A. Yes. Q that you reported to the police? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? A. I thought that the police in Chester had told the papers, for no other reason than to add the details that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report — A. I told her the story — Q. — the allegations to police? A. — and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story — A. Yes. Q. — that you reported to the police? A. And I told my daughters. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? A. I thought that the police in Chester had told the papers, for no other reason than to add the details that they were horrified, they were disappointed that the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said — she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment — Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report — A. I told her the story — Q. — the allegations to police? A. — and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story — A. Yes. Q. — that you reported to the police? A. And I told my daughters. Q. You were then surprised that your sister told you that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? A. I thought that the police in Chester had told the papers, for no other reason than to add the details that they were horrified, they were disappointed that the charges had been dropped, they'd had the best chance |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report A. I told her the story Q the allegations to police? A and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story A. Yes. Q that you reported to the police? A. And I told my daughters. Q. You were then surprised that your sister told you that she had seen the exact account | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? A. I thought that the police in Chester had told the papers, for no other reason than to add the details that they were horrified, they were disappointed that the charges had been dropped, they'd had the best chance ever of catching this man. It seemed to me that the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report A. I told her the story Q the allegations to police? A and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story A. Yes. Q that you reported to the police? A. And I told my daughters. Q. You were then surprised that your sister told you that she had seen the exact account A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? A. I thought that the police in Chester had told the papers, for no other reason than to add the details that they were horrified, they were disappointed that the charges had been dropped, they'd had the best chance ever of catching this man. It seemed to me that the only reason they would have for leaking what I said was either to warn the people that I was talking about or to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | evening online. She said she Googled it and it came up with a report in The Independent that said she said "Everything you said, Jane, but it also added that the police had been horrified, the police that arrested him were horrified and disappointed, and the best chance they'd ever had of getting him, catching him red handed, and they were absolutely mortified that the charges were being dropped and this was being replaced with a caution", and at that moment Q. That's all right. Just pausing there. So you said that after you had told your sister what you were going to do, as in you were going to report A. I told her the story Q the allegations to police? A and what I was going to say, yes. Q. Sorry for speaking over you. You told her the same story A. Yes. Q that you reported to the police? A. And I told my daughters. Q. You were then surprised that your sister told you that she had seen the exact account A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q published in The Independent online; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Later, you say you looked for that article, but you couldn't trace it? A. Yes. Q. What did you take that to mean, that you couldn't trace it? A. Well, knowing little of these things, I'd heard of superinjunctions and I just thought, "Oh, crikey, somebody somewhere has pulled this and there's not even a trace of where it was". I just assumed that it was somebody who was whose job was to look out for things in the papers that might reflect badly on senior politicians. Q. What did you think if such an article had existed that your sister had seen, what did you think was the source of that article? A. I thought that the police in Chester had told the papers, for no other reason than to add the details that they were horrified, they were disappointed that the charges had been dropped, they'd had the best chance ever of catching this man. It seemed to me that the only reason they would have for leaking what I said was |

1 make the point that they hadn't wanted to go along with 1 A. Yes. 2 this, the police on the beat hadn't wanted to go along 2 O. "DI Marinari has made enquiries and has been unable to 3 with this. And that's what made me think that 3 locate the article to which you refer and there is no 4 Sergeant Smith had been instrumental in it. 4 evidence to suggest that any information was given to 5 Q. So just to be clear, I think you said that you thought 5 The Independent as a result of your meeting with 6 the story had leaked by the police? Sergeant Smith." 6 7 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. You wrote to the chief constable about this alleged 8 Q. So just to be clear, Ms Lee, you don't have evidence to 9 leak, didn't you? 9 confirm that this story was leaked? 10 A. Yes. 10 A. No. 11 Q. If I can just pull up the response that you have 11 Q. But you thought it might have been because the same 12 provided to us, if the Relativity operator could bring 12 story appeared in a newspaper --13 up the hard copy letter from Chester Neighbourhood 13 14 Policing Unit, if we could zoom in on the third 14 Q. -- or you were told it appeared in a newspaper and you 15 paragraph, this is a letter to you from the inspector 15 didn't have another explanation for it; is that right? 16 for Chester in a Neighbourhood Policing Unit. 16 A. I also felt that Sergeant Smith's attitude towards the 17 17 A. Yes. whole incident of me giving myself up was less than --18 Q. Sergeant Smith is one of the sergeants who worked in 18 well, I don't know how to put it. First of all, he that unit at this time. 19 19 wanted to just talk to me in the office at the desk, and 20 A. Yes. 20 I said, "Well, I think we should sit somewhere private". 21 Q. I'm just going to read from the letter: 21 Then I had to ask him, was he going to take any notes of 22 22 "As I understand it, the information to which you what I said, and he then started to make notes. And at 23 refer has been in the public domain for some years." 23 the end of the interview, he said to me, "Well, let me 24 That's the information that you say had been in the 24 put your mind at rest, Mrs Lee", whatever -- I can't --25 25 "You have done nothing wrong at all", he said. "In article? Page 77 Page 78 1 fact, the only person who has done anything wrong here, A. I don't think -- no, I don't think it would have been. 2 2 as far as I can see, is the journalist for bandying it Knowing my attitude to gay people, and Ian's as well, 3 3 I don't think we would, either of us, have mixed up the all about in the pub". 4 Q. How did you feel about speaking to the police after 4 two, paedophilia and just being gay. I mean, you 5 these interactions and this article? 5 know ... 6 A. I gave up. I decided I was not having -- they said they 6 Q. Thank you. Ms Lee, one gets the impression from reading 7 wanted to send two policemen to my house. I said, your statement that you really wrestled with your 8 8 "Please don't send policemen", I already didn't want conscience over these matters. So how do you feel about 9 9 them in my house, "I will come to an office and you can 10 take a statement". So instead they sent Sergeant Bickle 10 A. I just feel as if -- I don't think I can say it. I have 11 who knocked on my door and said, "I'm awful sorry, 11 said it already: we are all guilty, everyone who kept 12 I have to cancel the appointment. I didn't check it 12 quiet. It's just terrible. 13 13 MS O'BYRNE: Thank you. I don't think we have any further with my colleague first and she can't make it". I think 14 she wanted to come in and I just said, "No, I don't want 14 questions for you, but the chair and panel may have some 15 15 to go any further. Thank you". auestions 16 Q. You then contacted this inquiry in 2018; is that right? 16 THE CHAIR: No, thank you, we have no further questions. 17 17 Thank you very much, Ms Lee. A. Yes 18 18 MS O'BYRNE: Thank you, Ms Lee. Q. Just to take you back, Ms Lee, just one further 19 19 question. You referred to your conversation with (The witness withdrew) 20 Ian Lucas and the phrase "For every one they have got, 20 MR O'CONNOR: Chair, the next witness is Christine Russell. 21 21 MS CHRISTINE MARGARET RUSSELL (affirmed) we have got one"? 22 22 A. Yes. Examination by MR O'CONNOR 23 23 MR O'CONNOR: Could you give your full name, please. Q. Is it possible, perhaps, that that comment, "For every 24 one they have got, we have got one", was about outing 24 A. Christine Margaret Russell. 25 homosexuals in the party as opposed to paedophiles? 25 Q. Ms Russell, you moved to Chester in 1974, I think? Page 79 Page 80

| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | think I ever had a one-to-one conversation with him, and |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | Q. You describe in your statement becoming active in the | 2 | I only actually recall being in his presence on three |
| 3 | Chester Labour Party a few years later, in about 1978? | 3 | occasions. |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | The first was when Princess Diana came to open the |
| 5 | Q. You then served as the agent for the Labour Party for | 5 | new hospital and my daughter had her name pulled out of |
| 6 | Chester between 1986 and 1992? | 6 | the hat to present her with the bouquet, and he was |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | present in the town hall on that day. |
| 8 | Q. Thereafter, you stepped down as the agent because you | 8 | The second time was, Gwyneth Dunwoody, who was the |
| 9 | became the prospective parliamentary candidate for the | 9 | former MP for Crewe and Nantwich, would organise, on an |
| 10 | Labour Party? | 10 | ad hoc basis, meetings for councillors in Cheshire with |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | Cheshire MPs. I can remember a particular occasion when |
| 12 | Q. And in the next election, which was 1997, you defeated | 12 | Peter Morrison arrived very late for the meeting, quite |
| 13 | the Conservative MP, Gyles Brandreth | 13 | drunk, in a very surly mood, and left shortly well, |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 14 | |
| 15 | Q and became the Labour MP for Chester in that year, | 15 | he was probably only there for ten minutes. That was the second occasion. |
| 16 | 1997, and you then retained the seat as an MP, serving | | |
| 17 | until 2010; is that right? | 16 | The third occasion was at the count in 1987 where he |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 17 | appeared very angry because the assembled press were |
| | | 18 | asking for his comments on why the Labour Party had |
| 19 | Q. I want to take you back and ask you, Ms Russell, about | 19 | halved his political majority. They were the only three |
| 20 | some of the events that we have heard from other | 20 | times I can recall. |
| 21 | witnesses already this morning. Before we get into the | 21 | Q. So only three occasions. All of them, I think, during |
| 22 | detail of it, can you tell us something about the extent | 22 | the 1980s? |
| 23 | to which you knew Peter Morrison, let's say, back in the | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | 1980s, and your impression of him in general terms? | 24 | Q. And all of them, as you have described, public |
| 25 | A. Well, I didn't know him terribly well. In fact, I don't | 25 | occasions? |
| | | | |
| | p_{ace} 81 | | Page 82 |
| | Page 81 | | Page 82 |
| 1 | Page 81 A. Public occasions, yes. | 1 | Page 82 with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | 3 |
| | A. Public occasions, yes. | | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was |
| 2 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? | 2 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent |
| 2 3 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. | 3 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called |
| 2 3 4 | A. Public occasions, yes.Q. Not private?A. No.Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? | 2 3 4 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. | 2 3 4 5 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have | 2 3 4 5 6 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in the Labour Party, you were obviously, shall we say, at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted into the constituency and imposed upon members in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in the Labour Party, you were obviously, shall we say, at to the heart of political affairs in Chester | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted into the constituency and imposed upon members in the constituency. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in the Labour Party, you were obviously, shall we say, at to the heart of political affairs in Chester A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted into the constituency and imposed upon members in the constituency. Q. By that, you mean he wasn't a local? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in the Labour Party, you were obviously, shall we say, at to the heart of political affairs in Chester A. Yes. Q during the period in question? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted into the constituency and imposed upon members in the constituency. Q. By that, you mean he wasn't a local? A. He wasn't a local. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in the Labour Party, you were obviously, shall we say, at to the heart of political affairs in Chester A. Yes. Q during the period in question? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted into the constituency and imposed upon members in the constituency. Q. By that, you mean he wasn't a local? A. He wasn't a local. Q. There was a suggestion in Mr Nicholls' evidence that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in the Labour Party, you were obviously, shall we say, at to the heart of political affairs in Chester A. Yes. Q during the period in question? A. Yes. Q. First of all, we heard Frances Mowatt say that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted into the constituency and imposed upon members in the constituency. Q. By that, you mean he wasn't a local? A. He wasn't a local. Q. There was a suggestion in Mr Nicholls' evidence that I think Mr Nicholls gained the impression that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Public occasions, yes. Q. Not private? A. No. Q. And certainly not just the two of you together? A. No. Q. Generally speaking, what was your impression? You have described on one occasion Mr Morrison may have been drunk? A. Well, I'm being quite judgmental, but I always found that he was quite aloof and arrogant, and actually just unwilling to engage with what he obviously considered to be the hoi polloi. But actually ill at ease with people. He didn't seem to want to engage and have conversations, even with people in his own party. Q. Hearing about that progression of roles that you had in the Labour Party, you were obviously, shall we say, at to the heart of political affairs in Chester A. Yes. Q during the period in question? A. Yes. Q. First of all, we heard Frances Mowatt say that Mr Morrison was regarded as being a good constituent MP, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | with Mrs Mowatt. He was quite an absentee MP. He was very fortunate in that he had a very competent constituency secretary, as well as Mrs Mowatt, called Vanessa. Sorry, I don't know her name. I believe she may have been a relative of Mr Morrison. Apart from him coming up to do surgeries, which were arranged, I think, by Vanessa, rather than Mrs Mowatt, and maybe the odd function, he wasn't a very community-involved member of parliament. Q. Was it simply the case that he wasn't seen very much in Chester? A. He wasn't seen very much, and also he wasn't particularly welcomed by active Conservative Party members in Chester, who I think were rather annoyed by the fact that, back in '74 or '73, he'd been parachuted into the constituency and imposed upon members in the constituency. Q. By that, you mean he wasn't a local? A. He wasn't a local. Q. There was a suggestion in Mr Nicholls' evidence that — I think Mr Nicholls gained the impression that Mr Morrison benefited from connections in the Tory |

A. Well, you wouldn't expect me, I don't think, to agree

Page 83

25

25

either you had or which was understood in Chester, in

Page 84

| 1 | your experience? | 1 | A. In Crewe Station, on Crewe Station. |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | A. Yes. It was quite common knowledge that he'd always | 2 | Q. So you're describing two slightly different factual |
| 3 | been a supporter and quite close to Margaret Thatcher. | 3 | accounts relating to Crewe Station? |
| 4 | I think he'd encouraged her to stand for the leadership. | 4 | A. Yes. The third allegation that certainly was, you know, |
| 5 | Q. Moving on, Ms Russell, questions about Mr Morrison's | 5 | doing the rounds, mainly I think from Chester |
| 6 | private life, and in particular his sexual life. We | 6 | councillors, Conservative councillors, was of his sort |
| 7 | have heard already from other witnesses today rumours in | 7 | of wild parties he had at his constituency home |
| 8 | Chester, and in fact I took Ms Mowatt to a passage in | 8 | I think it is called "The Stables" in a village |
| 9 | your own witness statement. What was your experience, | 9 | called Puddington, where it would be rather a select |
| 10 | if any, of these rumours during the 1980s? | 10 | list of guests and they would tend to be young men. |
| 11 | A. It was very difficult to know whether there was one | 11 | Q. Can we just have a look at your statement, please, |
| 12 | allegation or two allegations or multiple allegations. | 12 | LAB000037. It should be behind the first tab in your |
| 13 | Because each person who was spreading the gossip would | 13 | bundle, Ms Russell, and I think the same for the chair |
| 14 | have a slightly different take on it. Some would say, | 14 | and panel. If we can go to the third page, paragraph 6, |
| 15 | "Oh, he'd been arrested by Transport Police at Crewe | 15 | please, just looking at that paragraph, you mention |
| 16 | Station". I think you have to remember that in those | 16 | about halfway through the paragraph the rumours about |
| 17 | days, there were very few direct trains from Euston to | 17 | Crewe Station? |
| 18 | Chester, so travellers had to change at Crewe Station | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | and often had to hang around waiting half an hour or so | 19 | Q. Obviously we have heard plenty of evidence about those |
| 20 | for a connection. So there was one set of rumours | 20 | this morning? |
| 21 | saying he'd molested a boy on a train and was taken off | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | the train by Transport Police. Other rumours were he'd | 22 | Q. I think it's a common theme from everyone we have heard |
| 23 | been arrested in the gentlemen's toilets, indulging in | 23 | that those rumours related to a period in the late |
| 24 | some sexual activity with young men. | 24 | 1980s 1988, 1989, something of that order. That |
| 25 | Q. In Crewe Station? | 25 | certainly seems to be what Mr Nicholls and |
| | | | |
| | Page 85 | | Page 86 |
| | | | |
| 1 | A. No, I think it was earlier than that. The early rumours | 1 | Q. Is that credible, in your view? |
| 1 2 | A. No, I think it was earlier than that. The early rumours that I was first aware of were actually about his | 1 2 | Q. Is that credible, in your view?A. (Witness nods). |
| | • | | |
| 2 | that I was first aware of were actually about his | 2 | A. (Witness nods). |
| 2 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those | 2 3 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours |
| 2 3 4 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking | 2 3 4 | A. (Witness nods).Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? |
| 2 3 4 5 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. | 2 3 4 5 | A. (Witness nods).Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s?A. I think because the allegations were coming from police |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant — I mean, he was a minister |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would say throughout Chester. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant I mean, he was a minister of state in different departments, and these rumours |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would say throughout Chester. Q. I'm not going to call it up, but we have got a witness | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant — I mean, he was a minister of state in different departments, and these rumours would have been before he became — yes, they would have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would say throughout Chester. Q. I'm not going to call it up, but we have got a witness statement from Gyles Brandreth who talks about knocking | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant — I mean, he was a minister of state in different departments, and these rumours would have been before he became — yes, they would have been before he became deputy chair. Yeah, I mean that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would say throughout Chester. Q. I'm not going to call it up, but we have got a witness statement from Gyles Brandreth who talks about knocking on doors and people responding by saying that they had | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant — I mean, he was a minister of state in different departments, and these rumours would have been before he became — yes, they would have been before he became deputy chair. Yeah, I mean that he was being protected by the upper echelons of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would say throughout Chester. Q. I'm not going to call it up, but we have got a witness statement from Gyles Brandreth who talks about knocking on doors and people responding by saying that they had heard these rumours? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant — I mean, he was a minister of state in different departments, and these rumours would have been before he became — yes, they would have been before he became deputy chair. Yeah, I mean that he was being protected by the upper echelons of the Conservative Party. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would say throughout Chester. Q. I'm not going to call it up, but we have got a witness statement from Gyles Brandreth who talks about knocking on doors and people responding by saying that they had | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant — I mean, he was a minister of state in different departments, and these rumours would have been before he became — yes, they would have been before he became deputy chair. Yeah, I mean that he was being protected by the upper echelons of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | that I was first aware of were actually about his drinking and his excessive drinking. I think those rumours were then embellished with rumours of his liking for young men, more in the middle '80s, probably. Q. That's what I wanted to ask you, see, because the first sentence of your statement you talk about Chester being awash with rumours about Mr Morrison's private life, alcoholism, young men, from the early '80s. But if the Crewe railway allegations didn't kick in until 1988/89, there must have been rumours before that. Is that what you're explaining now? A. Yes. Q. And that was alcoholism and also young men, or something of that nature? A. Yes. Q. How well known and widely spread were these rumours within the political community? A. Widespread. Not only the political community, I would say throughout Chester. Q. I'm not going to call it up, but we have got a witness statement from Gyles Brandreth who talks about knocking on doors and people responding by saying that they had heard these rumours? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. (Witness nods). Q. What did you or anyone else do about these rumours during the 1980s? A. I think because the allegations were coming from police officers, from Conservative councillors, I would say to them, "What have you done about it?" And it was, "Oh, he's being protected, isn't he?" That was the common response. Q. Pause there. What do you think they meant by that? A. I think they meant either, "We have tried to do something about them or we have tried to substantiate them" or, "We haven't bothered because we think it would be a pointless exercise". Q. So who do you think was doing the protecting? A. Well, Conservative councillors would say, "Oh, he's just being protected from on high". Q. What did you understand they meant by that? A. I assumed that they meant — I mean, he was a minister of state in different departments, and these rumours would have been before he became — yes, they would have been before he became deputy chair. Yeah, I mean that he was being protected by the upper echelons of the Conservative Party. |

1 draw back on that paragraph and have a look at 1 Conservative office and David coming back and basically 2 2 paragraph 8, please. Ms Russell, you describe in this saying, "Well, Frances has just told me that 3 paragraph your memory of a meeting initiated by 3 Peter Morrison" -- because the press, you know, the Frances Mowatt between her and David Robinson? 4 4 former witnesses you heard, I mean, the press, every 5 reporter on the local press knew of these allegations. 6 Q. Who was, I think, at one stage, the agent for the And what David Robinson came back and told not only 7 Labour Party but had become the prospective myself but other people who were in the office at the 8 parliamentary candidate and was the candidate in the 8 time, "Well, Peter Morrison's not going to stand down, Q 1987 election; is that right? a but Frances says" -- they were on first name terms, 10 A. Mmm. 10 because they'd -- not worked together, but they had been 11 Q. You really just there refer to the fact of the meeting 11 agents for ten years, I suppose, together, "That Peter 12 and the fact that Ms Mowatt requested it. If we can go 12 was not a well man", I can definitely remember those 13 13 over the page, we see your memory, and is this right, words, she said he is not a well man, "and he probably 14 what you know of this meeting is what you were told by 14 won't be standing in the next election". But that was 15 David Robinson after it happened? 15 soon -- I think that was either during the '87 election 16 A. Yes. I mean, if you want -- my memory is that it was 16 or soon afterwards. Because he was definitely the 17 during an election period. Now, whether it was the 17 18 General Election of '87 or whether it was the local 18 You know, the joke was, "Well, why didn't you send 19 elections, which were quite fiercely contested, in '88, 19 Chris?", and it was all a bit of a joke about, "Oh, 20 I'm not sure. But all I remember is a call coming 20 well, Frances is my friend", kind of thing, so he had 21 through, not taken by me, from Frances Mowatt to ask if 21 gone. So I never met Frances Mowatt ever. 22 22 David was there, and I think he was or he came in and Q. You say that the content of the meeting was Mrs Mowatt 23 I can't remember the sequence, but it landed up with him 23 saying that Mr Morrison wasn't a well man, that he 24 going out to have a meeting with Frances in a mews which 24 wouldn't be standing at the next election. Did you 25 ran between the Labour party headquarters and the 25 understand the meeting to be connected with the Page 90 Page 89 allegations --1 1 2 A. Oh, yes. 2 Q. So that's a month or so after the 1987 election? Q. -- against Mr Morrison? 3 3 A. Yes. 4 4 Q. In the letter, Mr Walker, the Security Service officer, A. Yes. 5 Q. So what was the connection? What was Mrs Mowatt trying 5 essentially relates to Robert Armstrong some of 6 to achieve? 6 the information that he, Mr Walker, had been given by 7 A. I think she was trying to protect him against coverup, 7 Mr Morrison in a briefing. If we can turn over, please, 8 if you like, all the gossip and the rumour mongering and 8 on to the second page and look at paragraph 5 of the 9 the allegations that were everywhere. 9 letter, and perhaps zoom in: 10 10 Q. What was she suggesting to Mr Robinson in that "Morrison then mentioned ..." 11 connection? 11 That is, he mentioned to Mr Walker: 12 A. I think she was naively assuming that, you know, if she 12 "... the stories about his alleged homosexual 13 was reasonable and assured David that Peter Morrison 13 behaviour which surfaced in his Chester constituency 14 would be standing down at the next election, then, you 14 during the General Election." 15 know, in return, would we desist from joining in the 15 There's the 1987 election, by context? 16 16 accusations, but in fact we were not making them, we 17 17 Q. "Unfortunately, his election agent, in a well-meaning were not making them. 18 Q. Can I ask you to look at another document, Ms Russell, 18 but clumsy attempt to spare Morrison embarrassment had 19 please, and it is document CAB000123. I don't think you 19 spoken without Morrison's authority or knowledge to the 20 do have a hard copy of this in front of you, Ms Russell, 2.0 Labour candidate. She chose to do so in a back street 21 but we can look at it on the screen together. I know 21 of all places." 22 you've seen a copy of this this morning. This is 22 A. That was the mews. 23 a letter from a man called Mr Walker in the Security 23 Q. "Morrison feared that if his agent's approach reached 24 Service to Sir Robert Armstrong, the Cabinet Secretary. 24 the wrong ears, it could be misrepresented as an 25 Do you see the date at the top, 7 July 1987? 25 attempted coverup." Page 91 Page 92

| 1 | Just to locate ourselves, if this was the 1987 | 1 | a memory, even now, of that meeting happening? |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2 | election, Frances Mowatt was Mr Morrison's agent, you | 2 | A. Yes, because it got into local mythology, the meeting in |
| 3 | were the Labour Party agent and Mr Robinson was the | 3 | the mews. |
| 4 | candidate? | 4 | Q. Mrs Mowatt denied that that meeting happened but you |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | have a clear memory of it happening? |
| 6 | Q. Do you think this may be a description from | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Mr Morrison's mouth of the meeting you have just been | 7 | Q. Thank you, Mrs Russell. Moving on, I want to ask you |
| 8 | describing? | 8 | about the arrangement, so-called, between the parties |
| 9 | A. Absolutely, yes. | 9 | relating to the Crewe Railway Station allegations. Now, |
| 10 | Q. Mrs Mowatt | 10 | you know both Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee, don't you, or you |
| 11 | A. Well, the back street is the mews. I referred to it as | 11 | have worked with them in Chester? |
| 12 | a mews. | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Can you just give us a bit more detail about that, the | 13 | Q. For some time? |
| 14 | mews, the back street, the lane? | 14 | A. No, I think Mr Nicholls in his evidence said that his |
| 15 | A. Well, it there's a major thoroughfare in fact, it | 15 | main priority was the Trades Council and his union work. |
| 16 | is the inner ring road in Chester, which is called | 16 | Q. Yes. |
| 17 | Nicholas Street. If you come out of the Conservative | 17 | A. Post the '87 election, I think it was, he became the |
| 18 | office and you turned instead of going onto the main | 18 | I set up a system of having local agents and Mr Nicholls |
| 19 | street, you turned the other way, there is I think | 19 | became the agent for the ward where he lived, and that |
| 20 | there's vehicle access, but there's a parallel street | 20 | was also the ward where Jane Lee lived, yes. |
| 21 | which is called Nicholas Mews. It was a very wet, rainy | 21 | Q. So you worked you worked with Mr Nicholls, you were |
| 22 | day, and Mrs Mowatt walked one way, David Robinson | 22 | on some of the same committees with him for a time? |
| 23 | walked the other way because the Labour Party office was | 23 | A. We had Mr Nicholls was he wasn't a ward delegate, |
| 24 | at the other end of the mews and they met in the middle. | 24 | he was a trade union delegate to Chester labour Party, |
| 25 | Q. From what you are saying, you have actually got | 25 | and he most months, there were three meetings. There |
| | | | |
| | Page 93 | | Page 94 |
| | | | |
| 1 | was a general management committee meeting, there was an | 1 1 | and what Ms Lee said is that this was all prompted by |
| 1 2 | was a general management committee meeting, there was an Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting | 1 2 | and what Ms Lee said is that this was all prompted by the incident at Crewe Railway Station? |
| | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting | | and what Ms Lee said is that this was all prompted by the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. |
| 2 | | 2 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? |
| 2 3 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has | 2 3 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. |
| 2 3 4 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office | 2 3 4 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why |
| 2 3 4 5 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite | 2 3 4 5 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. | 2 3 4 5 6 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean but I, very clearly, being a you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly people within the Labour Party knew about that meeting. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean but I, very clearly, being a you know, a magistrate and all the rest, I said to them, "We |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly people within the Labour Party knew about that meeting. So it was common knowledge that Peter Morrison was going | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean — but I, very clearly, being a — you know, a magistrate and all the rest, I said to them, "We can talk about Mr Morrison's very right-wing political |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly people within the Labour Party knew about that meeting. So it was common knowledge that Peter Morrison was going to step down and wouldn't be seeking the nomination | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean but I, very clearly, being a you know, a magistrate and all the rest, I said to them, "We can talk about Mr Morrison's very right-wing political beliefs on the doorstep any time, but you're not to go |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly people within the Labour Party knew about that meeting. So it was common knowledge that Peter Morrison was going to step down and wouldn't be seeking the nomination again. But, equally, it was common knowledge to members | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean but I, very clearly, being a you know, a magistrate and all the rest, I said to them, "We can talk about Mr Morrison's very right-wing political beliefs on the doorstep any time, but you're not to go gossipping about the rumours. We have no evidence. We |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly people within the Labour Party knew about that meeting. So it was common knowledge that Peter Morrison was going to step down and wouldn't be seeking the nomination again. But, equally, it was common knowledge to members of the local Conservative Association. It was no great | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean but I, very clearly, being a you know, a magistrate and all the rest, I said to them, "We can talk about Mr Morrison's very right-wing political beliefs on the doorstep any time, but you're not to go gossipping about the rumours. We have no evidence. We have no evidence. It's all rumours and allegations". |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly people within the Labour Party knew about that meeting. So it was common knowledge that Peter Morrison was going to step down and wouldn't be seeking the nomination again. But, equally, it was common knowledge to members of the local Conservative Association. It was no great secret. Q. The one common thread between both what Mr Nicholls said | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean but I, very clearly, being a you know, a magistrate and all the rest, I said to them, "We can talk about Mr Morrison's very right-wing political beliefs on the doorstep any time, but you're not to go gossipping about the rumours. We have no evidence. We have no evidence. It's all rumours and allegations". I was always very that was always my advice. I mean, what people actually did when they were knocking on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Executive Committee and there was a Campaign Meeting Committee. I think the meeting that Mr Nicholls has referred to will have been in my relatively small office with the local agent. So it would have been quite a small meeting with probably about eight people there. Q. You heard Mr Nicholls give evidence. You gave his account, which was of a meeting in which you told the meeting that an agreement had been reached that essentially the political parties and the press would cover up these allegations against Mr Morrison in exchange for Mr Morrison standing down at the next election. What do you say to that? A. No truth whatsoever. Q. Can you remember any meeting where something along those lines might have been discussed? A. Well, certainly we didn't keep it a secret, the meeting between Mr Robinson and Frances Mowatt. So certainly people within the Labour Party knew about that meeting. So it was common knowledge that Peter Morrison was going to step down and wouldn't be seeking the nomination again. But, equally, it was common knowledge to members of the local Conservative Association. It was no great secret. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the incident at Crewe Railway Station? A. There is no truth in it. No truth. Q. Well, you say that, Ms Russell, but can you explain why Mr Nicholls and Ms Lee have both remembered something that you can't? A. I can't explain it. I mean, all I can say is that these rumours were common knowledge. I think a lot of the Crewe Railway Station allegations and stories came from the police. I was certainly told them by a police officer initially. It's certainly true that all the journalists on the three local papers were fully aware of various allegations, the Crewe allegations. It was certainly true that rank and file members of the Conservative Party knew. What I'm saying is, there was no agreement to cover up. It would not have been in the electoral interests of the Labour Party to stop the rumours. I mean but I, very clearly, being a you know, a magistrate and all the rest, I said to them, "We can talk about Mr Morrison's very right-wing political beliefs on the doorstep any time, but you're not to go gossipping about the rumours. We have no evidence. We have no evidence. It's all rumours and allegations". I was always very that was always my advice. I mean, |

| 1 | doors I wasn't always there to hear, but there was | 1 | Q. It seems to have been the position that none of them |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | certainly no deal/arrangement, whatever, at all between | 2 | reported it. |
| 3 | the parties. We had no means of that was the only | 3 | A. No, no. |
| 4 | communication, was that meeting in the mews between the | 4 | Q. No, they didn't? |
| 5 | candidate and Mrs Mowatt. There was no other formal | 5 | A. No, they didn't report it. There was no coverage. |
| 6 | meeting ever between the Labour Party and the Tory | 6 | Q. It also seems to have been the position that no-one on |
| 7 | Party. | 7 | the Conservative Party said or did anything about these |
| 8 | Q. Just coming back to one or two of the things you said, | 8 | allegations? |
| 9 | Ms Russell. You have said that the press knew about the | 9 | A. Oh, no, they did a lot of gossipping, but there was no |
| 10 | allegations about Peter Morrison generally? | 10 | public statements. |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | Q. Nothing public? |
| 12 | Q. Yes? | 12 | A. No, no. |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | Q. It also seems to be the position that no-one on the |
| 14 | Q. Also about allegations about Crewe Railway Station? | 14 | Labour Party said or did anything about them publicly? |
| 15 | A. The press knew? | 15 | A. We didn't have the evidence. We couldn't substantiate |
| 16 | Q. Yes. | 16 | any of the rumours. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | Q. But it's true, though, that you, the Labour Party, |
| 18 | Q. You mentioned three newspapers? | 18 | didn't take any public steps in response to those |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | allegations relating to Crewe |
| 20 | Q. We have heard, I think, about the Chester Observer, is | 20 | A. I personally told our regional office and said, "You |
| 21 | it? | 21 | should be aware of this". Again, it was pretty |
| 22 | A. The Cheshire Observer, the Evening Leader and the | 22 | widespread. It wasn't just within the walls of Chester |
| 23 | Chronicle. | 23 | that this information was known. I don't think I ever |
| 24 | Q. So those are the three you have in mind? | 24 | spoke to anyone at our head office, but I certainly |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | spoke to regional office staff. They were fully aware |
| | | | |
| | Page 97 | | Page 98 |
| 1 | er Til | | |
| 1 1 | of it. The country was aware of it, because I think it | 1 1 | O. She and her husband, active over quite a long period of |
| 1 2 | of it. The country was aware of it, because I think it was the time when Private Eye first started publishing | 1 2 | Q. She and her husband, active over quite a long period of time in Liberal Party politics? |
| 2 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing | 2 | time in Liberal Party politics? |
| 2 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. | | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. |
| 2 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, | 2 3 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the |
| 2 3 4 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think | 2 3 4 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? | 2 3 4 5 6 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang I spoke to my regional officials to say Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. Q. You know Patricia Green? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an incident on a train involving a boy. Morrison had been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. Q. You know Patricia Green? A. His wife. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right — I think you have seen this document — that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an incident on a train involving a boy. Morrison had been removed from the train at Crewe Railway Station. As she |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. Q. You know Patricia Green? A. His wife. Q. Ralph Green's wife? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an incident on a train involving a boy. Morrison had been removed from the train at Crewe Railway Station. As she recalls, Morrison was travelling back from Westminster. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. Q. You know Patricia Green? A. His wife. Q. Ralph Green's wife? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an incident on a train involving a boy. Morrison had been removed from the train at Crewe Railway Station. As she recalls, Morrison was travelling back from Westminster. Her understanding, that the boy in some way had been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. Q. You know Patricia Green? A. His wife. Q. Ralph Green's wife? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an incident on a train involving a boy. Morrison had been removed from the train at Crewe Railway Station. As she recalls, Morrison was travelling back from Westminster. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. Q. You know Patricia Green? A. His wife. Q. Ralph Green's wife? A. Yes. Q. A fellow magistrate? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an incident on a train involving a boy. Morrison had been removed from the train at Crewe Railway Station. As she recalls, Morrison was travelling back from Westminster. Her understanding, that the boy in some way had been sexually assaulted. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | was the time when Private Eye first started publishing stories too. Q. Leaving aside the idea of an agreement at the moment, I'm trying to see what the actual position was. I think we have agreed the press didn't do anything about it? A. I rang — I spoke to my regional officials to say — Q. Your Labour Party regional officials? A. Yes, Labour Party regional officials. Q. So nothing in public about it? A. No, no. Q. Do you know what the Liberal Party politicians in Chester may have done about it? A. Exactly the same, I think. I knew most of the key Liberal Party politicians in Chester because we used to meet very regularly on the Planning Committee. The key Liberal politicians in Chester in the main were councillors plus Ralph Green who was the parliamentary candidate. Q. You know Patricia Green? A. His wife. Q. Ralph Green's wife? A. Yes. Q. A fellow magistrate? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | time in Liberal Party politics? A. Yes. Q. He had been a Liberal Party candidate in the General Election early on? A. More than once, I think. Q. It's right I think you have seen this document that Patricia Green also remembers events similar to those described by Mr Nicholls. Can we look at those together: OHY005914. I think this is behind tab 6 in your bundle, Ms Russell, and also for the chair and panel. If we can please look at the bottom half of the page, just so you understand what this is, Ms Russell, this is a record of interview conducted by police officers with Patricia Green. We can see three paragraphs down on this section: "The Greens continued to have a keen interest in local (Liberal) politics. In the late '80s, they became aware that Peter Morrison had been involved in an incident on a train involving a boy. Morrison had been removed from the train at Crewe Railway Station. As she recalls, Morrison was travelling back from Westminster. Her understanding, that the boy in some way had been sexually assaulted. |

| 1 | evidence and her knowledge came from rumour. Both the | 1 | political parties in Chester, not to mention these |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | Labour and Liberal Parties were talking about the | 2 | allegations? |
| 3 | information, which was so strongly believed that | 3 | A. There was certainly no there was no formal meetings |
| 4 | a by-election was going to be proposed." | 4 | with the other political parties. There was a lot of |
| 5 | "Green, together with the rest of the Liberal Party, | 5 | chitchat between councillors, because I was one at the |
| 6 | were very shocked when nothing came of the Morrison | 6 | time, and councillors of the Liberal Party and the Tory |
| 7 | incident, which did not even reach the papers. | 7 | Party. There was certainly my recollection, quite |
| 8 | "Green considers the matter was suppressed due to | 8 | strongly, is simply telling the members well, I don't |
| 9 | Morrison's privileged background." | 9 | think they were the members. I think they were the |
| 10 | So there we have it from another triangulation | 10 | Campaign Committee, the election agents, of the meeting |
| 11 | point, Ms Russell: Crewe Railway Station, a scandal | 11 | between David and Frances Mowatt, that there was not |
| 12 | suppressed by what Mr Nicholls would no doubt call the | 12 | going to be a by-election, but contrary to what |
| 13 | Chester elite. Does that help jog your memory of those | 13 | Mrs Green says, I think that conversation was much |
| 14 | events? | 14 | earlier. It was nearer the '87 election. |
| 15 | A. No. I mean, I don't really think I need my memory | 15 | Q. It is quite difficult to marry up that meeting between |
| 16 | jogged, because I've got quite a clear recollection of | 16 | David Robinson and Frances Mowatt with the idea of |
| 17 | what the rumours were, what the allegations were, and | 17 | a by-election, because we can see quite clearly from |
| 18 | what I as a responsible Labour Party representative did | 18 | that letter I took you to that it happened during the |
| 19 | with those allegations. | 19 | General Election. It doesn't seem that anyone would |
| 20 | Q. You are sure you still can't remember any meeting of | 20 | have been discussing a by-election in the middle of |
| 21 | the type that Mr Nicholls described where the Crewe | 21 | a General Election, would they? |
| 22 | Railway incident may have been discussed? | 22 | A. No. But the rumours persisted and they persisted, and |
| 23 | A. No. | 23 | of course they grew stronger once Peter Morrison became |
| 24 | Q. You can't remember anything about any sort of | 24 | Margaret Thatcher's PPS. |
| 25 | arrangements, informal or otherwise, with other | 25 | Q. Do you think it is possible that something like what |
| | , | 23 | Q. Do you tillik it is possione tillat sometilling like what |
| | Page 101 | | Page 102 |
| | | | |
| 1 | Mr Nighalla described a meeting where the Chaster | 1 | aha aaya hara ia |
| 1 | Mr Nicholls described, a meeting where the Chester | 1 | she says here is: |
| 2 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of | 2 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these |
| 2 3 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think | 2 3 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." |
| 2 3 4 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's | 2 3 4 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few |
| 2 3 4 5 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened | 2 3 4 5 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? | 2 3 4 5 6 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can look at INQ004031, and this is tab 5 in the bundle. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as Grahame Nicholls described, when it was agreed, first of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can look at INQ004031, and this is tab 5 in the bundle. This is a statement. The earlier document we looked at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as Grahame Nicholls described, when it was agreed, first of all, that Peter Morrison would stand down and, secondly, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can look at INQ004031, and this is tab 5 in the bundle. This is a statement. The earlier document we looked at was Ms Green's record of police interview. This is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as Grahame Nicholls described, when it was agreed, first of all, that Peter Morrison would stand down and, secondly, that you wouldn't pursue matters against him. So she's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can look at INQ004031, and this is tab 5 in the bundle. This is a statement. The earlier document we looked at was Ms Green's record of police interview. This is a statement she has very recently provided to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as Grahame Nicholls described, when it was agreed, first of all, that Peter Morrison would stand down and, secondly, that you wouldn't pursue matters against him. So she's got it wrong, has she? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can look at INQ004031, and this is tab 5 in the bundle. This is a statement. The earlier document we looked at was Ms Green's record of police interview. This is a statement she has very recently provided to the inquiry. You understand that? If we can look on the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as Grahame Nicholls described, when it was agreed, first of all, that Peter Morrison would stand down and, secondly, that you wouldn't pursue matters against him. So she's got it wrong, has she? A. She's got it wrong, yes. I probably, in conversation |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can look at INQ004031, and this is tab 5 in the bundle. This is a statement. The earlier document we looked at was Ms Green's record of police interview. This is a statement she has very recently provided to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as Grahame Nicholls described, when it was agreed, first of all, that Peter Morrison would stand down and, secondly, that you wouldn't pursue matters against him. So she's got it wrong, has she? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | sorry, the Crewe incident was discussed and some sort of arrangement between the parties followed, do you think that might have happened and you just perhaps he's wrong, that you were there, but it might have happened in some similar way but you never heard about it? A. I don't think such a meeting would have taken place without me being there, because I was the agent, and I'm sure, if there were any mention of by-elections, then I would have been invited to that meeting. I don't think that meeting ever took place. Q. Have you discussed these matters in recent years with Patricia Green? A. When this inquiry was first brought up, I had a long conversation with Patricia. I still see Patricia quite often. And we didn't disagree over anything I've said to you today or what Patricia really has put in her statement. Q. Let's just look, for completeness, please. If we can look at INQ004031, and this is tab 5 in the bundle. This is a statement. The earlier document we looked at was Ms Green's record of police interview. This is a statement she has very recently provided to the inquiry. You understand that? If we can look on the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "I spoke briefly to Christine Russell about these matters when first contacted by the Met." Which I think must have been within the last few years: "She said that she was present at the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it was agreed that Peter Morrison should stand down. At that point, it was also agreed not to pursue other matters concerning Peter Morrison's previous conduct." Reading on: "She didn't talk about the Crewe incident or the date when the discussion about when a possible by-election might take place. As I remember [that's as Patricia Green remembers] this happened after the Crewe incident when we understood the police had been involved." So what Mrs Green seems to be suggesting there is that you do have a memory of a meeting, as Grahame Nicholls described, when it was agreed, first of all, that Peter Morrison would stand down and, secondly, that you wouldn't pursue matters against him. So she's got it wrong, has she? A. She's got it wrong, yes. I probably, in conversation |

| 1 | which was about the meeting between Frances Mowatt and | 1 | evidence of an incident at Crewe Railway Station leading |
|----|---|----|--|
| 2 | David Robinson, and the fact that we were then told that | 2 | to discussions between the parties leading to an |
| 3 | Peter Morrison would be standing down at the subsequent | 3 | agreement that |
| 4 | election, which of course didn't happen until 1992. | 4 | A. There wasn't any discussions between the parties. |
| 5 | Q. Ms Russell, if you did speak to Mrs Green about | 5 | Q. Well |
| 6 | a meeting between David Robinson and Frances Mowatt, why | 6 | A. There may have been individual conversations between |
| 7 | does she not say anything about either David Robinson or | 7 | members of different political parties, but there were |
| 8 | Frances Mowatt in this statement, and why does she | 8 | no formal discussions. I'm sure I would have been aware |
| 9 | instead say that you told her that you were present at | 9 | of them. I wasn't the constituency chair at the time, |
| 10 | the discussion that Grahame Nicholls described when it | 10 | but there were no formal discussions. I'm quite |
| 11 | was agreed that Peter Morrison would stand down and that | 11 | convinced of that. |
| 12 | you wouldn't pursue other matters concerning his | 12 | Q. The difficulty for the chair and panel, Ms Russell, is |
| 13 | previous conduct? They are two different incidents, | 13 | that, very broadly speaking, those other three witnesses |
| 14 | aren't they? | 14 | all give evidence to one effect, and you're simply |
| 15 | A. They are two different things, yes. | 15 | saying that didn't happen. How are they going to |
| 16 | Q. If what you told her was about Frances Mowatt and | 16 | reconcile that, do you think? |
| 17 | David Robinson, why is she describing something | 17 | A. Well, I'm just telling you the truth, and that is what |
| 18 | completely different? | 18 | happened. I think there may be I think there's |
| 19 | A. She's mistaken. She possibly misunderstood. To give | 19 | a confusion that in fact the conversation between |
| 20 | her the benefit of the doubt, she possibly misunderstood | 20 | Frances Mowatt and David Robinson happened much sooner. |
| 21 | what I was saying to her in the recent telephone | 21 | I think there's an assumption that that kind of |
| 22 | conversation she's referring to. | 22 | pre-empted Peter Morrison standing down in about |
| 23 | Q. So what it boils down to, Ms Russell, is this, that | 23 | I don't know when it happened, when he announced he |
| 24 | Patricia Green, Mr Nicholls, Ms Lee, have all provided | 24 | wasn't standing again. It was probably 1990 by then. |
| 25 | evidence not exactly the same, but all have provided | 25 | Q. Just one |
| | T | | D 104 |
| | Page 105 | | Page 106 |
| 1 | A. Don't forget, the rumours had carried on from when he | 1 | But certainly, again, you know, it was rumours that the |
| 2 | was re-elected in '87, the rumours carried on right up | 2 | higher echelons of all the political parties were |
| 3 | until he finally announced or it was announced that | 3 | don't forget, this was the time when you'd had the AIDS |
| 4 | he wasn't seeking re-election. | 4 | hysteria, the age of consent for gay people was still |
| 5 | Q. I just want to pursue something you said a moment ago. | 5 | 21, and there was no-one there was absolutely no-one |
| 6 | As I understood it, you suggested that, because of your | 6 | disputing Morrison's homosexuality, absolutely no-one in |
| 7 | role as election agent, any formal arrangement, any | 7 | Chester within the Tory party. |
| 8 | formal agreement, between the political parties you | 8 | There were rumours, but, again, they were not |
| 9 | would have had to have heard about or been involved | 9 | rumours that I can substantiate to you today, that there |
| 10 | with. Do you think it's possible that something like | 10 | were discussions I wouldn't go whether they were |
| 11 | what Mr Nicholls, Ms Lee, Mrs Green all seem to remember | 11 | agreements or whether they were just discussions between |
| 12 | may have happened but happened on a less formal basis | 12 | representatives of the political parties at the highest |
| 13 | and so you might not have been aware of it? | 13 | level of those political parties. You know, it was |
| 14 | A. No. No. No. | 14 | there was gossip in Private Eye virtually every week of, |
| 15 | Q. You heard Ms Lee give evidence a few moments ago about | 15 | you know, Labour MPs, Tory MPs, I'm not sure if there |
| 16 | her conversation with Mr Lucas | 16 | were any Liberal MPs mentioned, I'm sure there were. |
| 17 | A. Mmm-hmm. | 17 | I can remember by-elections with candidates who were |
| 18 | Q when she challenged the idea that nothing was going | 18 | obviously gay where there was a horrible undercurrent of |
| 19 | to be said about the allegations about Mr Morrison, and | 19 | homophobic comments going around. |
| 20 | the answer she got was, "For every one they have got, we | 20 | MR O'CONNOR: Ms Russell, thank you very much. I don't have |
| 21 | have got one"? | 21 | anything more to ask you. I don't know whether the |
| 22 | A. Mmm. | 22 | chair and panel have any questions. |
| 23 | Q. Does that ring a bell with you, either those words or | 23 | THE CHAIR: Sir Malcolm? |
| 24 | that sentiment? | 24 | |
| 25 | A. No. The words don't the words don't ring any bells. | 25 | |
| | | | |
| | Page 107 | | Page 108 |
| | | | 27 (Pages 105 to 108) |

| 1 | Questions by THE PANEL | 1 | difference between you and Patricia Green, that you said |
|---|--|---|--|
| 2 | PROF SIR MALCOLM EVANS: Thank you. Could I just pick up, | 2 | you thought there may have been a misunderstanding on |
| 3 | really, on the last point that you were making and tie | 3 | her part. Do you remember saying that? You thought she |
| 4 | it back to a number of points that you have been making | 4 | may have misunderstood what you had said to her? |
| 5 | across your statement. We have clearly seen some | 5 | A. It's possible, or she conflated different parts of |
| 6 | reference to records of a conversation that took place | 6 | a lengthy conversation with her. |
| 7 | after the 1987 election by Peter Morrison himself | 7 | MR FRANK: Can I just ask you, then, what do you think that |
| 8 | relating to a conversation. Would this have been about | 8 | you said to her that you think she may have |
| 9 | the incident at Crewe or other allegations concerning | 9 | misunderstood? |
| 10 | homosexual behaviour/conduct, et cetera? I notice in | 10 | A. That I actually said that David Robinson had said that |
| 11 | your statement also you refer to alcoholism and | 11 | the reason Morrison was going to stand down was because |
| 12 | a penchant for young men, which is something that you | 12 | of the allegations around what may or may not have |
| 13 | carefully say throughout that, and yet I also notice | 13 | happened in the vicinity of Crewe Station or on a train |
| 14 | that in the statement of Mr Nicholls it is said he was | 14 | to Crewe. |
| 15 | known for "liking little boys". I'm wondering if you | 15 | MR FRANK: Thank you very much. |
| 16 | could shed any light on your understanding of | 16 | THE CHAIR: Thank you. We have no further questions. |
| 17 | the prevalence of rumours concerning a "liking for | 17 | (The witness withdrew) |
| 18 | little boys" and what you meant in the context of | 18 | MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, chair, thank you, Ms Russell. Just |
| 19 | discussing young men? | 19 | before we break for lunch, chair, Ms O'Byrne is simply |
| 20 | A. No-one within my hearing ever said "little boys". It | 20 | going to invite you to adduce some further evidence |
| 21 | was always "young men". But the age of consent was 21 | 21 | which relates to these topics we have been hearing |
| 22 | at the time. | 22 | evidence about this morning. |
| 23 | PROF SIR MALCOLM EVANS: Thank you. | 23 | Witness statements adduced by MS O'BYRNE |
| 24 | THE CHAIR: Thank you. Mr Frank? | 24 | MS O'BYRNE: Chair, we ask you to adduce a number of |
| 25 | MR FRANK: Just one matter, please. You described the | 25 | documents. I won't bring them up, but I will summarise |
| | | | |
| | Page 109 | | Page 110 |
| 1 | them very briefly. The first document is INQ004087, the | 1 | 1980 and 1990 for molesting boys and, aside from one |
| | | | -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, - |
| 2 | statement of Ian Lucas, to which you have heard | 2 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence |
| 3 | statement of Ian Lucas, to which you have heard reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as | 2 3 | |
| | - | | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence |
| 3 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as | 3 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not |
| 3 4 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch | 3 4 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. |
| 3 4 5 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen | 3 4 5 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the |
| 3 4 5 6 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that | 3 4 5 6 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway | 3 4 5 6 7 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to those documents. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire Constabulary in relation to allegations concerning |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to those documents. The next document is BTP000001, the statement of | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire Constabulary in relation to allegations concerning Peter Morrison, including those made by Jane Lee and |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to those documents. The next document is BTP000001, the statement of Detective Superintendent Gary Richardson of British | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire Constabulary in relation to allegations concerning Peter Morrison, including those made by Jane Lee and Barry Strevens, about which you will hear tomorrow, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to those documents. The next document is BTP000001, the statement of Detective Superintendent Gary Richardson of British Transport Police. DS Richardson responds to the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire Constabulary in relation to allegations concerning Peter Morrison, including those made by Jane Lee and Barry Strevens, about which you will hear tomorrow, chair. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to those documents. The next document is BTP000001, the statement of Detective Superintendent Gary Richardson of British Transport Police. DS Richardson responds to the inquiry's request for any documents held by British | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire Constabulary in relation to allegations concerning Peter Morrison, including those made by Jane Lee and Barry Strevens, about which you will hear tomorrow, chair. So, chair, we invite you to adduce all those |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to those documents. The next document is BTP000001, the statement of Detective Superintendent Gary Richardson of British Transport Police. DS Richardson responds to the inquiry's request for any documents held by British Transport Police in relation to Peter Morrison MP regarding his arrest at Crewe Railway Station between | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire Constabulary in relation to allegations concerning Peter Morrison, including those made by Jane Lee and Barry Strevens, about which you will hear tomorrow, chair. So, chair, we invite you to adduce all those documents in full, and that I think concludes the morning's evidence. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | reference today. Mr Lucas remembers Jane Lee as Jane Leach. He recalls being at the pub after a branch meeting in 1988 or 1989 when a member he calls Eileen Neiderlov, who was a journalist, told the group that Peter Morrison had been arrested at Crewe Railway Station for some form of sexual offence. You have seen reference already to Mr Lucas's denial that he spoke to anyone in the Chester Labour Party or in the National Labour Party about that at the time. The second document is the record of interview of Patricia Green, OHY005194. It describes her as the informant in relation to the report that Peter Morrison was taken off a train at Crewe Railway Station, and you have again seen reference to that document and to her statement, which is the next document we would ask you to adduce, at INQ004031. Mr O'Connor has referred to those documents. The next document is BTP000001, the statement of Detective Superintendent Gary Richardson of British Transport Police. DS Richardson responds to the inquiry's request for any documents held by British Transport Police in relation to Peter Morrison MP | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | nominal record not related to a crime or intelligence record, the statement says that other searches have not revealed any records. We then ask you to adduce OHY006997. This is the statement of Detective Chief Superintendent Denise Worth on behalf of Cheshire Police. She states that searches have been conducted to establish whether Cheshire Constabulary had any information relating to allegations that Peter Morrison MP was arrested for any matters in Cheshire or that anyone may have intervened to prevent the matter from reaching the media, and she reports that no records have been located. Chair, you will recall Mr Mahaffey's evidence last Tuesday that searches have been commissioned in relation to Operation Yew. That also did not locate any records. The last document is OHY003183, which is notes and correspondence concerning searches conducted by Cheshire Constabulary in relation to allegations concerning Peter Morrison, including those made by Jane Lee and Barry Strevens, about which you will hear tomorrow, chair. So, chair, we invite you to adduce all those documents in full, and that I think concludes the |

| 1 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms O'Byrne. We will now take the | 1 | A. That's correct. |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | lunch break and return at 2.00 pm. | 2 | Q. Can you confirm, looking at your paragraph 4 and the |
| 3 | (12.57 pm) | 3 | chair and panel will find this behind their divider 1, |
| 4 | (The short adjournment) | 4 | and it is a statement, chair, can I say immediately, |
| 5 | (2.00 pm) | 5 | with its inquiry number INQ004032, that I seek to adduce |
| 6 | MR ALTMAN: Chair, the next witness is an anonymous witness | 6 | in its entirety. Perhaps we can put it up on the |
| 7 | from MI5, as you know, who is going to give evidence | 7 | screen, because I shall be coming back to it. |
| 8 | remotely. | 8 | Do you make clear that you have made the statement |
| 9 | MI5 WITNESS (affirmed) | 9 | based on, first, information and documents within your |
| 10 | Examination by MR ALTMAN | 10 | personal knowledge? |
| 11 | MR ALTMAN: You have made a statement to the inquiry, dated | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | 6 February of this year; is that correct? | 12 | Q. Second, information and documents provided to you by |
| 13 | A. That is correct. | 13 | your colleagues in MI5? |
| 14 | Q. You make the statement on behalf of the Security Service | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | MI5 as a corporate witness for the purposes of this | 15 | Q. And, third, your experience of the functions and |
| 16 | inquiry; is that correct? | 16 | operation of MI5 in general terms? |
| 17 | A. That is correct. | 17 | A. That's correct. |
| 18 | Q. By way of background I am simply looking at what you | 18 | Q. You make clear that the statement explains matters, |
| 19 | say in your third paragraph you have been employed by | 19 | insofar as you are able to do so openly without causing |
| 20 | the Service since 1990 as a lawyer; is that correct? | 20 21 | damage to national security? |
| 21 | A. It is. | 21 22 | A. It does. |
| 22 | Q. Your current responsibilities include maintaining the | 23 | Q. Before we go further, can I ask you to confirm that by a letter dated 6 March of this year there were certain |
| 23 | guidance issued by the legal branch to the Service, | 24 | |
| 24 | including something I will come back to later, the child | 25 | amendments that you sought to be made to the statement? A. That's right. |
| 25 | and adult at risk protection policy? | 23 | A. That stight. |
| | Page 113 | | Page 114 |
| | | | |
| 1 | Q. Do you have the letter in front of you? | 1 | Christopher Chataway MP, where the words |
| 1 2 | Q. Do you have the letter in front of you?A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with | 1 2 | Christopher Chataway MP, where the words "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the |
| | | | |
| 2 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with | 2 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the |
| 2 3 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. | 2 3 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's |
| 2 3 4 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you.Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your | 2 3 4 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want | 2 3 4 5 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be | 2 3 4 5 6 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word should actually be "supported"? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he considered", I think you wish to insert the following: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word should actually be "supported"? A. That's correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he considered", I think you wish to insert the following: " that the security requirement for an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word should actually be "supported"? A. That's correct. Q. Then, over the page to page 13, paragraph 45 — in fact, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he considered", I think you wish to insert the following: " that the security requirement for an investigation was not pressing, even at that stage. By |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word should actually be "supported"? A. That's correct. Q. Then, over the page to page 13, paragraph 45 in fact, if we go over the page, it is page 14. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he considered", I think you wish to insert the following: " that the security requirement for an investigation was not pressing, even at that stage. By the time of his 18 November letter (599/5) it appears |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word should actually be "supported"? A. That's correct. Q. Then, over the page to page 13, paragraph 45 in fact, if we go over the page, it is page 14. A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he considered", I think you wish to insert the following: " that the security requirement for an investigation was not pressing, even at that stage. By the time of his 18 November letter (599/5) it appears that he considered" |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word should actually be "supported"? A. That's correct. Q. Then, over the page to page 13, paragraph 45 in fact, if we go over the page, it is page 14. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he considered", I think you wish to insert the following: " that the security requirement for an investigation was not pressing, even at that stage. By the time of his 18 November letter (599/5) it appears |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. I don't have a copy, but I think I can be provided with one. Yes, I've got it. Thank you. Q. It is just for everybody's benefit, because your statement will go up on the website, and I don't want anybody to be misled that there are no errors to be corrected, because there are. Can we go, first of all, to paragraph 10 on page 3. About seven or eight lines down, do you see in the centre of a line beginning "Operational selection policy OSP8", and then, "issued in", and "[date]"? A. Yes, I do. Q. I think the correction you seek to make is, those brackets should be replaced with "the current version of which was issued in November 2005"; is that right? A. That's right. Q. Then, please, if we turn on to paragraph 43, which is on page 11, right at the foot of the page, we see a line beginning "whether or not it is unsupported", the word should actually be "supported"? A. That's correct. Q. Then, over the page to page 13, paragraph 45 in fact, if we go over the page, it is page 14. A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "Cabinet Office" appear in the centre box and in the right-hand box, that should be substituted with "DPP's Department"; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Paragraph 60, which is on page 18, you have asked for the deletion of lines eight and nine, the words "and that the information comes from the memo"; is that right? A. That is correct, yes. Q. So the line should simply read, and we will come back to this: "There is no indication within the text of the letter that this was Dame Eliza, but I consider this to be likely." That's how the sentence should read? A. Yes, it should, thank you. Q. Page 19, paragraph 65, in the third line, where there is a sentence that begins, "This indicates that he considered", I think you wish to insert the following: " that the security requirement for an investigation was not pressing, even at that stage. By the time of his 18 November letter (599/5) it appears that he considered" |

1 "... it was not necessary for the purposes of 1 Paragraphs 5 and indeed 6 deal with the statutory 2 2 the defence of the realm ...", et cetera. Is that framework by which MI5 is governed. Can you tell us right? 3 3 just a little about that, please? 4 A. Yes, that's correct. 4 A. Yes. The statutory framework is largely contained in 5 Q. Over the page, paragraph 69, in the second line, instead the Security Service Act 1989, which sets out the 5 6 of "specifically about reports of local boys", it should 6 functions of MI5 as being, and I summarise, the 7 read "specifically about a report of local boys", protection of national security, the safeguarding of 8 singular rather than plural? 8 the UK's economic well-being against overseas threats, 9 A. That's correct. 9 and acting in support of the police and other law 10 Q. In the penultimate line in the same paragraph, the 10 enforcement authorities in the prevention and detection quotation "is it in my file" should read "is it on my 11 11 of serious crime. 12 12 Q. The last of those functions, when did they, as it were, 13 13 A. That's correct. have effect from? 14 Q. Paragraph 70, in the second line, the word "any" between 14 A. The last function, the serious crime function, took 15 "paid" and "money" should be deleted? 15 effect from 14 October 1996. Work under that function 16 A. Yes, that's correct. 16 was suspended by the Service in 2006 in order that it 17 17 Q. And finally, on the next page, page 21, paragraph 74, could focus resources on counter-terrorism. 18 instead of "The interview was", it should read "The 18 Q. In your paragraphs 7 to 12, you deal with record keeping 19 interviews were"? 19 by MI5 and, as the statement is adduced, of course, 20 A. That's correct. 20 anybody can read what you have to say. But can you 21 Q. So those are all the amendments you have asked to be 21 confirm that in the past the Service's corporate record 22 made to your statement, and now everybody knows what 22 was exclusively hard copy and something you refer to as 23 they are. 23 the hard copy corporate record? 24 Now, the first thing I would like to ask you, 24 A. Yes, that's right. 25 25 please, is to go to your paragraph 5 on page 1. Q. That was a paper system and stored within a physical Page 117 Page 118 1 file structure? 1 A. Yes, I do. 2 A. That's correct, yes. 2 Q. And issues of review, retention and disposal of 3 3 documentation -- paragraph 9? Q. There were two general types of hard copy files: first, 4 4 personal files on individuals; and nonpersonal files on A. That's correct. Yes, I do. 5 5 other subjects. Is that right? Q. Can I just come to paragraph 12. I'm going to fast 6 6 forward to paragraph 12 on page 4. A. That is correct, yes. 7 Q. Personal files, did they contain information on 7 8 8 individuals who were the subject of an MI5 Q. You here deal with file destruction. Can you confirm 9 investigation? 9 that this is how your statement reads, that from 1970 10 10 A. They did, yes. until 1997, MI5's general policy was to retain its records indefinitely in case they were of relevance to 11 11 Q. The digital age is what you come to deal with in 12 paragraph 8, and do you say that in the digital age, 12 the Service's future work? 13 13 when MI5 formally opens a file for a person or A. Yes, that's correct. 14 organisation -- this can go up on the screen, if you 14 Q. That some file destruction took place between 1997 and 15 15 want to follow it with me. This is paragraph 8. I'm 2006, with files selected by reference to recording 16 talking to the Relativity operator, so you understand: 16 categories referring to organisations? 17 17 "In the digital age, when MI5 formally opens A. Yes, that's right. 18 18 Q. That from 1999, no file was destroyed without an eyes-on a 'file' for a person or organisation, it creates 19 a record in its key information store (KIS). Such 19 review of its contents in order to ensure that nothing 20 individuals and organisations are referred to within MI5 20 was destroyed that was of historical interest? 21 21 as 'having a KIS record' and as 'subjects of interest' A. That's correct. 22 (SOIs)." 22 Q. And that file destruction ceased in 2006 due to pressure 23 23 on resources, but work on file destruction policy 24 24 resumed in 2016 on the creation of MI5's RRD -- that's Q. Then do you deal with the legal requirements that have 25 to be satisfied? 25 your review, retention and disposal -- team. Is all of Page 119 Page 120

1 that correct? 1 made reference? 2 A. That's all correct, ves. 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And then file destruction resumed in late 2018? 3 Q. Paragraph 14. Tell us about the Service's activity, if 4 A. It did, yes. That's right. 4 any, towards any member of parliament who comes within 5 Q. With the service informing this inquiry of this 5 the scope of a national security investigation? A. Well, MI5 will only open an investigative file on 6 in September last year, with the assurance that, until 6 7 the end of the Westminster strand of the inquiry, MI5 a member of parliament, or indeed on anybody else, 8 would only destroy files that had been reviewed for 8 where, after carefully considering what it knows, it 9 possible relevance to the inquiry's terms of reference. 9 judges that there's a need to protect national security 10 Is that correct? 10 and that an investigation is proportionate to that need. 11 A. Yes, again, that's correct. It is. 11 And in all those cases, particularly obviously where 12 12 Q. Now, can I come to your next heading, beginning at a member of parliament is the subject of an 13 paragraph 13 on page 4, "MI5 policy in relation to 13 investigation, MI5 would adhere strictly to its 14 records on high-profile individuals". Does MI5 monitor 14 statutory obligation of political neutrality, which is 15 the private lives of prominent people, as a matter of 15 embodied in the 1989 Act. 16 16 Q. In the past, you confirmed the file would take the form 17 17 of a hard copy personal file, and in the present day it A. No, it doesn't, and it never has. We have never 18 investigated individuals simply because they have a high 18 is a KIS record which would be created with the member 19 19 of parliament as the subject of interest; is that right? profile. But, inevitably, there have been cases in 20 which someone with a high profile has been the subject 20 A. That's right. It is. of investigation. Where that's the case, it's because 21 21 Q. Paragraph 15, at the top of page 5. You say any 22 22 there's a reason to suspect that the individual poses deployment of MI5 investigative capability against 23 a threat to national security. 23 a member of parliament is undertaken strictly in 24 Q. You say that the Service's investigations are limited by 24 accordance with the law, and do you add that, in 25 the Security Service Act 1989, to which you have already 25 particular, the Investigatory Powers Act of 2016 Page 121 Page 122 1 stipulates that any targeted interception warrant or 1 Q. What's the position -- your paragraph 17 -- if the 2 targeted examination warrant or targeted equipment 2 subject of an investigative file becomes an MP? 3 3 interference warrant against an MP must be approved by A. Then if the investigation is continuing, the position 4 4 both the Prime Minister and a judicial commissioner? is, as I've already stated, in terms of the constraints 5 5 A. Yes, that's correct. on which -- under which the Service would act in 6 Q. Looking at your paragraph 16, please, additionally, conducting the investigation. If the investigation 6 7 might a personal file in the past or a KIS record today finishes before the individual becomes an MP, the 8 be opened for an MP who is a potential or known target essential difference is that the file will then be 9 of a hostile state or of a proscribed organisation? retained for eventual release to the National Archive 10 A. Yes, that's right. A file on an individual is not 10 because the individual will be sufficiently prominent 11 necessarily an adverse file. So files might be 11 for operational selection policy 8 to catch it for 12 created -- the obvious case is where an individual is 12 eventual release. 13 13 a potential target of a terrorist group or may be Q. From paragraph 18 through to paragraph 28, you deal with 14 susceptible to be approached by foreign intelligence 14 the searches, a summary of the searches and the 15 15 services for information, and in those cases, if MI5 has disclosure made by MI5 to this inquiry; is that right? 16 given advice or made assessments, it will need a file to 16 A. It is, yes. 17 17 Q. Can I invite your attention, please, to paragraph 23? house those papers. 18 Q. So the file will be used to record protective security 18 A. Yes. 19 advice or measures provided to the MP, as well as 19 Q. Having dealt with the searches that were made, were they 20 assessments related to their personal safety? 20 reviewed by MI5 and recorded in a comprehensive table on 21 21 A. That's right. 8 November 2017? 22 Q. That's by way of distinction, or can be by way of 22 A. That's right. 23 distinction, to the category of file which is used for 23 Q. The table sets out the details of each result, including 24 24 the nature, date and a summary content of each file, investigative purposes? 25 25 document or other result; is that correct? A. That's right, yes. Page 123 Page 124

| 2 Q. The completed table, you can confirm, was provided to coursel to the inquiry and to the solicitor to the inquiry in order to assist them in selecting files, documents and other results for examination? 6 A. Yes, I confirm that. 7 Q. Were they also provided with additional documents are relating to previous relevant reviews, which included the historic institutional abuse inquiry and Operation Midland? 10 Operation Midland? 11 A. Yes, they were. 12 Q. Were the table and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at Thames House, MIS's headquarters, with the inquiry legal to team on 4 July 2018? 13 A. Yes, they were. 14 A. Yes, they were. 15 team on 4 July 2018? 16 A. Yes, they were. 17 Q. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry also given access to all of the material returned from the searches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the indentified that some of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 1 page 15, paragraph 46. A. It was. 2 Q. How, I'm going to skip over the next section in your statement, please, to go directly to paragraph 45, No, I think we will come back to this later. Lefs deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Left's go, please, to Page 125 1 page 15, paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. I do, that's right. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 11 background to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which 13 director-general of MIS, to Sir Robert Armstrong, 15 Morenter flow as then director-general of MIS, to Sir Robert Armstrong 16 Miscoer Page 12 Miscoer Page 12 Miscoer Page 12 Miscoer Page 12 M | 1 | A Thatle wight | 1 | committed child sexual abuse? |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| counsel to the inquiry and to the solicitor to the inquiry in order to assist them in selecting files, decuments and other results for examination? A. Yes, Iounfirm that. Q. Were they also provided with additional documents relating to previous relevant reviews, which included the historic institutional abuse inquiry and Operation Midland? Q. Were the table and those additional documents anales available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at team of July 2018? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were the table and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at team of July 2018? A. Yes, they were. Q. Vour paragraph 24, please. Was coursed to the inquiry also give access to all of the material returned from the searches and were vists made to Thames House on the searches and were vists made for Thames House on the searches and were vists made for Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? A. That's correct. Page 125 Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 Page 129 P | | A. That's right. O. The completed table, you can confirm, was provided to | | |
| documents and other results for examination? A. Ves, tonfirm that. 7 Q. Were they also provided with additional documents are relating to previous relevant reviews, which included the historic mistintronal abuse inquiry and per the historic alternative per the historic alternative per the historic and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at Thamse House and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at Thamse House which included that some some and July 2018? 15 Internative per the historic alternative per the material contained in the searches and were visits made to Thamse House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? 24 A. That's correct. 3 Q. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results identified that some of the material contained information suggesting that ectain individuals may have the point in paragraph 46. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child secural abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that course? 4 A. Yes. 1 page 125 Page 125 Page 126 1 popilical embarrassment to the government is rather greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." 4 A. Hot, that's right. 5 Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of hackground, on 3 June 2015, Peter Warlisss and to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong, of 4 November 1986? 5 A. That's Carnet Provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wantes's Whittam review' Which referred to MIS awaren | | | | |
| documents and other results for examination? A. Yes, lonfirm that. Q. Were they also provided with additional documents relating to previous relevant reviews, which included the historic institutional abuse inquiry and the historic institutional abuse inquiry and the provided with additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at thanks House, MIS's headquarters, with the inquiry legal to the case of the material returned from the scarches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review of the results deformed and several occasions in order to review of the results deformed that and selected for examination? A. Yes, they were. Q. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry the scarches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review of the results deformed ride that some of the material returned from the scarches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review of the results deformed first and selected for examination? A. That's correct. Q. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results deformed first and as leader for examination? A. Yes. Page 125 Page 125 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 127 Page 126 Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 Page 128 Page 129 Page | | | | - |
| 6 A. Yes, I confirm that. 7 Q. Were they also provided with additional documents related to the historic institutional abuse inquiry and 9 Operation Midland? 11 A. Yes, they were. 12 Q. Were the table and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at 14 Thames House, MIS's headquarters, with the inquiry legal team on 4 July 2018? 15 Learn on 4 July 2018? 16 A. Yes, they were. 17 Q. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry also given access to all of the material returned from 18 several occasions in order to review the files that the 21 inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? 24 identified that some of the material contained 24 individuals may have 25 information suggesting that certain individuals may have 25 information suggesting that certain individuals may have 26 A. Yes. 3 Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential 4 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 6 child for the paragraph 46 in your paragraph 47 that, by way of 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 11 the correct of MIS avareness of an allegation regarding | | | | • • |
| 7 Q. Were they also provided with additional documents relating to previous relevant reviews, which included the historic institutional abuse inquiry and operation Midland? 10 Q. Finally in this section, paragraph 26, please. 10 Q. Has MIS also been provided with documents from the Cabinet Office records that were identified by that office available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended a available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended that available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended the file shat the inquiry Jay also given access to all of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have the professor professor of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have the point in paragraph 46. 1 page 15, paragraph 46. 2 A. Yes. 1 page 15, paragraph 46. 2 A. Yes. 2 page 125 Page 126 1 page 15, paragraph 46. 3 paragraph 46. 4 In this we will come back to this later. Lefs deal with Jay and the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of the chair and paragraph 48 in your statement and ends at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 47 that, by | | | | |
| relating to previous relevant reviews, which included the historic institutional abuse inquiry and Operation Midland? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were the table and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at tamous, MIS's headquarters, with the inquiry legal team on 4 July 2018? A. Yes, they were. Thames House, MIS's headquarters, with the inquiry legal team on 4 July 2018? A. Yes, they were. O. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry also given access to all of the material returned from the cabinet Office as relevant to the inquiry? B. A. Yes, they were. O. You paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry also given access to all of the material returned from the cabinet office as relevant to the inquiry? A. Yes, that's right. O. Was it thought that the Cabinet Office documents or vivewed for national security sensitivity? A. It was. O. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results identified that some of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have the page 125 Tage 125 Page 125 Page 125 Page 126 Page 127 A. Yes. O. He review deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison, is that child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph as a paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph as a paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 47 that, by way of the back of MIS awareness of maillegation regarding an unranned member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to the material contained in the cabinet of the walness Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which referred to MIS awarened for in spiring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their suppleme | | | | |
| the historic institutional abuse inquiry and Operation Midland? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were the table and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at 13 been reviewed by counsel to the inquiry? A. Yes, they were. Operation Midland? Thames House, MIS has been provided with documents from the office as relevant to the inquiry? work and had already been reviewed by counsel to the inquiry? A. Yes, that's right. Operation Midland? A. Yes, they were. Operation of July 2018? Operation Midland were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office documents originated with MIS and were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office's request so that they could be reviewed for national security sensitivity? A. That is my understanding, yes. Operation Midland were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office's request so that they could be reviewed for national security sensitivity? A. That is my understanding, yes. Operation Midland were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office's request so that they could be reviewed for national security sensitivity? A. That is my understanding, yes. Operation Midland were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office's request so that they could be reviewed for national security sensitivity? A. That is my understanding, yes. Operation Midland were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office's request so that they could be reviewed for national security sensitivity? A. That is my understanding, yes. Operation Midland were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office's request so that they could be reviewed for national security sensitivity? A. That is my understanding, yes. Operation Misland were therefore passed to MIS at the Cabinet Office are retired out? A. It was. Description of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have the political enhancement of the passed of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have the passed of the passed of the m | | | | |
| Operation Midland? A. Yes, they were. 11 Cabinet Office records that were identified by that a variable to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at 12 ciffice as relevant to the inquiry? 14 Thames House, M5's headquarters, with the inquiry legal 15 team on 4 July 2018? 15 Cay or paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry 16 cay 17 cay 18 cay 18 cay 19 c | | | | |
| A. Yes, they were. 12 Q. Were the table and those additional documents made 3 available to the chair. Professor Jay, who attended at 4 Thames House, MI5's headquarters, with the inquiry legal 15 team on 4 July 2018? 16 A. Yes, they were. 17 Q. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry 18 also given access to all of the material returned from 19 the searches and were visits made to Thames House on 20 several occasions in order to review the files that the 21 inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. Did you understand that MI5's review of the results 24 identified that some of the material contained 25 information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 126 1 page 15, paragraph 46. 2 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 correct? 1 page 15, paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at 4 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 5 correct? 2 Q. Hore you deal with historic allegations of potential 4 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 5 correct? 6 A. I do, that's right. 9 Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of 10 D. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 12 Richard Whittam published a document provided to 13 partly based on their review of documents provided to 14 referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an 15 unmand member of parliament, and this document was 16 partly based on their review of documents provided to 17 quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which 18 referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an 19 rardy based on their review of documents provided to 19 rards this way; 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament rase a penchant for small boys, matters conclude with 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 25 rards this way; 26 Peter Morrison MP. 27 Peter Morrison MP. 28 peter Morrison MP. 29 Peter Morrison MP. 30 Peter Morrison | | 1 3 | | |
| O. Were the table and those additional documents made available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at Table Thanes House, MISs headquarters, with the inquiry legal team on 4 July 2018? A. Yes, they were. O. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry also given access to all of the material returned from the searches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? A. That's correct. O. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 125 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 18, paragraph 46. A. Ves. O. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that sample make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of the background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Erefered to MIS awarness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads that was carcined by the cabinet Office of warming the conclusion of the Manless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 46 in report of parliament referred to the inquiry? A. Ves. O. Now, I'm going to skip over the next section in your statement and ends at partly be and on the individuals may have Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 Page 129 Page 120 Page 120 | | | | • |
| available to the chair, Professor Jay, who attended at Thames House, MISs headquarters, with the inquiry legal team on 4 July 2018? A. Yes, they were. Q. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry also given access to all of the material returned from several oceasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? Q. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results identified that some of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 15, paragraph 46. A. Yes, they will come back to this later. Let's deal information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 15, paragraph 46. A. Yes, they were. Page 15, paragraph 46. A. Yes, that's right. Q. Now, I'm going to skip over the next section in your statement, please, to go directly to paragraph 45. No, 1 think we will come back to this later. Let's deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 Page 129 I page 15, paragraph 46. A. Yes, that's right. Q. Now, I'm going to skip over the next section in your statement, please, to go directly to paragraph 45. No, 1 think we will come back to this later. Let's deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 A. Yes. A. That's correct. Q. Now, I'm going to skip over the next section in your statement, please, to go directly to paragraph 45. No, 1 think we will come back to this later. Let's deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 127 A. Yes. A. That's correct. Q. Now, I'm going to skip over the next section in your statement, please, to go directly to paragraph 45. No, 1 think we will come back to this later. Let's deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to be political embarter of the material contained is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly fro | | • | | - |
| Thames House, MIS's headquarters, with the inquiry legal team on 4 July 2018? A. Yes, they were. 16 | | | | |
| team on 4 July 2018? A. Yes, they were. Organization of July 2018? A. Yes, they were. Organization of Miss and were therefore passed to Miss at body programs access to all of the material returned from the searches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? A. That's correct. Organization of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have Deage 125 Page 125 Page 125 Page 126 A. It was. Organization of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 A. It was. Organization of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 129 Deage 129 Page 129 Page 129 A. Ves. Organization of the Mission of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that paragraph 66. A. Ido, that's right. Organization of June 2015, Peter Wanless and paragraph 66. A. Ido, that's right. Organization of June 2015, Peter Wanless and paragraph 66. A. Ido, that's right. Organization of June 2015, Peter Wanless and paragraph 66 in your statement was paragraph 66. A. Ido was a paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and paragraph 66 in Wanless/Whittam Review' which referred to MIS awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament paragraph 66. A. Ido was it thought with MIS and were therefore passed to MIS and were therefore passed to the Miss and was carried out? A. It was. Organization of Mission very the file with Peter Worrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to hill with Peter Worrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to hill with Peter Worrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to hill with Peter Worrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to hill with Peter Worrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to hil | | | | |
| 16 A. Yes, they were. 20 Q. Your paragraph 24, please. Was counsel to the inquiry also given access to all of the material returned from the searches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry decred relevant and selected for examination? 21 A. That's correct. 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. Did you understand that MI5's review of the results identified that some of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in formation suggesting that certain individuals may have begin in five paragraph 46. 1 | | | | _ |
| the Cabinet Office's request so that they could be reviewed for national security sensitivity? the searches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. That review was carried out? A. It was. Q. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results indentified that some of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 127 I political embarrassment to the government is rather greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." A. Ves. Page 128 A. It hat's referred to MIS awareness of an allegation regarding an party based on their review and can be a party based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office is spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the | | - | | |
| the searches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? A. That's any understanding, yes. Q. Did you understand that MI5's review of the results information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 125 Page 126 Page 126 Page 127 Page 126 Page 127 Page 126 Page 127 Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 Page 129 Page 129 Page 126 Page | | - | | - |
| the searches and were visits made to Thames House on several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? A. That's correct. Q. Did you understand that MI5's review of the results identified that some of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 125 Page 126 Page 125 Page 126 Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 Page 129 Page 126 Page 129 Page 129 Page 129 Page 129 Page 129 Page 129 Page 126 Pa | | | | |
| 20 several occasions in order to review the files that the inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Did you understand that MI5's review of the results identified that some of the material contained information suggesting that certain individuals may have 23 Page 125 24 Ithink we will come back to this later. Let's deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to 25 Page 125 26 Page 125 27 Page 126 28 Page 126 29 Page 126 20 Page 125 20 Page 126 20 Page 125 21 Page 126 22 Page 126 23 Page 126 24 Ithink we will come back to this later. Let's deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to 29 Page 126 20 Page 126 21 Page 126 22 Page 126 23 Page 126 24 A. That's correct greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." 25 A. That's correct. 26 Page 126 27 A. That's correct greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." 28 A. That's correct. 29 Page 126 20 Political embarrassment to the government is rather greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." 29 A. That's correct of Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? 20 Page 126 21 A. That's correct of Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? 20 You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong. 20 Page 126 21 Page 126 22 Page 126 23 Page 126 24 A. That's correct. 25 Page 126 26 A. That's correct. 26 Page 126 27 A. That's correct. 28 Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director | | _ | | • |
| 21 inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. Did you understand that MIS's review of the results 24 identified that some of the material contained 25 information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 126 A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that a paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled reference to MIS awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the | | | | |
| 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. Did you understand that Mif's review of the results 24 identified that some of the material contained 25 information suggesting that certain individuals may have 26 Page 125 27 Page 126 | 21 | inquiry deemed relevant and selected for examination? | 21 | |
| 23 statement, please, to go directly to paragraph 45. No, 24 lithink we will come back to this later. Let's deal with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to 25 Page 126 Page 125 Page 126 Page | 22 | | 22 | Q. Now, I'm going to skip over the next section in your |
| 24 identified that some of the material contained 25 information suggesting that certain individuals may have Page 125 Page 126 Page 127 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 Page 129 Page 126 Page | 23 | Q. Did you understand that MI5's review of the results | 23 | |
| Page 125 Page 126 Page 1 | 24 | - | 24 | |
| page 15, paragraph 46. A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison, is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of Dear Robert to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: I page 15, paragraph 46. 2 greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "You're letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the | 25 | information suggesting that certain individuals may have | 25 | with Peter Morrison to begin with. Let's go, please, to |
| page 15, paragraph 46. A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison, is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of Dear Robert to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: I page 15, paragraph 46. 2 greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "You're letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the | | | | |
| 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential 4 child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that 5 correct? 6 A. I do, that's right. 7 Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at 8 paragraph 66. 9 A. Yes. 9 Parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that 10 Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 12 Richard Whittam published a document entitled 13 "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which 14 referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an 15 unnamed member of parliament, and this document was 16 partly based on their review of documents provided to 17 them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the 28 conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to 19 quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which 19 reads this way: 20 "Dear Robert. 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the | | Page 125 | | Page 126 |
| Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this documents partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the | | | | |
| 4 And then reference is made to a letter we are going 5 correct? 6 A. I do, that's right. 7 Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at 8 paragraph 66. 8 Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of 9 A. Yes. 9 Parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that 10 Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 12 Richard Whittam published a document entitled 13 "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which 14 referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an 15 unnamed member of parliament, and this document was 16 partly based on their review of documents provided to 17 them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the 18 conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to 19 quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which 19 reads this way: 20 "Dear Robert. 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament has 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 4 And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. 8 Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. 17 Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. 21 "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. 22 "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 1 | page 15, paragraph 46. | 1 | political embarrassment to the government is rather |
| to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled Richard Whittam published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published a document was indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then Richard Whittam Published A Duff of Mischard Review Whittam Published A Let me read it is so see short A I do, yes. I have got it open. Relativity operator it i | | | | |
| 6 A. I do, that's right. 7 Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at 8 paragraph 66. 9 A. Yes. 9 Parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that 10 Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 12 Richard Whittam published a document entitled 13 "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which 14 referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an 15 unnamed member of parliament, and this document was 16 partly based on their review of documents provided to 17 them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the 18 conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to 19 quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which 19 reads this way: 20 "Dear Robert. 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament has 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the | 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children |
| A. That's correct. Reparagraph 66. Reparagraph 47 that, by way of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, cabinet for the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with a coreptance of his word that he does not and the | 2 3 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential | 2 3 | greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." |
| paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims rounded the point in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Poar Robert. "To give one striking example, in response to claims reads this way: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 | A. Yes.Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that | 2 3 4 | greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going |
| 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 12 Richard Whittam published a document entitled 13 "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which 14 referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an 15 unnamed member of parliament, and this document was 16 partly based on their review of documents provided to 17 them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the 18 conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to 19 quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which 19 reads this way: 20 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 21 garlament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that 22 has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out 23 the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as 24 has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out 26 has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out 27 the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as 28 indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then 29 director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, 20 Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? 21 You have it? 22 A. I do, yes. I have got it open. 23 a penchant for supplementary report, which 24 staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 | A. Yes.Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 | greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to |
| Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the | 2 3 4 5 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. | 2 3 4 5 6 | greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? |
| 11 background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and 12 Richard Whittam published a document entitled 13 "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which 14 referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an 15 unnamed member of parliament, and this document was 16 partly based on their review of documents provided to 17 them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the 18 conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to 19 quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which 19 reads this way: 20 "Dear Robert. 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 26 Richard Whittam published a document entitled 27 the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as 28 indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then 29 director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, 20 Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? 21 You have it? 22 A. I do, yes. I have got it open. 23 indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then 24 director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, 24 cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? 25 You have it? 26 A. I do, yes. I have got it open. 26 P. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: 29 "Dear Robert. 20 "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. 21 "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. |
| Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the 12 indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of |
| "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims roughly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam regarding an that Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that |
| referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with a ceptance of his word that he does not and the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out |
| unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims rom two sources that a named member of parliament has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as |
| partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims remains from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the 16 A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, |
| them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims rom two sources that a named member of parliament 'has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the 17 Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | greater than the security danger. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then |
| conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the "Well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? |
| quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: 19 Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: 20 "Dear Robert. 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 21 "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has 22 Peter Morrison MP. 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 23 "The stories about him persist. A member of my 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 24 staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. |
| reads this way: 20 "Dear Robert. 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 21 "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has 22 Peter Morrison MP. 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 23 "The stories about him persist. A member of my 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 24 staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as |
| 21 "To give one striking example, in response to claims 21 "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of 22 from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has 22 Peter Morrison MP. 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 23 "The stories about him persist. A member of my 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 24 staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the |
| from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has 22 Peter Morrison MP. a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 23 "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: |
| 23 a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with 23 "The stories about him persist. A member of my 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 24 staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. |
| 24 acceptance of his word that he does not and the 24 staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. |
| observation that 'at the present stage the risks of 25 Conservative Party agent for Westminster, that he heard | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the |
| Page 127 Page 128 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. Yes. Q. Here you deal with historic allegations of potential child sexual abuse involving Peter Morrison; is that correct? A. I do, that's right. Q. It begins at paragraph 46 in your statement and ends at paragraph 66. A. Yes. Q. You make the point in paragraph 47 that, by way of background, on 3 June 2015, Peter Wanless and Richard Whittam published a document entitled "Supplement to the Wanless/Whittam Review" which referred to MI5 awareness of an allegation regarding an unnamed member of parliament, and this document was partly based on their review of documents provided to them by the Cabinet Office in spring 2015, following the conclusion of the Wanless/Whittam review. You go on to quote paragraph 6 of their supplementary report, which reads this way: "To give one striking example, in response to claims from two sources that a named member of parliament 'has a penchant for small boys', matters conclude with acceptance of his word that he does not and the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | greater than the security danger'. The risk to children is not considered at all." And then reference is made to a letter we are going to see shortly from Sir Antony Duff to Sir Robert Armstrong of 4 November 1986? A. That's correct. Q. You point out in your paragraph 48 that the member of parliament referred to is Peter Morrison, because that has clearly been in the public domain, and you point out the correspondence referred to is a letter from, as indeed it is, Sir Antony Duff, who was then director-general of MI5, to Sir Robert Armstrong, Cabinet Secretary. Can we please look at that document? You have it? A. I do, yes. I have got it open. Q. I just have to make sure everybody here can see it as well. For the chair and panel, it is tab 5, and for the Relativity operator it is INQ004040. Let me read it: "Dear Robert. "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of Peter Morrison MP. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the |

| 1 | from two sources that Morrison has a penchant for small | 1 | Then to the next page: |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2 | boys." | 2 | "At the present stage, however, and especially in |
| 3 | That's what Wanless and Whittam referred to in their | 3 | the light of the Jeffrey Archer case, the risk of |
| 4 | supplementary report, I think you will agree? | 4 | political embarrassment to the government is rather |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | greater than the security danger. I wonder if, as |
| 6 | Q. "He gave no details nor the date of any alleged | 6 | a first step, the Chief Whip might think it appropriate |
| 7 | activities and didn't reveal his sources. He gave the | 7 | to question Stewart? The Security Service could do this |
| 8 | impression of having received the information recently, | 8 | if necessary and we do have the impression that Stewart |
| 9 | but this might simply reflect the fact that Morrison has | 9 | meant his information to reach our ears, but I would |
| 10 | only recently taken up his position in the Conservative | 10 | just [as] soon that we did not get directly involved for |
| 11 | Central Office and has therefore only recently come into | 11 | time being." |
| 12 | Stewart's orbit. The information itself could still be | 12 | So that's that letter. I want to just pick up your |
| 13 | old. | 13 | statement and I will ask you some further questions |
| 14 | "The position is therefore that we are still not | 14 | about your views about all of this. |
| 15 | clear whether the stories about Morrison are | 15 | I think you found a series of other documents |
| 16 | a reflection of the 1983 rumour or are based on | 16 | I say "you", not you personally, but a series of five |
| 17 | something more recent. It does seem rather important to | 17 | documents was also found in MI5's corporate record which |
| 18 | seek to resolve the matter, one way or another, if | 18 | was identified by counsel to this inquiry as having |
| 19 | possible. There must, I suppose, be a real possibility | 19 | relevance. We have seen one of them. Can you go, |
| 20 | that Morrison will be a candidate for office again at | 20 | please, to the next tab in your file, tab 6. Can we put |
| 21 | some stage and we shall then be confronted by the need | 21 | up, please, on our screen INQ004036. This is the next |
| 22 | to consider these stories in the security context. It | 22 | one in time. It is dated 11 November 1986. Can you |
| 23 | would be preferable, if possible, to dispose of them (or | 23 | confirm it is an internal memo? |
| 24 | confirm them) before then. The first step would be to | 24 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 25 | talk to Stewart." | 25 | Q. It reads: |
| | | | |
| | Page 129 | | Page 130 |
| | | | |
| 1 | "A friend told me on November 10" | 1 | "As a result Peter Marrison was being hounded by |
| 1 | "A friend told me on November 10" | 1 | "As a result, Peter Morrison was being 'hounded' by |
| 2 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and | 2 | the press, representatives of which had recently |
| 2 3 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: | 2 3 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had |
| 2 3 4 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" | 2 3 4 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there |
| 2 3 4 5 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we | 2 3 4 5 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of | 2 3 4 5 6 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be The Star, on 3 November, since the Archer resignation to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep and seeking his comments. Peter told me that he had |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be The Star, on 3 November, since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep and seeking his comments. Peter told me that he had first learned of the allegation five years ago" |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be The Star, on 3 November, since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep and seeking his comments. Peter told me that he had first learned of the allegation five years ago" Which would mean, as it were, 1981, is about the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be The Star, on 3 November, since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys. That's what she was told, although the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep and seeking his comments. Peter told me that he had first learned of the allegation five years ago" Which would mean, as it were, 1981, is about the period that's being discussed: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be The Star, on 3 November, since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys. That's what she was told, although the press cutting doesn't in fact refer to small boys. She | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep and seeking his comments. Peter told me that he had first learned of the allegation five years ago" Which would mean, as it were, 1981, is about the period that's being discussed: "Peter told me that he had first learned of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be The Star, on 3 November, since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys. That's what she was told, although the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep and seeking his comments. Peter told me that he had first learned of the allegation five years ago" Which would mean, as it were, 1981, is about the period that's being discussed: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | The 11th seems to have been struck through and overwritten with a zero for the second "1", November 10: " that there had been a newspaper report" And we see there is a typewritten asterisk. If we look down the page, that's a reference to The Star of 3 November 1986: " since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys." There is another asterisk in the left margin which, at the foot of the memo, reads: "This is what I was told but the press cutting does not in fact refer to small boys." So the newspaper report, so the writer of this memo, you will confirm, who is Eliza Manningham-Buller A. Yes. Q is reporting that a friend told her on November 10 there had been a newspaper report, which turns out to be The Star, on 3 November, since the Archer resignation to the effect that another prominent Tory was under investigation by the police because of his interest in small boys. That's what she was told, although the press cutting doesn't in fact refer to small boys. She | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the press, representatives of which had recently followed him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently denied to another friend of [hers] that there was any truth in the story." So that's the second document that you have been shown. A. That's right. Q. The next in this series, please, is your tab 7, and our inquiry reference INQ004043. There are three aspects to this particular document. First of all, we see it is another internal memo from Eliza Manningham-Buller dated 13 November, so two days after the last; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. The typewritten text reads: "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night. Unprompted, they told me separately that the press had for the past two weeks been camping on Peter's doorstep and seeking his comments. Peter told me that he had first learned of the allegation five years ago" Which would mean, as it were, 1981, is about the period that's being discussed: "Peter told me that he had first learned of |

| 1 | asked him about it. The story (he did not specify what | 1 | allegations of some years ago. |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | it was) had been resurrected in the wake of | 2 | "Morrison has said privately that he hopes the press |
| 3 | Jeffrey Archer's resignation. The Prime Minister was | 3 | will publish something, so that he can sue, and nail the |
| 4 | aware of it and was supporting Peter. Peter hoped the | 4 | stories. He also says that both Mr Tebbit (who told him |
| 5 | press would publish something so that he could sue and | 5 | of the allegations when they first appeared) and the |
| 6 | nail the lies that were being spread about him." | 6 | Prime Minister are aware of the matter. |
| 7 | There are two other annotations on the face of this | 7 | "In the circumstances, there would seem to be little |
| 8 | internal memo to which I will return. At the top: | 8 | point in carrying this further." |
| 9 | "CO informed by telephone. Sir RA has taken no | 9 | Then, finally, the fifth document, I think it is, |
| 10 | action yet in DG's letter dated 4 November 1986." | 10 | dated 17 December, INQ004041, tab 9 for you and the |
| 11 | At the foot of the page, other handwriting: | 11 | chair and panel. This is a letter from Sir Robert |
| 12 | "Subject to agreement from F, I would write as in | 12 | Armstrong to Antony Duff, dated 17 December in type, but |
| 13 | the attached." | 13 | with a handwritten 18 December underneath. Might that |
| 14 | And then the next word, at least as far as I'm | 14 | be the date of receipt, do you know? |
| 15 | concerned, is illegible but there appears to be | 15 | A. I think that's right. |
| 16 | a signature or at least an initial or two beneath that. | 16 | Q. "My dear Tony. |
| 17 | So that's the next document in the series. | 17 | "Thank you very much for your letter of 18 November |
| 18 | Then, please, for you, tab 8, and for us INQ004037, | 18 | about Peter Morrison. |
| 19 | please. This is a letter from Sir Antony Duff, | 19 | "In the circumstances, I agree that there is little |
| 20 | director-general at the time, to Robert Armstrong, | 20 | point in carrying the matter further." |
| 21 | Cabinet Secretary, dated 18 November of that year: | 21 | So those are the documents that you have referred |
| 22 | "I wrote to you on 4 November about the stories | 22 | to. |
| 23 | about Peter Morrison. We now hear that the press are | 23 | In paragraph 50 of your statement, INQ004032, you |
| 24 | following Morrison about, and camping on his doorstep, | 24 | say that you wish to provide some context to the |
| 25 | in the hope of obtaining some comment from him on the | 25 | documents exhibited. Please tell us what you have to |
| | | | |
| | Page 133 | | Page 134 |
| | | | |
| 1 | say in those paragraphs, and I will ask you some | 1 | O Ves Let's look at that It's CAR000126 Vou should |
| 1 | say in those paragraphs, and I will ask you some | 1 | Q. Yes. Let's look at that. It's CAB000126. You should |
| 2 | supplementary questions? | 2 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in |
| 2 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you | 2 3 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. |
| 2 3 4 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware | 2 3 4 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison | 2 3 4 5 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, | 2 3 4 5 6 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? A. That's fine. Sir Antony Duff, in that letter, makes it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? A. That's fine. Sir Antony Duff, in that letter, makes it clear he is uncertain whether the allegations are new or a rehearsal of the older stories about Morrison that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that Morrison had been caught soliciting in a public lavatory |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? A. That's fine. Sir Antony Duff, in that letter, makes it clear he is uncertain whether the allegations are new or a rehearsal of the older stories about Morrison that circulated previously. There's a reference in that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that Morrison had been caught soliciting in a public lavatory and had been lucky not to be charged date |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? A. That's fine. Sir Antony Duff, in that letter, makes it clear he is uncertain whether the allegations are new or a rehearsal of the older stories about Morrison that circulated previously. There's a reference in that letter, paragraph 3, to the 1983 rumour. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that Morrison had been caught soliciting in a public lavatory |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? A. That's fine. Sir Antony Duff, in that letter, makes it clear he is uncertain whether the allegations are new or a rehearsal of the older stories about Morrison that circulated previously. There's a reference in that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that Morrison had been caught soliciting in a public lavatory and had been lucky not to be charged date unspecified; and more recently, a second friend told the same member of staff that Lord Cranborne had been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? A. That's fine. Sir Antony Duff, in that letter, makes it clear he is uncertain whether the allegations are new or a rehearsal of the older stories about Morrison that circulated previously. There's a reference in that letter, paragraph 3, to the 1983 rumour. I have been made aware of other correspondence which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that Morrison had been caught soliciting in a public lavatory and had been lucky not to be charged — date unspecified; and more recently, a second friend told the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | supplementary questions? A. Well, I recap in this paragraph slightly on what you have already covered, but recapping, we were made aware of the allegations relating to Morrison in November 1986, the source, the immediate source, being Donald Stewart, the Conservative Party agent for Westminster, who had informed a member of MI5 about the allegations. The corporate record, that is the letter, of 4 November, 5999/2, makes clear that Sir Antony Duff, the director-general, was unclear whether those allegations were new or identical to similar allegations that had been made in 1983. Q. Let me pause you there. Because we have the statement up on screen, but it is probably easier if we look at the documents while you're explaining them to us rather than your witness statement. Can we put up INQ004040, tab 5. Sorry to cut across you. Carry on, please? A. That's fine. Sir Antony Duff, in that letter, makes it clear he is uncertain whether the allegations are new or a rehearsal of the older stories about Morrison that circulated previously. There's a reference in that letter, paragraph 3, to the 1983 rumour. I have been made aware of other correspondence which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | have it and the chair and panel will have it in divider 15. A. Yes. Q. I will just wait for the Relativity operator to get it up on screen. Is this the letter you're talking about? A. It is, 6 January 1986. Q. Let's just read that, and then you can tell us of its relevance, because, again, it's from Antony Duff to Robert Armstrong. It's eight months or so before the series of letters and memos we have been looking at: "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that rumours were circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at that time. "I think you will wish to know that a member of my staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that Morrison had been caught soliciting in a public lavatory and had been lucky not to be charged date unspecified; and more recently, a second friend told the same member of staff that Lord Cranborne had been |

1 1 changing between documents, but do you say there's no people. In other words, the rumours persist and have 2 become more widespread. 2 material on MI5's corporate record to suggest that the 3 "On this second occasion, it was said that a Labour 3 1986 allegations were ever passed to the police? 4 MP had been charged with a similar offence at the same 4 A. That's right. 5 time. It appears that Dr Roger Thomas MP for Carmarthen Q. Looking at what you say, and of course we are looking at 5 6 was indeed arrested at the end of September 1983. It 6 an historical context rather than perhaps what might 7 seems probable, therefore, that the current rumours are 7 happen today, and we will come back to that later, do 8 based on the original 1983 story and not necessarily on 8 you think there was any requirement at the time to refer 9 a repetition." 9 the matter to the police? 10 If we therefore go back to the 4 November letter 10 A. Well, that's an interesting way to put the question. 11 which you are telling us about behind your tab 5, 11 I think the way Sir Antony appears to have approached 12 INQ004040 --12 the issue is by regarding it purely as a matter relevant 13 13 A. That's right. So that letter refers, again, to the 1983 to the security of the nation, and that's perhaps 14 a reflection of MI5's functions at that time. My rumour, and Sir Antony says it's not clear whether the 14 15 latest story, the one reported by his member of staff, 15 reading of the correspondence is that that was all he 16 are a reflection of those rumours or that rumour or 16 was considering. It's a matter of regret that no 17 based on something more recent. He doesn't analyse the 17 consideration was given at the time to the criminal 18 rumours against the latest information, so there's 18 aspects of the matter because if these rumours were in 19 19 obviously, on the face of it, a difference between any way true, then ideally they would have been passed 20 importuning and a penchant for small boys. But he 20 to the police so the police could investigate them. 21 appears to be uncertain whether it is a new thing. 21 Q. You will come to MI5's child and adult at risk 22 22 Q. Or just repetition of the old rumour? protection policy, which was recently revised. I'm 23 A. That's right. 23 looking at your paragraph 53. Is there anything to be 24 24 Q. Your paragraph 52, and we don't need to go to the drawn from that which can assist us, at least, in how 25 25 statement, because otherwise we will be chopping and MI5 might treat the matter today? Page 137 Page 138 1 A. Yes, today, as a matter of formal policy, MI5 does 1 ministerial appointment that was judged to be relevant 2 report all allegations of this sort to the police and, 2 to the security of the state." 3 3 Is that right? if the same information had come to MI5 today, it would 4 4 be passed to the police. A. It is, yes. 5 5 Q. Thank you. Going now to your paragraph 54 in your Q. "The purpose of that arrangement was to ensure that the 6 statement, under the heading "The role of MI5 in 6 Prime Minister was properly informed when making 7 relation to these allegations", you say: 7 decisions relating to appointments to ministerial 8 "The incident occurred before MI5's functions were 8 posts." 9 given a statutory basis by the Security Service Act 9 A. That's correct. 1989." 10 10 Q. It was, you say in paragraph 56, for that reason that 11 11 A. That's right. MI5 had an interest in the information relating to 12 Q. But at the relevant time, MI5's remit was still governed 12 Morrison. As a matter of history, in November 1986 he 13 by the Maxwell Fyfe Directive introduced in 1952, the 13 had already served as Minister of State for Employment, 14 relevant section of which said that MI5's "task is the 14 from June 1983 to September 1985, and Minister of State 15 defence of the realm as a whole from external and 15 for Trade and Industry, September 1985 16 internal dangers arising from attempts of espionage and 16 to September 1986. You say it is likely that in these 17 sabotage or from actions which may be judged to be 17 posts Morrison would have had access to sensitive 18 subversive of the state." 18 information? 19 You say --19 20 A. That's correct. 20 Q. Help us with what you say in paragraph 57. 21 Q. "A particular function introduced shortly before the 2.1 A. The particular concern that MI5 would have had on 22 22 implementation of [that] directive and continued after receipt of the information about Morrison was that, if 23 its introduction was that the director-general would 23 the allegations were true, they might render him liable 24 inform the Prime Minister of information relating to 24 to blackmail or to other sorts of pressure imposed by 25 ministers or persons who might be candidates for 25 hostile foreign intelligence services, and that concern Page 139 Page 140

1 you can see articulated in the letter of 4 November --1 Morrison, in order to inform an assessment of whether 2 2 O. Pause there, if you would? allowing him access to sensitive material might pose 3 A. -- where Sir Antony --3 a risk to national security. If such a risk had been 4 4 identified, MI5's role would have been to brief the Q. Pause there, I'm going to have it put on screen again. 5 INQ004040. This is paragraph 3 of that letter, your 5 Prime Minister so she was aware of the facts and could 6 6 make an informed decision about whether to reappoint 7 A. That's right. About halfway down the paragraph: 7 Morrison to a ministerial position." 8 "There must, I suppose, be a real possibility that 8 So it was all focused towards the risk he posed to 9 Morrison will be a candidate for office again at some 9 national security? 10 stage, and we should then be confronted by the need to 10 A. That he might pose, yes. 11 consider these stories in the security context. It 11 Q. Now, one of the proposals in the 4 November letter at 12 would be preferable, if possible, to dispose of them (or 12 the bottom -- we can see it in the last line -- is: 13 confirm them) before then." 13 "The first step would be to talk to Stewart." 14 14 And then to the second page. Slightly So that's the security consideration which 15 I understand and believe has prompted Sir Antony to 15 contradictory: 16 write to the Cabinet Secretary. The point being, MI5 16 "I wonder if, as a first step, the Chief Whip might 17 17 would want to understand the allegations, what were think it appropriate to question Stewart?" 18 they, and be able to make an assessment of their 18 So it looks as if the suggestion that 19 reliability in order that they could properly brief the 19 Sir Antony Duff was proposing to Sir Robert Armstrong 20 Prime Minister should she be considering reappointing 20 was to have Stewart, who was the source of 21 21 Morrison to a ministerial position. the information, or at least the intermediary source, to 22 22 Q. You say, as such -- I'm reading halfway down your question Stewart and to ask the Chief Whip to do it: 23 paragraph 57: 23 "The Security Service could do this if necessary and 24 "As such, MI5 would have wished to understand the 24 we do have the impression that Stewart meant his 25 25 nature and reliability of the allegations about information to reach our ears, but I would just [as] Page 142 Page 141 1 soon that we did not get directly involved for the time 1 appointed to a position, in which he would have access 2 being." 2 to classified material. If so, then MI5 would need to 3 3 Clearly, that never happened? be in a position to brief the Prime Minister. 4 4 A. That's right. It appears not to have done. Q. If he didn't have access to sensitive material, then the 5 5 Q. Can you understand Sir Antony Duff's reluctance for the same risk didn't apply? 6 Security Service to become involved and to have Stewart 6 A. Then there wouldn't be a security requirement, unless 7 questioned, if questioned at all, by the Chief Whip? 7 there was a possibility of him being appointed to such 8 A. Well, I think -- it's difficult to know for sure, but my 8 a position in future. 9 9 experience of the office would lead me to suggest that Q. Can we go, please, to the document behind tab 7, which 10 his focus was on getting answers to the questions, and, 10 we looked at a little earlier, which is the 11 11 13 November 1986 internal memo, INO004043. by implication, he clearly thought that an interview by 12 the Chief Whip would be as likely, if not more likely, 12 13 than an MI5 interview to produce co-operation from 13 Q. I said I would ask you about the handwritten 14 Stewart and to get the necessary information. If that's 14 annotations, and now is that time. Top right: 15 a correct assessment, the information was obtained by 15 "CO informed by telephone. Sir RA 16 16 that means, MI5 could do its job as we have previously [Robert Armstrong, clearly] has taken no action yet on 17 17 discussed. DG's letter dated 4 November 1986." 18 Q. As far as you're concerned, would it have made any 18 Do you understand what the initials CO are, or the 19 difference whether, at this point, Peter Morrison was 19 20 a minister of state, as against, for example, being the 20 A. I assume that is Cabinet Office. 21 deputy chair of the Conservative Party? In other words, 2.1 Q. So "[Cabinet Office] informed by telephone. Sir RA has 22 would it make a difference, from your perspective, 22 taken no action yet on DG's letter dated 4 November". 23 whether he was a member of the government or not? 23 Looking at the typewritten text, can you help us 24 24 with what it might be the Cabinet Office was informed of A. I think clearly, from a security perspective, the 25 concern would be, was he in a position, or might he be 25 by phone? Page 143 Page 144

1 1 Might it be Sir Antony Duff? A. I assume that the handwritten annotation, the top one, 2 2 indicates that the information typed in the memo has A. I'm certain it is, yes. 3 been passed orally to the Cabinet Office. 3 Q. Eliza Manningham-Buller was the author of two memoranda. 4 Q. We see, and I suspect, therefore, you haven't seen, any 4 Can we just go back briefly, please, to the original 5 5 4 November letter, INQ004040, because I want to ask you written response by Sir Robert Armstrong to 6 Sir Antony Duff's letter of 4 November? 6 about something you say in your paragraph 60. I think 7 7 you have rather come to the assumption that the member A. There's certainly nothing on the MI5 corporate records. 8 Q. So the only reaction that we have on the face of of staff who was given the information by Donald Stewart 9 the material until much later is this annotation that about he having heard from two sources that Morrison has 10 10 a penchant for small boys, you rather assume to be Eliza the Cabinet Office have been informed by phone, 11 presumably of, as you say, the information in this memo, 11 Manningham-Buller herself? 12 and Sir Robert has taken no action yet on the DG's 12 A. I'm assuming that, but I have to say it is an inference 13 letter dated 4 November, which rather supports the 13 drawn from the fact that she subsequently wrote two 14 14 notion that he hadn't written any response to it, or at internal memos about Morrison, and there is no other 15 least hadn't reacted to it in any other way? 15 indication on the corporate record that any other member 16 A. That's right. Yes. 16 of staff was receiving information about Morrison. 17 Q. Now, at the top of this particular memo, we see "DG, F 17 Q. I think you have seen her witness statement, have you? 18 to see and F2". Can you help us with all of that? 18 A. I have, yes. 19 A. Yes. DG is obviously the director-general. Director F 19 Q. She tends to indicate that she's not the member of 20 20 was the director who was responsible for overseeing the staff. I'm not being critical, but I think from her 21 Service's work on domestic subversion. And F2 would be 21 point of view she wasn't that member of staff. Does 22 22 a deputy director working under the director. that appear to be the case? 23 Q. So when we see the annotation at the foot of the letter, 23 A. I have to confess, she would be in a better position 24 24 or the memo, "Subject to agreement from F, I would write than me to comment. 25 as the attached", whose sign-off is that, do you think? 25 O. Of course Page 145 Page 146 Q. What would be the purpose of her having seen this letter 1 A. I can only work from the paperwork. 1 2 2 either before it went out and was finally dated or at Q. But I do want to ask you about something we see at the 3 top of this particular letter. Just to the right of 3 some other point in time? What would be the purpose of 4 the crest and after the redaction label, do you see 4 her seeing it? 5 "EMB" twice in capitals with the date 3 November 1986? 5 A. It's difficult for me to comment on that. But it may be 6 6 that the director-general wanted to keep her in the A. Yes. 7 Q. First of all, what -- I'm sure you weren't around in picture, so if she had had some conversation with him 8 1986, but can you help us at all with what that, on the 8 about Morrison or he was aware of a connection, he may 9 face of it, endorsement, or at least the initials with have wanted her to know that he'd written out in these 10 the date, signify? 10 terms. She was also working in the secretariat at the 11 A. The first "EMB", which is struck through, I would take 11 time. I don't know what her functions were there. But 12 to be an indication that this has been copied -- this 12 it may be, in the ordinary course of her secretariat 13 13 copy of the letter that's been sent out has been copied duties, the director-general thought she needed to see 14 to Eliza, she's struck it through as having seen it and 14 15 then initialled it "EMB, 3/11/86". 15 Q. Does it appear to you that she had any part to play or 16 16 Q. Except there's a problem with the date, isn't there? It would have had any part to play in the decision making? 17 predates by one day the actual date of the letter? 17 We will come back to the 18 November letter in a minute. 18 18 A. Yes, so my assumption would be that the letter was dated But did she have any direct part to play or would she 19 and went out on the 4th, but the internal copy was 19 likely have had any direct part to play in the decision 20 circulated on the 3rd. It may have been put together 20 making about what was to happen? 21 late on the 3rd. 2.1 A. I think it's most unlikely. I would expect, where 22 22 decisions are made by the Service and records kept on 23 23 A. And Eliza has seen it on that day. corporate record, that they would give a pretty clear 24 Q. Or she's made a mistake and misdated it? 24 indication of who was contributing to or making 25 A. Yes. That's always a possibility. 25 decisions, and there's no indication that I can see that

Page 147

Page 148

| 1 | Eliza has made or contributed to these decisions. | 1 | rumours, and was supporting him. That's the first |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | Q. So her role, and I think you say this elsewhere, was | 2 | thing. And, second, that he, Morrison, hoped the press |
| 3 | limited to reporting internally about the allegations | 3 | would publish something so that he could sue and nail |
| 4 | she'd heard from others, including Peter Morrison | 4 | the lies that were being spread about him. So there |
| 5 | himself? You say that, if you want to refer to it, | 5 | were two important bits of information that she passed |
| 6 | I think at paragraph 63? | 6 | on as Morrison having imparted to her personally. Is |
| 7 | A. That's right. Working from the corporate record, that | 7 | that correct? |
| 8 | does seem to be the case. | 8 | A. That's correct, yes. |
| 9 | Q. Can I ask you this, though | 9 | Q. If we go to the decision letter, if you like, of |
| 10 | A. I think | 10 | 18 November, your tab 8, our INQ004037, do you agree |
| 11 | Q. Carry on, please. | 11 | that when we look at it, really, Sir Antony Duff is |
| 12 | A. I was going to say that, from a Service perspective, | 12 | totally relying upon the information that was received |
| 13 | that's exactly what would have been expected of a member | 13 | a few days earlier from Eliza Manningham-Buller as being |
| 14 | of staff: if they heard of something that could be | 14 | the reasoning underlying the decision in effect to do |
| 15 | of security significance, they would be expected to | 15 | nothing. Paragraph 2: |
| 16 | report it up. And, as Eliza was working in the | 16 | "Morrison has said privately that he hopes the press |
| 17 | secretariat and this was a matter concerning a member of | 17 | will publish something, so that he can sue, and nail the |
| 18 | parliament, I would have expected her to have reported | 18 | stories. He also says that both Mr Tebbit (who told him |
| 19 | it up to the director-general. | 19 | of the allegations when they first appeared) and the |
| 20 | Q. Can we go back, then, please, first of all, to the memo | 20 | Prime Minister are aware of the matter." |
| 21 | of 13 November, INQ004043, tab 7. | 21 | So, in essence, do you agree, if you compare the |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | reasoning in the letter of 18 November with the |
| 23 | Q. She was reporting, on 13 November, two important things, | 23 | information that Eliza Manningham-Buller passed on to |
| 24 | really, in the last four lines of that memo. First of | 24 | Sir Antony Duff via her memo of 13 November, |
| 25 | all, that the Prime Minister was aware of the rumour, or | 25 | Sir Antony Duff has relied totally on the information |
| | P 440 | | 7. 450 |
| | Page 149 | | Page 150 |
| | | | |
| 1 | she's passed to him as underlying the reason why nothing | 1 | doubts on that score, he was in a very good position to |
| 2 | she's passed to him as underlying the reason why nothing was going to be done? | 1 2 | doubts on that score, he was in a very good position to check them. |
| | was going to be done? | | |
| 2 | | 2 | check them. |
| 2 3 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first | 2 3 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather |
| 2 3 4 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is | 2 3 4 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least |
| 2 3 4 5 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the | 2 3 4 5 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that | 2 3 4 5 6 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what he said was accepted rather than enquired into? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave Sir Antony Duff which relied totally on Peter Morrison's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what he said was accepted rather than enquired into? A. Looking at the bald paperwork, there does seem to be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave Sir Antony Duff which relied totally on Peter Morrison's honesty? Was that not a matter, do you think, looking |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what he said was accepted rather than enquired into? A. Looking at the bald paperwork, there does seem to be a chain running from Morrison through Eliza to the director-general to the Cabinet Secretary. As I say, a key strand in the reasoning is that the Prime Minister | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave Sir Antony Duff which relied totally on Peter Morrison's honesty? Was that not a matter, do you think, looking back, that might have been a topic of enquiry? A. I think Eliza, as I read the internal memo, was passing on simply passing on what Morrison had told her. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what he said was accepted rather than enquired into? A. Looking at the bald paperwork, there does seem to be a chain running from Morrison through Eliza to the director-general to the Cabinet Secretary. As I say, a key strand in the reasoning is that the Prime Minister was aware of the allegations and supportive of Morrison | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave Sir Antony Duff which relied totally on Peter Morrison's honesty? Was that not a matter, do you think, looking back, that might have been a topic of enquiry? A. I think Eliza, as I read the internal memo, was passing on simply passing on what Morrison had told her. It's the director-general who had to decide what to do |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what he said was accepted rather than enquired into? A. Looking at the bald paperwork, there does seem to be a chain running from Morrison through Eliza to the director-general to the Cabinet Secretary. As I say, a key strand in the reasoning is that the Prime Minister | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave Sir Antony Duff which relied totally on Peter Morrison's honesty? Was that not a matter, do you think, looking back, that might have been a topic of enquiry? A. I think Eliza, as I read the internal memo, was passing on simply passing on what Morrison had told her. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what he said was accepted rather than enquired into? A. Looking at the bald paperwork, there does seem to be a chain running from Morrison through Eliza to the director-general to the Cabinet Secretary. As I say, a key strand in the reasoning is that the Prime Minister was aware of the allegations and supportive of Morrison and, I say again, if the Cabinet Secretary had any | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave Sir Antony Duff which relied totally on Peter Morrison's honesty? Was that not a matter, do you think, looking back, that might have been a topic of enquiry? A. I think Eliza, as I read the internal memo, was passing on simply passing on what Morrison had told her. It's the director-general who had to decide what to do about that. He clearly had reached the point of view |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | was going to be done? A. Well, there's clearly a correlation, but, on the first point, the Prime Minister being aware, the DG is obviously writing to the Cabinet Secretary. So the Cabinet Secretary would be in a position to check that and, if he had doubts on the score, come back on it. Secondly, you say he relied on Eliza's reasoning as a reason for doing nothing. I think I would qualify that by saying relied on it as a reason not for pursuing the Security investigation. Q. Do you accept that, on the face of it and of course you're not personally involved and you're giving evidence as a corporate witness, but do you agree, on the face of it, certainly to the extent that the information that Eliza Manningham-Buller imported to Sir Antony Duff relies upon what Peter Morrison himself said to her, his word was simply taken as read, and what he said was accepted rather than enquired into? A. Looking at the bald paperwork, there does seem to be a chain running from Morrison through Eliza to the director-general to the Cabinet Secretary. As I say, a key strand in the reasoning is that the Prime Minister was aware of the allegations and supportive of Morrison | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | check them. Q. I can see that. But wasn't the obvious route, rather than writing a letter of decision, or at least suggesting in the circumstances Sir Antony Duff's paragraph 3 that there would seem to be little point in carrying this further, shouldn't an enquiry have been made of Sir Robert Armstrong to enquire of the Prime Minister whether in fact that was true, that, first, she was aware of it and, secondly, supporting him, before Sir Antony wrote a letter in these terms? A. I don't know what the proper protocol would have been, but if I had been the Cabinet Secretary receiving this letter, I would be immediately alert to the reference "the Prime Minister's knowledge and support". And if I felt any concern on that score, I would query it myself. Q. What about the part of the information that she gave Sir Antony Duff which relied totally on Peter Morrison's honesty? Was that not a matter, do you think, looking back, that might have been a topic of enquiry? A. I think Eliza, as I read the internal memo, was passing on simply passing on what Morrison had told her. It's the director-general who had to decide what to do |

1 1 matter of security. It would be preferable, if that it was not necessary to conduct a security 2 2 investigation. I say in my statement why I think that possible, to deal with this before the point at which 3 that is the case. If -- you may be coming on to this. 3 Morrison might be offered a further ministerial 4 4 I don't want to pre-empt. appointment. But even then, the language seems to me to 5 Q. No, you tell us, if you have it in mind. 5 be somewhat tepid and I think this probably partly 6 A. The first point is, if the Prime Minister was aware and 6 explains why he would be content for the Chief Whip to 7 7 investigate Mr Stewart rather than MI5 needing to do it the Cabinet Secretary could query this if there was any 8 doubt, and particularly, if she was aware and supportive 8 9 of Morrison, then it's very difficult to see what 9 Q. So the whole exercise and the way that I think you are 10 requirement there would have been for MI5, from 10 saying it should be properly looked at is based upon how 11 a security perspective, to conduct any sort of 11 MI5 will have looked at the issue at the time, which is 12 investigation. 12 solely about the security risk if he was to be offered 13 13 further ministerial -- a further ministerial position, The point of an investigation would be to brief the 14 Prime Minister. If the Prime Minister knew of 14 and once Eliza Manningham-Buller had passed on her 15 the allegations, was not particularly, on the face of 15 second pieces of information, and on the assumption that 16 it, concerned about them, if this is a true account of 16 Robert Armstrong didn't undermine what was said about 17 the situation, then there would be little point in MI5 17 the Prime Minister's awareness and support for him, then 18 investigating them further. She was in a position to 18 that was the end of it? Is that correct? 19 make any decision about ministerial appointments that 19 A. I'm looking at it as MI5's corporate witness from 20 20 she might wish to make. a security perspective and I'm speaking to what I think 21 The second thing, and this draws on the 21 the reasoning was of those who were involved at the 22 22 interpolation of paragraph 65 that you mentioned earlier time. That's how they were seeing the matter. As 23 taken from my letter of correction. My reading of 23 I said earlier, it is a matter of deep regret that no 24 the initial 4 November letter is that Sir Antony did 24 consideration seems to have been given at any point in 25 25 the process to the fact that, if the allegations were not, even at that stage, regard this as a pressing Page 153 Page 154 1 true, they represented serious criminal conduct and 1 about what appreciations ought to have been at the time. 2 2 therefore, at the very least, should be exposed to the I'm aware, everybody else is aware, that the knowledge 3 3 police for them to make a decision about investigation. and understanding of child sexual abuse at that time was 4 4 That's a matter of deep regret. But it appears from the much, much lower than it is now. So I'm not surprised, 5 5 to some extent unsurprised, that that wider corporate record that that consideration was never 6 given. 6 consideration wasn't given in 1986. With hindsight, it 7 Q. And so MI5 and, for that matter, Sir Robert Armstrong, 7 is a matter of real regret. 8 at least at that time, were rather blinkered about the 8 MR ALTMAN: I'd like to come on now, please, to 9 9 proper approach to this. I suspect what you are saying Peter Hayman. It is slightly earlier than we would 10 10 is, it was right on its own terms, but they didn't take otherwise take our break by a few minutes, but I hope 11 11 you don't mind having a break, and I'm sure the chair a wider view about what the situation was if 12 Peter Morrison was in fact abusing children, that 12 and panel would like a break, and I suspect if I ask 13 13 everybody to be ready to resume at 3.25 pm, will that be children were going to continue to be abused and nothing 14 was being done about it. 14 all right with you? 15 15 A. They took a narrow, security-related view, in my A. That's fine, thank you. 16 16 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much. appreciation, not a broader one. 17 17 Q. Yes. So that we are clear, are you saying that that was (3.12 pm)(A short break) 18 18 something they ought to have done at the time or should 19 we be looking at this as a function of the times and 19 (3.25 pm) 20 that the narrower view was justified in 1986 and 20 MR ALTMAN: I hope you're enjoying your cup of tea. 21 wouldn't be today, or are you saying, even then, they 2.1 22 22 should have taken a broader approach to this and to have Q. Can we come on to Peter Hayman, please? 23 reported the matter to the police? 23 24 A. I think I'm -- I can only take the view that, with 24 Q. You deal with this at paragraphs 67 to 74 of your 25 hindsight, it's a matter of deep regret. I can't speak 25 witness statement. Page 155 Page 156

| | | 1 | |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | A. That's correct. |
| 2 | Q. Did he first come to the attention of the police and | 2 | Q. Following that discovery, given Sir Peter Hayman's |
| 3 | prosecutors in 1978? You say in paragraph 67 "following | 3 | history he'd retired in 1974 but had held some |
| 4 | the recovery of a parcel of obscene material discovered | 4 | high-profile postings, including the High Commissioner |
| 5 | on a bus"? | 5 | in Canada; is that correct? |
| 6 | A. That's right. He didn't come to MI5's notice until two | 6 | A. That's correct, yes. |
| 7 | years later. | 7 | Q. So was it decided, following the press publicity, that |
| 8 | Q. In anything that you have seen, can I ask you this, have | 8 | MI5 should investigate whether anything more was known |
| 9 | you seen any reference, in any of the materials you have | 9 | or suspected about his activities whilst serving in the |
| 10 | been asked to consider, to a package of material, | 10 | Diplomatic Service in order to determine whether there |
| 11 | including photographs of young boys in underwear, aged | 11 | was any reason to suppose that security had been |
| 12 | around 8 to 11, being found in St James' Park around the | 12 | compromised or whether there was any evidence of |
| 13 | same time? | 13 | pressure that had been placed on him by any hostile |
| 14 | A. No, I've seen nothing to that effect. | 14 | foreign intelligence service? |
| 15 | Q. You will confirm, and we will see later, that Hayman was | 15 | A. That's correct. |
| 16 | not prosecuted for any offences relating to the items | 16 | Q. Was the publicity in the press, do you know? Was it |
| 17 | found on the bus. Is that correct? | 17 | a Private Eye article, dated 24 October 1980? |
| 18 | A. To my understanding, yes. | 18 | A. Yes, that was it. |
| 19 | Q. Were you aware also of other material found in a flat | 19 | Q. With the title "Beast of Berlin"? |
| 20 | which he occupied in Linden Gardens in Bayswater? | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | A. Yes, I am aware of that. | 21 | Q. I'm not going to put this up on the screen, but do you |
| 22 | Q. You say, and just let's repeat, that MI5 were not | 22 | have behind your tab 10 a document which was material |
| 23 | informed about the police investigation at the time, | 23 | that was provided to the Service by the DPP's office? |
| 24 | and, as you say, it didn't come to your attention until | 24 | It was some of the material which had been typewritten |
| 25 | it was reported in the press in 1980? | 25 | from, or it appears to have been typewritten from, some |
| | | | |
| | Page 157 | | Page 158 |
| 1 | of the many diaries that were found in the | 1 | 45 loose-leaf files compiled by Hayman in manuscript and |
| 2 | Linden Gardens flat by police? | 2 | entitled 'Sex Diaries'." |
| 3 | A. Yes, I believe that these are typed notes made by | 3 | Then paragraph 3: |
| 4 | a member of MI5 of the contents of some of the diaries. | 4 | "From the prosecution point of view, the case is in |
| 5 | Q. These were from access which MI5 had to the DPP case | 5 | three parts" |
| 6 | file? | 6 | And those are there set out, including in paragraph |
| 7 | A. I think the DPP had, yes, that's right, given us access | 7 | (a): |
| 8 | to the diaries, not to the case file itself. | 8 | " potential offences under section 11 of the Post |
| 9 | Q. If you go, please, behind your tab 11, and we can put | 9 | Office Act 1953." |
| 10 | this one up on screen, INQ004042. It's difficult to | 10 | In paragraph (b): |
| 11 | read because it's faded in part, but is this an MI5 note | 11 | " papers in relation to the Paedophile |
| 12 | for the file about Peter Hayman? | 12 | Information Exchange [PIE]. It was decided that those |
| 13 | A. It is, yes. | 13 | concerned with the organising body of PIE should be |
| 14 | Q. It reads, insofar as I'm able to read it: | 14 | prosecuted for conspiracy to corrupt public morals. On |
| 15 | "I visited the DPP's office with" | 15 | 12 February" |
| 16 | And there is a redaction: | 16 | It is hard to read the date: |
| 17 | " on 29 October 1980" | 17 | " they were committed to trial" |
| 18 | Pausing there, that's five days after the | 18 | Something about Wells Street Magistrate's Court: |
| 19 | Private Eye article "Beast of Berlin": | 19 | " after oral evidence had been given. The trial |
| 20 | " to look at the Hayman papers. On arrival, we | 20 | is listed at the Central Criminal Court for January |
| 21 | were briefed by the director. Tim Taylor (assistant | 21 | 1981." |
| 22 | director) and Jeremy Naunton (case officer) were | 22 | I think the date might have been 12 February 1980? |
| 23 | present. | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | "We examined a considerable number of documents | 24 | Q. Then: |
| 25 | consisting of police records, witness statements and | 25 | "Hayman is a member of PIE, used the name Henderson. |
| | | | • |
| | | | |
| | Page 159 | | Page 160 |

| 1 | The depositions in the case refer to Henderson as being | 1 | publicised that there was, as it were, a disconnect of |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | a person with whom witnesses corresponded. In one part | 2 | opinion between the DPP and the Attorney General of |
| 3 | of the deposition, Hayman is mentioned." | 3 | the day about how Hayman should be dealt with? |
| 4 | I think it reads: | 4 | A. First I heard of it was when I read this note. I have |
| 5 | "There is no doubt that Hayman and Henderson are one | 5 | not read the Private Eye article. |
| 6 | and the same." | 6 | Q. "He intended to make this clear should there be press |
| 7 | Then paragraph (c) deals with the prosecution of | 7 | enquiries otherwise he would make no comment as the |
| 8 | the organisers, two men named Morris and Wardell, | 8 | case was sub judice. He had consulted the Law Officer's |
| 9 | charged with conspiring to contravene section 11 of | 9 | Department. |
| 10 | the Post Office Act." | 10 | "(b) in his opinion the piece indicated a leak at |
| 11 | So that was one of the documents on the Service | 11 | New Scotland Yard. When the Yard had been asked (on our |
| 12 | file. There was a second one, your tab 12, INQ004035 | 12 | · · |
| 13 | under the heading "Sir Peter Hayman": | | behalf) for the diaries, police had been told that they |
| 14 | "The DPP rang am 31 December 1980 about the second | 13 | were required by the attorney. Neither the attorney nor |
| 15 | | 14 | his staff had in fact seen the diaries. |
| | article on Hayman, 'Beast of Berlin (2)' which appeared | 15 | "4. In answer to his question I told the |
| 16 | in the 2 January 1981 issue of Private Eye. A copy is | 16 | director that. |
| 17 | attached. | 17 | "(a) a number of FCO [Foreign and Commonwealth |
| 18 | "I discussed the article with and then rang the | 18 | Office] staff had been interviewed. More interviews |
| 19 | director back on Federal." | 19 | were to take place. The purpose of our interview |
| 20 | Which is presumably a system that applied at the | 20 | programme was to discover: |
| 21 | time: | 21 | "(i) the extent of knowledge of Hayman's activities |
| 22 | "He made the following points. | 22 | and. |
| 23 | "(a) there had been no 'flaming row' between himself | 23 | "(ii) if there was any evidence of pressure on |
| 24 | and the Attorney General." | 24 | Hayman on the part of a hostile intelligence service. |
| 25 | Was that something, do you know, that had been | 25 | "(b) so far the interviews had produced no concrete |
| | D 474 | | D 4/2 |
| | Page 161 | | Page 162 |
| | | | |
| 1 | evidence on either count. | 1 | the interviewee that when Hayman was a diplomat in |
| 1 2 | evidence on either count. "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the | 1 2 | the interviewee that when Hayman was a diplomat in Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys |
| | | | • |
| 2 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the | 2 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys |
| 3 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. | 2 3 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to |
| 2 3 4 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim | 2 3 4 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual |
| 2 3 4 5 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was | 2 3 4 5 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the | 2 3 4 5 6 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement — and perhaps we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Baghdad I think that was 1959 and '60 local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say A. When Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. Q. Quite right. Can you please summarise what he had to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the first instance, this Baghdad report was put to him. He |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. Q. Quite right. Can you please summarise what he had to say, please? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the first instance, this Baghdad report was put to him. He was specifically asked about a report of local boys |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. Q. Quite right. Can you please summarise what he had to say, please? A. Yes, I can. Firstly, is, for the inquiry's terms of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the first instance, this Baghdad report was put to him. He was specifically asked about a report of local boys visiting his house along with allegations of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. Q. Quite right. Can you please summarise what he had to say, please? A. Yes, I can. Firstly, is, for the inquiry's terms of reference, the concern that was raised by one of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the first instance, this Baghdad report was put to him. He was specifically asked about a report of local boys visiting his house along with allegations of homosexuality that had been made against |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. Q. Quite right. Can you please summarise what he had to say, please? A. Yes, I can. Firstly, is, for the inquiry's terms of reference, the concern that was raised by one of the interviewees was that a member of a foreign | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the first instance, this Baghdad report was put to him. He was specifically asked about a report of local boys visiting his house along with allegations of homosexuality that had been made against Q. Can you stop there, please, because I think we have lost |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. Q. Quite right. Can you please summarise what he had to say, please? A. Yes, I can. Firstly, is, for the inquiry's terms of reference, the concern that was raised by one of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the first instance, this Baghdad report was put to him. He was specifically asked about a report of local boys visiting his house along with allegations of homosexuality that had been made against |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "(c) we have had no contact with the Yard over the case. "5. The director also said that the article's claim that he had attempted a deal with the defence was untrue. Geoffrey Robertson, the defence counsel [little known member of the Bar at the time] had asked the director if he would accept pleas to a lesser charge. The director had referred the matter to the attorney and they had decided to go ahead on the criminal charges." Those are the two file notes that were found on MI5's files; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. From paragraph 68 of your statement and perhaps we can go back to that, because you there summarise the series of interviews that colleagues had with Peter Hayman; is that correct? A. Well, that members of MI5 had with colleagues of Hayman and with Hayman himself. Q. Quite right. Can you please summarise what he had to say, please? A. Yes, I can. Firstly, is, for the inquiry's terms of reference, the concern that was raised by one of the interviewees was that a member of a foreign | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Baghdad — I think that was 1959 and '60 — local boys had visited his house, and the implication, according to the interviewee, being that this was for homosexual purposes, and the interviewee said that the foreign diplomat had not mentioned to him the age of the boys. Q. You say — A. When — Q. Can I just ask you this, in the second sentence of paragraph 68, you preface what you write in paragraph 68 and what you have just told us by saying two of his colleagues raised concerns, one relating to an allegation of possible child sexual abuse. Is that the Baghdad information, the possible child sexual abuse? A. That's right. Q. Carry on, please. A. That's right. So Hayman was interviewed by MI5 twice, and that was at the end of the programme of interview, so he had seen all the other entries by then. In the first instance, this Baghdad report was put to him. He was specifically asked about a report of local boys visiting his house along with allegations of homosexuality that had been made against Q. Can you stop there, please, because I think we have lost |

| | | ١, | |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | you hear me? Can you hear me? No, he's talking and I'm | 1 | it on my file? Why has no-one mentioned this before?" |
| 2 | talking and I'm afraid we can't hear each other. So we | 2 | Shall I carry on? |
| 3 | will have to go back. I'm sure it is a very interesting | 3 | Q. Please. |
| 4 | answer, which I will have to get him to repeat. | 4 | A. He was asked then if he'd been blackmailed or threatened |
| 5 | I think the witness has just been told that we have | 5 | and replied, "I've never paid money for blackmail". He |
| 6 | lost the audio. | 6 | was asked if, leaving money aside, he had been menaced |
| 7 | (Break in connection) | 7 | or pressured, he replied, "Write down that I have never |
| 8 | MR ALTMAN: Don't worry, I'm on to that. Let's go back to | 8 | been blackmailed or threatened by anyone". |
| 9 | paragraph 69. I'm afraid I could see you talking but we | 9 | Hayman then said he would like to make a statement, |
| 10 | couldn't hear you. Therefore, can we start again at | 10 | as his marriage and happy and fulfilled. The |
| 11 | paragraph 69, because you were telling us about the | 11 | interviewer pointed out that in a letter he described as |
| 12 | matters that were put to Hayman in an interview with | 12 | wife as "cold", and he replied, "That's the kind of |
| 13 | him, and so, if you can take that from there, please, | 13 | thing you write". Hayman then said that the press |
| 14 | and then we can carry on. | 14 | revelations had filled him with shame and horror, but he |
| 15 | A. Yes, certainly. So during a security interview with | 15 | wanted to make the point that at no time had he been |
| 16 | Hayman, MI5 put to him the Baghdad incident. They asked | 16 | subjected to pressure on account of his behaviour. |
| 17 | him about his time in Baghdad, specifically about | 17 | Shall I continue? |
| 18 | reports of local boys visiting his house, plus | 18 | Q. Yes, please. |
| 19 | allegations of homosexuality that had been made by | 19 | A. He told the interviewer he understood the diaries we |
| 20 | diplomats he had known in Baghdad. He laughed as if the | 20 | have spoken about before had been shredded and said he'd |
| 21 | suggestion was absurd and said "No". He was then asked | 21 | been given immunity from prosecution by the DPP on the |
| 22 | if there were circumstances which had brought boys to | 22 | ground that his offence didn't warrant such punishment. |
| 23 | the house for innocent purposes, and he said not. He | 23 | But he added, "I have been punished by the press". |
| 24 | said, "I am not interested in boys. Has someone | 24 | During the second interview, he was asked about the |
| 25 | reported I was interested in Arab boys in Baghdad? Is | 25 | discovery of the package of obscene material on the bus |
| | Page 165 | | Page 166 |
| 1 | and specifically he was asked if he'd left the material | 1 | Q. The second part of this is, according to what you have |
| 2 | on the bus. He replied he hadn't and he did not know | 2 | summarised in your paragraph 73, Hayman said he had |
| 3 | how it had reached the hands of the police. The record | 3 | destroyed all pornography in his possession after his |
| 4 | says he seems to have suggested it had become open in | 4 | interview with the DPP, who had decided, after |
| 5 | transit. Hayman said he had not continued to deal in | 5 | consultation with the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, |
| 6 | pornography. He didn't consider himself a risk taker | 6 | to give him immunity from prosecution. |
| | pornography. The drain t consider minisch a risk andr | _ ~ | |
| / | anart from keening the diaries. He said he had never | 7 | |
| 7 8 | apart from keeping the diaries. He said he had never | 7 8 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that |
| 8 | lost classified material in his care through | 8 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about |
| 8 9 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in | 8 9 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? |
| 8 9 10 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as | 8 9 10 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. |
| 8 9 10 11 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him | 8 9 10 11 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what |
| 8 9 10 11 12 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. | 8 9 10 11 12 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with | 8 9 10 11 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal interview, under caution with police officers present or | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. Q. So you don't understand it to be immunity in the sense |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal interview, under caution with police officers present or simply an informal meeting with the DPP at which the DPP | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. Q. So you don't understand it to be immunity in the sense that we would all understand it, but that he's been |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal interview, under caution with police officers present or simply an informal meeting with the DPP at which the DPP had asked him questions and he had presumably given | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. Q. So you don't understand it to be immunity in the sense that we would all understand it, but that he's been given some form of promise that he won't be prosecuted? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal interview, under caution with police officers present or simply an informal meeting with the DPP at which the DPP had asked him questions and he had presumably given answers? | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. Q. So you don't understand it to be immunity in the sense that we would all understand it, but that he's been given some form of promise that he won't be prosecuted? A. I'm not sure I understand it at all. It's simply |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal interview, under caution with police officers present or simply an informal meeting with the DPP at which the DPP had asked him questions and he had presumably given | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. Q. So you don't understand it to be immunity in the sense that we would all understand it, but that he's been given some form of promise that he won't be prosecuted? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal interview, under caution with police officers present or simply an informal meeting with the DPP at which the DPP had asked him questions and he had presumably given answers? A. There's no indication on MI5's corporate record apart | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. Q. So you don't understand it to be immunity in the sense that we would all understand it, but that he's been given some form of promise that he won't be prosecuted? A. I'm not sure I understand it at all. It's simply a record that we have made of an interview, and I think |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | lost classified material in his care through carelessness and that he destroyed all pornography in his possession after his interview with the DPP who, as I said, after consultation with the FCO, had given him immunity from prosecution. Q. Pausing there, what do we know about an interview with the DPP? A. I know nothing other than what he said and is recorded in that second interview. Q. So in the course of a second interview, he seemed to indicate that he had had an interview with the DPP. Was there any indication whether this was a formal interview, under caution with police officers present or simply an informal meeting with the DPP at which the DPP had asked him questions and he had presumably given answers? A. There's no indication on MI5's corporate record apart | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Have you seen any material at all to suggest that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office were consulted about the decision? A. No, I haven't. Q. His use of the word "immunity", do you understand what that means? A. I understand what it means, yes. Q. What do you take it to mean? A. It's normally used to mean that somebody has been assured that if they do something, they won't be prosecuted for it, which would not seem to be applicable here. This is Hayman's own language recorded by the interviewer. Q. So you don't understand it to be immunity in the sense that we would all understand it, but that he's been given some form of promise that he won't be prosecuted? A. I'm not sure I understand it at all. It's simply a record that we have made of an interview, and I think |

| 1 | said that weren't of direct interest to MI5. | 1 | this marning. It's your section 10 tab 10. Can we not |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q. Doing the best you can, it is simply a recitation of | 2 | this morning. It's your section 19, tab 19. Can we put up, please, on screen HOM002207. |
| 3 | what he had to say. What interpretation others cast on | 3 | A. Yes, I have that. |
| 4 | it may be the subject of argument and conjecture? | 4 | Q. This appears to be the line to be taken in relation to |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | a question that Geoffrey Dickens was going to ask either |
| 6 | Q. Your paragraph 74, the interviews were written up as | 6 | in a written question or an oral parliamentary question |
| 7 | a report. You say that the Cabinet Office used it as | 7 | on 17 March 1981, and if we want to get our bearings, |
| 8 | the basis for a minute to the Prime Minister? | 8 | 17 March is four days after the Tom O'Carroll, the |
| 9 | A. That's right, yes. | 9 | erstwhile secretary and chair of PIE had been convicted |
| 10 | Q. How do you know that? | 10 | • |
| | A. Because I have seen correspondence, I believe I'm | 11 | at the Central Criminal Court on a retrial of conspiracy |
| 11 12 | • | 12 | to corrupt public morals. So that puts it in context. |
| 13 | working from memory now, because I haven't got it in | 13 | If we look first of all, perhaps, at the third page |
| | front of me on the corporate record indicating that | 14 | of this document, at question 69, which has got lines either side of the entry: |
| 14 15 | that's what happened. So we haven't got the report to the Prime Minister as I understand, but I think we have | 15 | |
| | , | | "Mr Geoffrey Dickens: to ask the Secretary of State |
| 16 | something indicating the Cabinet Office did put up | 16 | for Defence whether, in view of the references to the |
| 17 | a report. | 17 | conduct of a former senior civil servant of the Ministry |
| 18 | Q. Do you know if it was simply a briefing note informing | 18 | of Defence in the case of R v Thomas O'Carroll and |
| 19 | the Prime Minister about the circumstances of the Hayman | 19 | others, he will cause an investigation to be made of |
| 20 | case and its outcome, or was it a note to the | 20 | the extent to which a security risk occurred at the |
| 21 | Prime Minister about what line should be taken in the | 21 | posts at which that official served." |
| 22 | event of further press interest? | 22 | If we go back, please, to the first page, we can see |
| 23 | A. I'm afraid I don't know. I'm not in a position to say. | 23 | the name "Sir P Hayman" in the top right, and the name |
| 24 | Q. Now, I'd like you, please, if you would, to look at | 24 | "Sir Brian", which I think might be Sir Brian Cubbon: |
| 25 | a document I hope you've had a little time to look at | 25 | "The security department of the FCO would like to |
| | Page 169 | | Page 170 |
| 1 | clear with us their proposed answer to the PQ | 1 | into Peter Hayman? |
| 2 | [Prime Minister's Question, presumably] from Mr Dickens | 2 | A. Yes. I think the it's fair to summarise the outcome |
| 3 | (which they will pass to whichever department replies)." | 3 | of the Hayman investigation as being that he had |
| 4 | This is the proposed response: | 4 | certainly rendered himself vulnerable to pressure by |
| 5 | "The security authorities have undertaken a full | 5 | a foreign intelligence service, but the conclusion was |
| 6 | investigation and have concluded that there has been no | 6 | that there had been no actual prejudice of security. |
| 7 | prejudice to security." | 7 | Q. By now, of course, he was long retired. I think he |
| 8 | In this context, can I also ask you, please, to go | 8 | retired in 1974? |
| 9 | to another document you have been supplied with this | 9 | A. I think so. |
| 10 | morning it is section 20 for the chair and panel and | 10 | Q. Therefore, there was no present risk to security because |
| 11 | for you, tab 20. If we go, please, to the second page | 11 | he didn't have access to sensitive material or sensitive |
| 12 | of it, it is CAB000071_002. This is part of the Hayman | 12 | posts? |
| 13 | | 13 | A. Yes. |
| | file from the Cabinet Office. We can see, can we not, | 1.5 | A. 1cs. |
| 14 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which | 14 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on |
| 14 15 | | | |
| | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper | 14 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on |
| 15 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which | 14 15 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into |
| 15 16 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. | 14 15 16 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any |
| 15 16 17 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to | 14 15 16 17 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE |
| 15 16 17 18 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. | 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to the one that was given before in the Home Office | 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? A. No, because, with the exception of the Baghdad incident, |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to the one that was given before in the Home Office document. This time it reads: "The security authorities have carried out a full | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? A. No, because, with the exception of the Baghdad incident, the interviews relating to Hayman didn't throw up |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to the one that was given before in the Home Office document. This time it reads: "The security authorities have carried out a full investigation. This has revealed nothing to suggest | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? A. No, because, with the exception of the Baghdad incident, the interviews relating to Hayman didn't throw up anything bearing upon the subject of child sexual abuse. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to the one that was given before in the Home Office document. This time it reads: "The security authorities have carried out a full investigation. This has revealed nothing to suggest that security has been prejudiced." | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? A. No, because, with the exception of the Baghdad incident, the interviews relating to Hayman didn't throw up anything bearing upon the subject of child sexual abuse. Q. The question is more finely focused. The question is whether you are aware of any other investigations into |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to the one that was given before in the Home Office document. This time it reads: "The security authorities have carried out a full investigation. This has revealed nothing to suggest that security has been prejudiced." A. Yes. | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? A. No, because, with the exception of the Baghdad incident, the interviews relating to Hayman didn't throw up anything bearing upon the subject of child sexual abuse. Q. The question is more finely focused. The question is |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to the one that was given before in the Home Office document. This time it reads: "The security authorities have carried out a full investigation. This has revealed nothing to suggest that security has been prejudiced." | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? A. No, because, with the exception of the Baghdad incident, the interviews relating to Hayman didn't throw up anything bearing upon the subject of child sexual abuse. Q. The question is more finely focused. The question is whether you are aware of any other investigations into PIE members in other words, members of the Paedophile |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the same question, halfway down, numbered 69, which I just read into the record on the formal order paper that you saw? A. Yes. Q. The same question. The answer is slightly different to the one that was given before in the Home Office document. This time it reads: "The security authorities have carried out a full investigation. This has revealed nothing to suggest that security has been prejudiced." A. Yes. | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q. May I ask you this, and I'm asked to ask you this on behalf of one of the core participants: is there any information to say whether the investigations into Hayman resulted in the investigation of any other PIE members? A. No, because, with the exception of the Baghdad incident, the interviews relating to Hayman didn't throw up anything bearing upon the subject of child sexual abuse. Q. The question is more finely focused. The question is whether you are aware of any other investigations into PIE members in other words, members of the Paedophile |

| 1 | concerns for the security services? | 1 | of his report or his statement. Does it read in this |
|----|--|----|---|
| 2 | A. I'm not, no. | 2 | way, because this is what we are looking at. It is |
| 3 | Q. So you can't say, and you're not in a position to say, | 3 | branch note of 24 August 1983, and does he say: |
| 4 | whether or not any other such investigations ever took | 4 | "In relation to the branch note dated |
| 5 | place? | 5 | 24 August 1983, it was verified that the document was |
| 6 | A. No, but insofar as MI5 has conducted very deep searches | 6 | produced by the Metropolitan Police Obscene Publication |
| 7 | of its records, if MI5 had been involved in or aware of | 7 | Squad and was not a Metropolitan Police Special Branch |
| 8 | any such investigation, I would have expected | 8 | note." |
| 9 | documentary records to have been found. | 9 | A. It does, yes. |
| 10 | Q. Thank you. Which brings us neatly on to the Paedophile | 10 | Q. I'm sure you're prepared to accept that. It may be that |
| 11 | Information Exchange, which you deal with in a short | 11 | you were incorrectly told that it was a Special Branch |
| 12 | paragraph. The first thing you say is by reference to | 12 | note, but in fact it is not, it was from the Obscene |
| 13 | what you call a Special Branch note and an MI5 note for | 13 | Publications Squad. So this is |
| 14 | file on the Paedophile Information Exchange. You refer | 14 | A. I fully accept that. |
| 15 | to two documents in particular, and I would like, | 15 | Q. We don't have to look through all of it. The date is |
| 16 | please, if we could look at those now. The first one is | 16 | clear, 24 August 1983, it relates to PIE. The first |
| 17 | INQ004038, which is in your tab 13. | 17 | paragraph reads: |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | "The Paedophile Information Exchange is an |
| 19 | Q. The first thing is this: in your witness statement, you | 19 | organisation consisting of a group of men who advocate |
| 20 | call it a Special Branch note, but have you been shown | 20 | that sexual acts between adults and children should, |
| 21 | today a witness statement which has been disclosed to | 21 | with certain limited exceptions, be made lawful and, |
| 22 | everybody by Alastair Pocock, who is a detective | 22 | even in the event of such an act falling into their |
| 23 | inspector in the public inquiry liaison team we don't | 23 | category of exceptions, that act should be dealt with |
| 24 | have to put this up on screen, but we can adduce it in | 24 | outside the criminal law and with the utmost leniency." |
| 25 | full, MPS003549. I simply ask you to go to paragraph 9 | 25 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | ran, na ocooo iy. Tompiy asa you to go to paragraph | | |
| | Page 173 | | Page 174 |
| 1 | Q. The next paragraph reads: | 1 | "In order to assist investigations into serious |
| 2 | "An investigation into this group was carried out in | 2 | crimes in Leicestershire and Sussex, a list of known |
| 3 | 1978 by Police Sergeant Bryan Collins and Police | 3 | members of the Paedophile Information Exchange, which |
| 4 | Constable David Atkins, both formerly members of | 4 | was compiled during the investigation by Constable |
| 5 | the Obscene Publications Branch. As a result of this | 5 | Collins, was forwarded to the respective constabularies. |
| 6 | investigation, the group's leader, | 6 | Again, as far as is known, no prominent persons feature |
| 7 | Thomas Victor O'Carroll, was convicted of a conspiracy | 7 | in this list." |
| 8 | to corrupt public morals at the Central Criminal Court | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | [as I have said] on 13 March 1981. He was sentenced to | 9 | Q. So that we understand, this was a document that was on |
| 10 | two years' imprisonment." | 10 | MI5's file; is that right? |
| 11 | Then can we turn, please, to the final page, page 3: | 11 | A. That's correct, yes. |
| 12 | "During the investigation, no evidence came to light | 12 | Q. In your file, can we go to tab 14, and for us can we put |
| 13 | of any specific criminal offences being committed by the | 13 | up on screen INQ004034, please. This was the MI5 note |
| 14 | persons involved other than those mentioned above. The | 14 | which you found, or was found, in MI5's files. It |
| 15 | investigation was carried out in relation to the | 15 | clearly deals with PIE: |
| 16 | publication of the magazine" | 16 | "Not for File. In November 1982 police officers of |
| 17 | Which we know to have been called Magpie: | 17 | the Obscene Publication Branch, Scotland Yard, raided |
| 18 | " and not into the organisation itself. However, | 18 | the South London address of an executive member of PIE. |
| 19 | it would appear that any sexual offences committed by | 19 | Large quantities of documents were retrieved. These |
| 20 | these individuals would be carried out on a personal | 20 | have been examined by and as a result the following |
| 21 | basis and not as a collective organisation." | 21 | information about the organisation has been |
| 22 | Then this: | 22 | established." |
| 23 | "As far as the investigating officers are aware, | 23 | So, on the face of it, the MI5 file relates to an |
| 24 | there are no persons prominent in public life involved | 24 | examination of materials which had been obtained during |
| 25 | in this organisation at the present time. | 25 | a raid at the South London address of an executive |
| | 5 r | | |
| | Page 175 | | Page 176 |

1 member of the organisation in November 1982. Is that 1 collating information that came to it from a variety of 2 2 how we should understand this note? sources, some of it open source, in order that there was 3 A. Yes. 3 a corporate record relating to the organisation. So the 4 Q. The only part for now I wish to draw attention to is 4 file may have contained some reports from 5 that on the top of the third page, please. Under the 5 Special Branch, but none of them have been selected for 6 heading "Finances": 6 examination at this inquiry by the inquiry's legal team. 7 "A treasurer's report which was compiled 7 Q. Can I ask you when you have finished your evidence this 8 in October 1982 showed that there was £460.481/2 in the 8 afternoon that you -- not yourself, but you ask somebody 9 PIE's account. Recently, PIE's finances are thought to 9 just to make that search, please? 10 be in a parlous state. There is no evidence of any 10 A. Certainly. 11 other source of funds except from the membership." 11 Q. Thank you. Next, can you say why MI5 held the police 12 May I ask, was it your understanding that that 12 note in relation to PIE? In other words, the first of 13 passage was drawn from MI5's analysis of the material 13 the two documents I have invited your attention to? 14 that they had --14 A. MI5 was interested in PIE in the context of its 15 A. Yes. 15 countersubversion work. Originally, a proportion of 16 Q. - which had come from a PIE member or an executive 16 founding members of PIE were active on the subversive 17 member's cache of materials? 17 left wing and MI5 was interested in PIE as being 18 A. That's right. 18 a possible vehicle for subversive activity. Now, it may 19 Q. May I ask you, please, in relation to PIE, a few other 19 have been that somebody in the Metropolitan Police was 20 questions which we have been asked to ask you. First, 20 aware of that MI5 interest and copied the branch note 21 have any records been found to suggest that 21 across, in which case it would have found its way to the 22 Special Branch liaised with or updated MI5 about PIE? 22 file. 23 A. I can't, speaking from memory, answer that question. 23 Q. I am asked to ask you whether it was just the police and 24 MI5 did have a file on PIE, but it never actively the MI5 note that were found in MI5's records on PIE? 24 25 investigated PIE. What it was essentially doing was 25 A. No, there are a variety of other documents, including, Page 177 Page 178 1 as I said, press reports, but those are the only two 1 Q. We find two versions of it. I am only going to deal, 2 2 documents that were selected for examination at this I think, with the revised version, which is your 3 3 exhibit 5999/1B. It is in tab 4. For us, if we can put inquiry. I should say, not selected by us, but selected 4 4 up on the screen INQ004039, please. by counsel to the inquiry. 5 5 Q. I understand. The final question I was asked to ask You deal with this at length in your witness 6 you: whether you are able to say now what MI5's interest 6 statement from paragraph 29 onwards. Before we come to 7 in PIE was, I think you've already answered, so I shan't 7 the case studies and how the policy works and how it 8 ask you that again. 8 might work in relation to historic cases, can you tell 9 9 us a little about when it was developed and why it was 10 10 Q. Can we then please go back to your statement at developed? 11 11 paragraph 45? A. Yes. Prior to 2014, there was no specific MI5 policy 12 12 relating to protection of children and adults at risk. 13 13 Q. Because you deal there with a number of case studies We did have longstanding guidance on how to deal with 14 that relate to the child and -- I'm just looking for the 14 intelligence relating to threat to life, and we had 15 15 title of it. It is your policy, your safeguarding guidance on how to deal with information relating to 16 policy, the Child and Adult at Risk policy. Is that 16 serious crime, but in 2014, the senior members of the 17 right? 17 Service responsible for overseeing the ethics of MI5's 18 18 work formed the view that it would be desirable to A. That's right, yes. 19 Q. Before I go to ask you about that, you will remember 19 clarify policy on handling information relating to, 20 I stopped at that momentarily before but then departed 20 particularly, abuse of children. This was largely 21 from it to deal with Morrison and Hayman first. Can you 2.1 driven by the desire of staff to upgrade the clarity. 22 22 tell us, please, a little about the safeguarding policy It was a time when society generally was becoming more 23 23 that MI5 has? It was originally dated, as I understand aware of the issue, and we acted in response largely to 24 it, 2014 but recently revised in 2019. 24 staff wish for clearer guidance and specific guidance. 25 A. That's right. 25 So it was worked -- the guidance was worked up in 2014 Page 179 Page 180

| 1 | and promulgated that year. | 1 | policy operates in practice, and you say the examples |
|----|--|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And revised more recently. If you look at your | 2 | that you give and there are three of them are real |
| 3 | paragraph 32, it looks as if you'd had a review which | 3 | cases in which MI5 received information indicating the |
| 4 | led to the revision of the policy. You say it began | 4 | possible mistreatment of children. You say the first |
| 5 | before enquiries were received from this inquiry about | 5 | two examples involved possible child sexual abuse and |
| 6 | it. But did the review take into account those | 6 | the third possible violence against a child. Can you |
| 7 | enquiries and, accordingly, was the policy revised? | 7 | just run us through those three examples, please, and |
| 8 | A. Yes. A number of revisions have been made and some of | 8 | how the policy worked in relation to them? |
| 9 | the revisions respond to questions the inquiry put to us | 9 | A. Certainly. So the first example, MI5 received |
| 10 | about the original policy. | 10 | intelligence indicating that an identified associate of |
| 11 | Q. In paragraph 35 I'm not going to go through it now | 11 | a subject of interest may have known of a female, who |
| 12 | because of the time you deal with certain statistics | 12 | I will call X, referred to in the intelligence only by |
| 13 | arising out of searches that were conducted about child | 13 | her first name, who may have been the victim of sexual |
| 14 | protection and safeguarding incidents; is that correct? | 14 | contact with a member of her own family. The |
| 15 | A. That's correct, yes. | 15 | intelligence indicated her first name, her ethnicity and |
| 16 | Q. Then at paragraph 37, you deal with the policy in | 16 | the occupation of the family member with whom she was |
| 17 | practice. Again, that is in your statement, and you go | 17 | thought to have had sexual contact. We had no further |
| 18 | through certain paragraphs which are referred to in the | 18 | details as to her identity. In accordance with the |
| 19 | safeguarding policy itself, the revised policy. I don't | 19 | policy, that information was passed to the police. |
| 20 | propose to go through those now, but the statement, as | 20 | Q. Thank you. |
| 21 | I say, has been adduced. | 21 | A. The second example, MI5 received intelligence indicating |
| 22 | But I do want to ask you, please, before I come on | 22 | that, again, an identified associate of an SOI in his |
| 23 | to paragraph 45, about some case studies that you set | 23 | 20s may have been involved in, or attempting to be |
| 24 | out in paragraph 44, and that's because you have been | 24 | involved in, a relationship with a female who may have |
| 25 | asked to provide some case studies to illustrate how the | 25 | been under 17. MI5 was unable to confirm the female's |
| | Page 181 | | Page 182 |
| 1 | age and had no further details of her identity. The | 1 | don't record whether the information in these cases was |
| 2 | same intelligence indicated that the identified | 2 | in fact ever reported to the police at the time? |
| 3 | associate would prefer a relationship with a female | 3 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | under the age of 16. MI5's assessment was that the | 4 | Q. The list of cases, you make clear, and the Service's |
| 5 | individual was posturing, potentially showing off, to | 5 | assessment of how the current policy would have applied |
| 6 | the people with whom he was dealing and wasn't currently | 6 | to them is what you set out in the table. Can we put |
| 7 | engaged in a relationship with a female under the age of | 7 | this up on the screen, please: INQ004032 013. We begin |
| 8 | 16. But as the position wasn't clear, the information | 8 | with Maurice Oldfield, who was the head of the Secret |
| 9 | was passed in accordance with the policy to the police. | 9 | Intelligence Service, MI6, and was this information that |
| 10 | The final example was, over a period of more than | 10 | was related about him, that, in 1987, the Prime Minister |
| 11 | a year, MI5 received intelligence on a number of | 11 | informed the House of Commons that Sir Maurice Oldfield |
| 12 | occasions indicating that an identified associate of | 12 | had told her in March 1980 that he had occasionally had |
| 13 | a subject of interest may have used physical violence | 13 | homosexual encounters. His positive vetting clearance |
| 14 | against one of the children of the subject of interest, | 14 | was withdrawn and MI5 conducted a lengthy investigation |
| 15 | it being alleged that the child had been beaten. On | 15 | to determine whether Sir Maurice's sexual activities |
| 16 | each occasion, in accordance with the policy, we passed | 16 | posed a risk to national security by making him |
| 17 | that information to the police. | 17 | vulnerable to blackmail or other pressure. The |
| 18 | Q. Thank you. Now, effectively, finally, your | 18 | investigation included many interviews with Sir Maurice |
| 19 | paragraph 45, because what you have sought to do is to | 19 | in which he provided information about homosexual |
| 20 | apply the current policy that MI5 has to historic cases | 20 | encounters with male domestic staff, referred to as |
| 21 | involving information about potential child sexual | 21 | "house boys", whilst serving in the Middle East in the |
| 22 | abuse. Is that correct? | 22 | 1940s and hotel stewards in Asia in the 1950s. The |
| 23 | A. That is correct, yes. | 23 | information was previously unknown to MI5 and, you |
| 24 | Q. You make the point that, in relation to the names and | 24 | understood, to the other security and intelligence |
| 25 | circumstances we are about to go through, MI5's files | 25 | agencies, SIS and GCHQ. There was insufficient |
| | | | |
| | Page 183 | | Page 184 |

1 information in the records to deduce whether the term 1 have been passed to the police as potential information 2 "house boys" was being used simply to describe domestic 2 relating to serious crime. 3 staff or to denote youth, leaving ambiguity over the 3 Q. Thank you. Peter Morrison, who we have dealt with. As 4 ages of the other parties. 4 we saw, in the mid '80s, you received information from 5 Is this right, in terms of how the policy would have 5 two sources that Morrison has a penchant for small boys. 6 applied had it been in force at the time, you say the 6 Again, would be passed to the police? 7 information would have been passed to the police? 7 A. It would. 8 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Leon Brittan: in the mid 1980s, MI5 received information 9 9 Q. Peter Hayman, who we have just been through, in 1980, one afternoon suggesting that Leon Brittan, or a close 10 MI5 received information suggesting he'd engaged in 10 MP associate of his, engaged in sexual relations with 11 sexual activity with young boys, the detail of which, as 11 teenagers. Further information was received the next 12 I say, we have gone through. That information would 12 morning clarifying that the information did not in fact 13 13 have gone to the police? relate to Leon Brittan but was rumoured to relate to the 14 MP associate. Further information received later in the A. Yes, it would. 14 15 Q. Tom Driberg. In 1981, MI5 received information that 15 week clarified that the rumour had been started by 16 suggested that Driberg had engaged in sexual activities 16 a prisoner turned down for parole out of vindictiveness. 17 with young boys. Again, that information would have 17 What you say about the reaction under the policy in the 18 gone to the police; is that right? 18 circumstances obtaining today: 19 19 A. Yes, it would. I should qualify that answer very "Would be passed to the police as relating to the MP 20 slightly: it would be passed to the police. It would 20 associate (not to Leon Brittan) together with the 21 21 information about it being the product of [the have been passed to the police not under the child and 22 22 adult risk protection policy because, as I understand it prisoner's] vindictiveness." 23 now -- I didn't realise at the time I made the 23 Is that right? 24 statement -- Driberg had died in 1976, so no children 24 A. That's correct. 25 25 were then at risk in 1981 of abuse by him. But it would Q. The next individual, Christopher Chataway, an MP: Page 185 Page 186 1 "In 1973, the DPP's Office [or department] informed 1 Q. Would it matter where the offences, if they were 2 2 MI5 of rumours that [he] was engaged in sexual offences, had been committed, jurisdictionally? 3 activities with children." 3 A. No, it wouldn't. Under our policy, it doesn't matter 4 4 Do you say here that because this information came whether the activity takes place within this 5 from another government department, MI5 would ask the 5 jurisdiction or elsewhere. We would pass it to the 6 DPP's Office if they had passed the information to the 6 police for them to act on as they judged appropriate. 7 police and, if not, would agree with them who should do 7 Q. While we have Charles Irving in mind, I am going to ask 8 so? 8 you, please, just to divert for a moment to tab 2 in 9 9 your bundle. And I am going to ask the Relativity 10 10 Q. So a slight difference here, but that's because another operator, please, to put up on screen CAB000120. 11 11 government department is seized of the issue? 12 A. Yes, exactly, and they would know where they received 12 Q. This is a letter from PJ Walker on 10 November 1989. 13 13 Was he the director-general at that time? the information from. It is, in a sense, their 14 information, so I would expect them to act on it. But 14 A. Yes, he was. 15 if they were not prepared to or preferred us to, we 15 Q. To Robin Butler, who I think was the Cabinet Secretary 16 16 at that time, in relation to Charles Irving. would have that discussion. 17 Q. Then we come to Charles Irving, an MP where the 17 A. Yes. 18 18 information was, over a number of years, MI5 received Q. "There have been press reports implying that Mr Irving 19 information on several occasions that Irving was 19 is a homosexual. From other reports which have reached 20 homosexual. In 1984, MI5 received information that 20 us there may well be substance in this. I do not think 21 whilst overseas, Irving had rented a hotel room "to take 21 that this information necessarily makes him unsuitable 22 22 boys", and you say that that would be passed to the for recommendation for an honour, but you should be 23 police? 23 aware of it." 24 24 A. Yes. The 1984 information would be passed to the Would it be usual, do you know, or do you happen to 25 25 know, for the Service to be writing letters of that police. Page 187 Page 188

1 nature at that time to the Cabinet Office? 1 In 1968, MI5 received information from the Foreign and 2 A. I'm afraid this is something which is outside my 2 Commonwealth Office about the refusal of positive 3 personal experience, and I believe was very 3 vetting clearance for Peters. This was due to his 4 confidentially handled within the Service, for obvious 4 arrest in Naples the previous year on allegations of 5 the criminal assault of three Italian boys and his reasons. 5 6 Q. Do you have any idea in the second sentence -- do you 6 admission that he had committed homosexual acts. 7 see the words "from other reports which have reached A similar outcome: because this information came from 8 us ...", do you know what the "other reports" refer to? 8 another government department, would you first ask the 9 A. I don't, but they will be -- the letter wouldn't have 9 Foreign and Commonwealth Office if they had passed the 10 been written without something on the corporate record 10 information to the police and, if not, again, it would 11 bearing that out. 11 be a matter of agreement whose job it would be to do so? 12 12 Q. Back to your table, please, on page 14, in your witness 13 13 statement, Antony Lambton, who later became Q. Then, finally, as far as this table is concerned, 14 Lord Lambton. In 1973, the police passed MI5 14 William van Straubenzee. In 1982, did MI5 receive 15 information about an alleged video recording showing him 15 information suggesting that he engaged in sexual 16 involved in sexual activities with a boy. The outcome, 16 activities with young boys whilst in Northern Ireland? 17 in similar circumstances today, is: 17 The information was shared with the Cabinet Office, who 18 "As this information came from the police, MI5 would 18 shared it with the Prime Minister. But in this 19 19 not take any action." instance, it would be passed to the police? 20 Is that right? 20 A. Yes, that's right, even if the information came to us. 21 21 Q. Even if the information had already been shared with the A. Yes, if the Service is sure that the police already know 22 22 about something, then the policy doesn't require us to Northern Ireland Office or the Cabinet Office or the 23 tell them it again. If there is any doubt on the 23 Prime Minister, as the case may be? 24 matter, then the policy requires us to tell them. 24 A. Yes. There's inevitably a risk of duplication and there 25 25 Q. Then a man by the name of Colin John Meredith Peters. would no doubt be some coordination to ensure there Page 189 Page 190 THE CHAIR: Ms Sharpling has a question. 1 wasn't multiple reporting of the same information. 1 2 2 Questions by THE PANEL I would regard us as having the lead responsibility to 3 3 MS SHARPLING: Just one question, if I may: in relation to report. 4 Q. Finally, then, to your statement, at paragraph 77, 4 your policy, as you've described it, is there any way of 5 5 because this is a conclusion which I am sure you would monitoring or checking if those matters which ought to 6 like me to ask you about. You say: 6 have been reported to the police or other authorities 7 "Although it is not the function of MI5 to 7 are actually reported to them in the right way. 8 8 investigate the sexual abuse of children, MI5 recognises A. Yes, there is, and I perhaps should have included that g 9 that we will on occasion receive information relating to in the statement. We have a process of review. So all 10 such matters in the course of performing our national 10 reports of this sort are tagged with a specific tag. 11 security functions. We recognise, too, that it is vital 11 There is a single word applied to them which means they 12 that such information is shared appropriately with the 12 can be searched for in the corporate record. 13 13 police or other authorities who have safeguarding Periodically, a review is conducted against all records 14 14 responsibilities and can deploy the appropriate tools with that tag to check what the state of play is to make 15 15 and powers to ensure children are kept safe. This is sure that nothing falls between stools or that if action 16 16 why MI5 adopted its Child and Adult at Risk protection is taken and then no response is received, we follow up 17 policy. MI5 will continue to develop that policy in 17 and chase up the response. So there is a mechanism, 18 which I should have covered in my statement. 18 accordance with best practice and the advice of 19 experts." 19 MS SHARPLING: Thank you very much. 20 A. Correct. 20 THE CHAIR: There are no further questions. Thank you. 21 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much. Those are all the 21 MR ALTMAN: Thank you. That completes your evidence. Thank 22 22 questions I have for you. I am just going to see you very much indeed. 23 23 A. Thank you. whether the chair or any other members of the panel have 24 any questions for you before we complete your evidence. 24 (The witness withdrew) 25 25 MR ALTMAN: Thank you. We can cut the link. A. Certainly. Page 191 Page 192

| 1 | Thank you very much. 10.00 am tomorrow. | 1 | |
|----|---|----|-------------------------------------|
| 2 | THE CHAIR: Thank you. | 2 | Examination by MR O'CONNOR80 |
| 3 | (4.24 pm) | 3 | • |
| 4 | (The hearing was adjourned to | 4 | Questions by THE PANEL109 |
| 5 | Tuesday, 12 March 2019 at 10.00 am) | 5 | |
| 6 | | 6 | Witness statements adduced by MS110 |
| 7 | | 7 | O'BYRNE |
| 8 | INDEX | 8 | |
| 9 | | 9 | MI5 WITNESS (affirmed)113 |
| 10 | MS DOREEN FRANCES MOWATT (sworn)1 | 10 | |
| 11 | , , | 11 | Examination by MR ALTMAN113 |
| 12 | Examination by MR O'CONNOR1 | 12 | |
| 13 | , | 13 | Questions by THE PANEL192 |
| 14 | MR GRAHAME NICHOLLS (affirmed)19 | 14 | Questions by THE TTH VEE |
| 15 | with old its lives (definited) | 15 | |
| 16 | Examination by MR O'CONNOR19 | 16 | |
| 17 | Examination by MR OCOMNOR17 | 17 | |
| 18 | Questions by THE PANEL56 | 18 | |
| 19 | Questions by THE FAMEL | | |
| | MC IANE LEE (off-mod) 57 | 19 | |
| 20 | MS JANE LEE (affirmed)57 | 20 | |
| 21 | E C / L MCODYDYE | 21 | |
| 22 | Examination by MS O'BYRNE57 | 22 | |
| 23 | | 23 | |
| 24 | MS CHRISTINE MARGARET RUSSELL80 | 24 | |
| 25 | (affirmed) | 25 | |
| | Page 193 | | D 404 |
| | rage 193 | | Page 194 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| L | | | |

| | 1100 | 120 21 150 16 | 1 112 0 41 12 | 15616201650 |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| A | acting 118:9 | 138:21 179:16 | agreed 12:8 41:13 | 156:16,20 165:8 |
| abhorrent 47:15 | action 43:2 133:10 | 185:22 191:16 | 99:6 104:7,9,20 | 191:21 192:21,25 |
| able 53:24 54:3 | 144:16,22 145:12 | adults 174:20 | 105:11 | 194:11 |
| 114:19 136:17 | 189:19 192:15 | 180:12 | agreement 39:4,15 | Amalgamated |
| 141:18 159:14 | actions 139:17 | advantage 43:25 | 39:21 41:9,10,13 | 20:7 |
| 179:6 | active 81:2 84:13 | advantageous 45:5 | 41:17 42:4,9,21 | ambiguity 185:3 |
| absentee 84:1 | 100:1 178:16 | adverse 123:11 | 45:4 55:11 56:12 | amendments |
| absolutely 15:25 | actively 177:24 | advice 10:20 96:24 | 65:3,8 95:9 | 114:24 117:21 |
| 21:6 22:1 27:19 | activities 14:22 | 123:16,19 191:18 | 96:16 99:4 106:3 | amount 5:10 |
| 33:5 40:13,17 | 15:24 73:20,24 | advise 10:13,19 | 107:8 133:12 | analyse 137:17 |
| 43:3 63:9 69:1 | 129:7 158:9 | advocate 174:19 | 145:24 190:11 | analysis 177:13 |
| 75:8 93:9 108:5 | 162:21 184:15 | affairs 8:18 83:17 | agreements 108:11 | Angel 61:6 |
| 108:6 | 185:16 187:3 | affirmed 19:16 | ahead 163:10 | angry 82:17 |
| absurd 165:21 | 189:16 190:16 | 57:15 80:21 | AIDS 108:3 | annotation 145:1,9 |
| abuse 125:9 126:1 | activity 10:16 | 113:9 193:14,20 | Alastair 173:22 | 145:23 |
| 127:4 156:3 | 85:24 122:3 | 193:25 194:9 | alcoholism 9:24 | annotations 133:7 |
| 164:13,14 172:21 | 178:18 185:11 | afraid 165:2,9 | 87:9,14 109:11 | 144:14 |
| 180:20 182:5 | 188:4 | 169:23 189:2 | alert 152:14 | announced 106:23 |
| 183:22 185:25 | acts 10:22 174:20 | afternoon 178:8 | allegation 38:9,18 | 107:3,3 |
| 191:8 | 190:6 | 186:9 | 38:19,24 85:12 | annoyed 45:20 |
| abused 155:13 | actual 39:18 99:5 | age 108:4 109:21 | 86:4 127:14 | 84:14 |
| abusing 155:12 | 147:17 172:6 | 119:11,12,17 | 132:21,25 164:13 | annual 5:20 |
| accept 151:12 | ad 82:10 | 164:6 183:1,4,7 | allegations 75:15 | anonymous 113:6 |
| 163:8 174:10,14 | add 45:13 76:20 | aged 157:11 | 85:12,12 87:10 | answer 8:3 44:5,14 |
| acceptance 127:24 | 122:24 126:3 | agencies 184:25 | 88:5 90:5 91:1,9 | 44:15 54:10 |
| accepted 151:19 | added 14:3 21:17 | agent 2:20 3:11,25 | 94:9 95:11 96:9 | 107:20 162:15 |
| access 93:20 | 75:4 166:23 | 6:14,22 12:5,6 | 96:13,13,23 | 165:4 171:1,18 |
| 125:18 140:17 | additional 125:7 | 14:21 15:2,9,12 | 97:10,14 98:8,19 | 177:23 185:19 |
| 142:2 144:1,4 | 125:12 | 15:20,22 18:2,4 | 101:17,19 102:2 | answered 179:7 |
| 159:5,7 172:11 | additionally 123:6 | 18:16 39:17 | 107:19 109:9 | answers 143:10 |
| account 3:20 30:13 | additions 2:25 | 51:22 81:5,8 | 110:12 112:9,19 | 167:23 |
| 48:13 53:21 | address 22:6 | 89:6 92:17 93:2 | 127:3 134:1,5 | antagonise 72:6 |
| 75:23 95:8 | 176:18,25 | 93:3 94:19 95:5 | 135:5,9,12,12,20 | anti-establishme |
| 153:16 166:16 | adduce 110:20,24 | 103:8 107:7 | 138:3 139:2,7 | 45:15 |
| 177:9 181:6 | 111:18 112:5,23 | 128:25 135:7 | 140:23 141:17,25 | Antony 128:5,12 |
| accounts 86:3 | 114:5 173:24 | agent's 18:25 | 149:3 150:19 | 133:19 134:12 |
| accuracy 53:20 | adduced 110:23 | 92:23 | 151:24 153:15 | 135:10,19 136:9 |
| accusations 31:5 | 118:19 181:21 | agents 90:11 94:18 | 154:25 164:22 | 137:14 138:11 |
| 74:3,10 91:16 | 194:6 | 102:10 | 165:19 190:4 | 141:3,15 142:19 |
| accused 46:18 | adhere 122:13 | ages 185:4 | alleged 17:21 65:8 | 143:5 145:6 |
| accusing 72:20 | adjourned 59:14 | ago 6:1 7:14 45:6 | 77:8 92:12 129:6 | 146:1 150:11,24 |
| achieve 91:6 | 193:4 | 107:5,15 132:21 | 183:15 189:15 | 150:25 151:17 |
| achieved 5:6 | adjournment | 132:25 134:1 | alleging 18:10 | 152:5,11,19 |
| act 118:5 121:25 | 113:4 | 136:20 | allowed 47:16 | 153:24 189:13 |
| 122:15,25 124:5 | admired 5:5 | agree 27:14 46:20 | allowing 142:2 | anybody 29:24 |
| 139:9 160:9 | admission 190:6 | 47:14 83:25 | aloof 83:10 | 33:1 44:9 71:16 |
| 161:10 174:22,23 | admitted 14:1 | 129:4 134:19 | alternate 59:11 | 73:1 115:6 |
| 187:14 188:6 | adopted 191:16 | 150:10,21 151:14 | ALTMAN 113:6 | 118:20 122:7 |
| acted 34:6 180:23 | adult 113:25 | 187:7 | 113:10,11 156:8 | anyway 17:9 42:2 |
| acted 57.0 100.25 | | | , , , , , , | |
| | <u> </u> | l | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | | | | rage 170 |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 60:8,15 61:25 | Arab 165:25 | 27:11 43:10 71:6 | 145:25 161:17 | 178:20 180:23 |
| 62:6 72:18 74:2 | Archer 130:3 | 116:6 117:21 | attack 50:21 | 188:23 |
| apart 84:6 167:7 | 131:8,20 | 133:1 157:10 | attacking 28:15 | awareness 127:14 |
| 167:24 | Archer's 133:3 | 162:11 163:7 | attempt 18:6 92:18 | 154:17 |
| apologise 67:2 | Archive 124:9 | 164:21 165:16,21 | attempted 19:1 | awash 9:23 87:8 |
| appear 63:16 | area 21:12,24 26:4 | 166:4,6,24 167:1 | 92:25 163:5 | awful 66:16 79:11 |
| 65:18 116:2 | areas 6:2,8 34:22 | 167:22 172:14 | attempting 182:23 | |
| 146:22 148:15 | argument 169:4 | 177:20 178:23 | attempts 126:5 | B |
| 175:19 | arising 139:16 | 179:5 181:25 | 139:16 | b 160:10 162:10,25 |
| appeared 51:2 | 181:13 | asking 31:23 42:21 | attended 35:22 | back 8:17 14:20 |
| 63:24 78:12,14 | Armstrong 16:12 | 52:23 82:18 | 51:21 125:13 | 18:24 26:17 |
| 82:17 134:5 | 91:24 92:5 128:6 | aspects 132:10 | attender 61:12 | 28:24 31:22 |
| 150:19 161:15 | 128:13 133:20 | 138:18 | attention 16:6 | 33:13 35:23 |
| appears 116:23 | 134:12 136:10 | assault 190:5 | 124:17 157:2,24 | 37:16 43:22 |
| 133:15 137:5,21 | 142:19 144:16 | assaulted 100:24 | 177:4 178:13 | 47:20,21 48:25 |
| 138:11 143:4 | 145:5 152:8 | assembled 82:17 | attitude 78:16 80:2 | 48:25 49:3,25 |
| 155:4 158:25 | 154:16 155:7 | assessment 141:18 | attorney 161:24 | 53:3,16 79:18 |
| 170:4 | arose 16:23 34:11 | 142:1 143:15 | 162:2,13,13 | 81:19,23 84:15 |
| applicable 168:17 | arranged 84:7 | 183:4 184:5 | 163:9 | 89:1 90:1,6 |
| applied 161:20 | arrangement 39:8 | assessments | attracted 13:20 | 92:20 93:11,14 |
| 184:5 185:6 | 40:15 53:9 55:11 | 123:16,20 | audio 164:25 | 97:8 100:22 |
| 192:11 | 56:2 94:8 103:3 | assist 125:4 138:24 | 165:6 | 109:4 113:24 |
| apply 144:5 | 107:7 140:5 | 176:1 | August 174:3,5,16 | 114:7 116:11 |
| 183:20 | arrangements | assistant 159:21 | author 146:3 | 126:24 137:10 |
| appointed 18:15 | 42:22 101:25 | assisted 29:22 | authorities 118:10 | 138:7 146:4 |
| 144:1,7 | arrest 111:25 | associate 182:10 | 171:5,21 191:13 | 148:17 149:20 |
| appointment | 190:4 | 182:22 183:3,12 | 192:6 | 151:7 152:21 |
| 13:19 79:12 | arrested 74:20 | 186:10,14,20 | authority 18:8 | 161:19 163:15 |
| 140:1 154:4 | 75:5 85:15,23 | Association 2:16 | 92:19 | 165:3,8 170:22 |
| appointments | 111:7 112:10 | 2:21 3:12 7:22 | available 125:13 | 179:10 189:12 |
| 140:7 153:19 | 137:6 | 39:5,14 40:5 | avenue 24:16 | background 2:6 |
| appreciation | arrival 159:20 | 95:23 | avoid 44:4,13 | 57:24 101:9 |
| 155:16 | arrive 65:23 | associations 46:3 | aware 3:24 10:12 | 113:18 127:11 |
| appreciations | arrived 82:12 | assume 40:9 | 10:17,20 25:7 | backwards 7:17 |
| 156:1 | arrogant 83:10 | 144:20 145:1 | 26:6,7 33:12 | 7:20 |
| apprenticeship | article 50:4 51:2,4 | 146:10 | 39:8,16 42:12 | bad 26:18 |
| 20:3 | 54:13,16,24 55:2 | assumed 15:6 | 49:12,15,22,24 | badly 76:14 |
| approach 10:25 | 55:6 76:4,16,18 | 76:12 88:19 | 50:1 55:17 56:23 | Baghdad 164:2,14 |
| 18:25 72:9 92:23 | 77:25 78:3 79:5 | assuming 91:12 | 87:2 96:12 98:21 | 164:20 165:16,17 |
| 155:9,22 | 158:17 159:19 | 146:12 | 98:25 99:1 | 165:20,25 172:19 |
| approached 5:4 | 161:15,18 162:5 | assumption 39:20 | 100:19 106:8 | bald 151:20 |
| 123:14 138:11 | article's 163:4 | 106:21 146:7 | 107:13 133:4 | ballot 25:24 |
| appropriate 130:6 | articulated 141:1 | 147:18 154:15 | 134:6 135:4,24 | bandying 79:2 |
| 142:17 188:6 | ashamed 61:25 | assurance 121:6 | 142:5 148:8 | Bar 163:7 |
| 191:14 | Asia 184:22 | assured 71:10 | 149:25 150:20 | Barry 112:21 |
| appropriately | aside 52:25,25 | 91:13 168:16 | 151:4,24 152:10 | based 114:9 |
| 191:12 | 53:1 99:4 112:1 | asterisk 131:5,11 | 153:6,8 156:2,2 | 127:16 129:16 |
| approved 123:3 | 166:6 | Atkins 175:4 | 157:19,21 172:23 | 137:8,17 154:10 |
| April 60:11 | asked 7:17 9:8 | attached 133:13 | 173:7 175:23 | basically 3:1 26:5 |
| _ | | | | 90:1 |
| | l | l | l | I |

| basis 5:20 82:10 | Bexley 25:24 | 184:21 185:2,11 | Bryan 175:3 | 25:8,11 26:19 |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 107:12 139:9 | Bickle 73:16 79:10 | 185:17 186:5 | BTP000001 | 48:16 84:3 86:8 |
| 169:8 175:21 | big 26:4 58:23 | 187:22 190:5,16 | 111:20 | 86:9 91:23 93:16 |
| Bayswater 157:20 | Billericay 7:21 | boys' 127:23 | bundle 1:20 9:13 | 93:21 175:17 |
| BBC 14:20 | bit 2:22 24:7 32:10 | bracket 36:18 | 13:7 16:3 50:6 | calls 111:5 |
| bear 23:10 | 36:23 47:6 90:19 | brackets 115:14 | 86:13 100:11 | campaign 3:16 |
| bearing 172:21 | 93:13 | branch 25:10 | 103:20 188:9 | 35:19 36:9 52:11 |
| 189:11 | bits 21:16 150:5 | 57:25 59:1,8,10 | bundles 1:22 | 95:2 102:10 |
| bearings 170:7 | blackmail 140:24 | 60:3,4,7,21 61:5 | bus 157:5,17 | campaigning 60:6 |
| Beast 158:19 | 166:5 184:17 | 61:15 63:20 | 166:25 167:2 | campaigning 00.0 |
| 159:19 161:15 | blackmailed 166:4 | 65:22,23 111:4 | business 5:5 25:21 | 133:24 |
| beat 77:2 | 166:8 | 113:23 136:17 | Butler 188:15 | Canada 158:5 |
| beat 77.2 beaten 183:15 | Blair 72:4 | 173:13,20 174:3 | Buy 62:7 | cancel 79:12 |
| becoming 29:5 | blamed 48:23 | 173:13,20 174:3 | by-election 12:22 | candidate 6:14,15 |
| 81:2 180:22 | blinkered 155:8 | 174.4,7,11 173.3 | 13:4 101:4 | 6:17 12:7,9 18:8 |
| began 181:4 | blue 50:12 | 178:5,20 | 102:12,17,20 | 25:5,6 29:10,19 |
| beginning 2:25 | bobs 21:17 | branches 6:8 | 102.12,17,20 | 70:9,12,15 81:9 |
| 50:25 115:9,19 | body 35:5 38:17 | Brandreth 52:4 | by-elections 103:9 | 89:8,8 90:17 |
| 121:12 | 39:10 55:24 | 70:13 81:13 | 108:17 | 92:20 93:4 97:5 |
| begins 116:19 | 160:13 | 87:22 | 100.17 | 99:19 100:4 |
| 127:7 | boils 105:23 | break 2:3,4 41:18 | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ | 129:20 141:9 |
| behalf 6:5 112:7 | book 46:16 | 41:19 49:10 57:8 | c 161:7 163:2 | candidates 108:17 |
| 113:14 162:12 | books 46:13 60:21 | 57:12 110:19 | CAB000071 002 | 139:25 |
| 172:15 | boozey 52:11 | 113:2 156:10,11 | 171:12 | capability 122:22 |
| behaviour 17:21 | bothered 88:13 | 156:12,18 165:7 | CAB000120 | capable 54:9 |
| 92:13 166:16 | bottom 12:18 | Brian 170:24,24 | 188:10 | capitals 147:5 |
| behaviour/cond | 16:12 100:12 | brief 141:19 142:4 | CAB000123 16:3 | care 167:8 |
| 109:10 | 142:12 | 144:3 153:13 | 91:19 | career 20:9 29:19 |
| beliefs 96:21 | bought 42:8 59:25 | briefed 16:16 | CAB000126 136:1 | careful 26:23 |
| believe 4:12 84:4 | 60:11 | 159:21 | Cabinet 16:11 | carefully 109:13 |
| 141:15 159:3 | boundary 22:5 | briefing 16:18 | 91:24 116:2 | 122:8 |
| 169:11 189:3 | bouquet 82:6 | 92:7 169:18 | 126:11,15,17 | carelessness 167:9 |
| believed 101:3 | box 116:2,3 | briefings 16:23 | 127:17 128:14 | Carmarthen 137:5 |
| bell 107:23 | boy 31:21 34:22 | briefly 64:19 104:2 | 133:21 135:25 | carried 107:1,2 |
| bells 107:25 | 47:1,10,13 51:25 | 111:1 146:4 | 141:16 144:20,21 | 126:20 171:21 |
| beneath 133:16 | 62:5,6,15 85:21 | bring 73:12 77:12 | 144:24 145:3,10 | 175:2,15,20 |
| benefit 105:20 | 100:20,23 189:16 | 110:25 | 151:5,6,22,25 | carry 8:17 12:16 |
| 115:4 | boys 10:17 14:1,12 | brings 173:10 | 152:13 153:7 | 35:2 39:1 135:18 |
| benefited 84:22 | 30:24 31:2,11,12 | British 111:21,23 | 169:7,16 171:13 | 149:11 164:16 |
| Berlin 158:19 | 31:15,16,18,25 | Brittan 186:8,9,13 | 188:15 189:1 | 165:14 166:2 |
| 159:19 161:15 | 32:2 33:15 48:3 | 186:20 | 190:17,22 | carrying 134:8,20 |
| best 31:5 37:1,2 | 62:5,16 68:19 | broader 155:16,22 | cache 177:17 | 152:7 |
| 46:13 50:13 | 109:15,18,20 | broadly 106:13 | call 13:11,25 24:20 | case 53:10 72:20 |
| 59:23 60:16 75:6 | 112:1 117:6,7 | broke 21:19 | 31:3 49:7 50:5 | 84:10 120:11 |
| 76:22 169:2 | 129:2 131:10,14 | brought 9:19 34:7 | 57:14 64:4 65:11 | 121:21 123:12 |
| 191:18 | 131:23,24 137:20 | 70:25 103:14 | 74:1 87:21 89:20 | 128:21 130:3 |
| better 54:5 74:4 | 146:10 157:11 | 165:22 | 101:12 173:13,20 | 146:22 149:8 |
| 146:23 | 164:2,6,21 | brushed 53:23 | 182:12 | 153:3 159:5,8,22 |
| bewildered 11:23 | 165:18,22,24,25 | 54:12 | called 6:13 10:13 | 160:4 161:1 |
| | | | 16:10 22:4 25:7 | |
| L | 1 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ı |

| | 1 | 1 | ı | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 162:8 163:3 | chain 151:21 | checking 192:5 | 143:7,12 154:6 | clarify 54:10 |
| 169:20 170:18 | chair 1:3,5,18,21 | Cheshire 5:24 | child 10:18 113:24 | 180:19 |
| 178:21 179:13 | 8:25 9:14 17:13 | 21:15,17,20 | 126:1 127:4 | clarifying 186:12 |
| 180:7 181:23,25 | 19:9,11,14 33:9 | 28:22 34:25 35:5 | 138:21 156:3 | clarity 180:21 |
| 190:23 | 56:15,17,22 57:5 | 38:2,7,21 43:16 | 164:13,14 172:21 | classified 144:2 |
| cases 32:2 121:19 | 57:7,14 58:13,14 | 48:17 49:5 55:21 | 179:14,16 181:13 | 167:8 |
| 122:11 123:15 | 65:22 80:14,16 | 55:22 64:3 65:4 | 182:5,6 183:15 | clear 15:16 23:13 |
| 180:8 182:3 | 80:20 86:13 | 82:10,11 97:22 | 183:21 185:21 | 28:5,16 35:25 |
| 183:20 184:1,4 | 88:22 100:11 | 112:7,8,11,18 | 191:16 | 53:13 58:3 59:7 |
| cast 169:3 | 106:9,12 108:22 | Chester 2:9,10,10 | children 22:12 | 77:5 78:8 94:5 |
| catch 124:11 | 108:23 109:24 | 2:14,16,20,24 3:3 | 31:8 33:18 34:4 | 101:16 114:8,18 |
| catching 75:7 | 110:16,18,19,24 | 3:11 4:5,16 5:7 | 34:7 70:5 72:17 | 129:15 135:10,20 |
| 76:23 | 112:14,22,23 | 5:18,22 6:2,6 7:7 | 128:2 155:12,13 | 137:14 148:23 |
| categories 120:16 | 113:1,6 114:3,4 | 7:10 8:13,14,18 | 174:20 180:12,20 | 155:17 162:6 |
| category 123:23 | 125:13 128:18 | 9:2,11,23 12:5 | 182:4 183:14 | 171:1 174:16 |
| 174:23 | 134:11 136:2 | 15:3,13 17:7,22 | 185:24 187:3 | 183:8 184:4 |
| caught 38:2,14 | 143:21 156:11 | 21:3,13,14 22:2,5 | 191:8,15 | clearance 184:13 |
| 47:8,8,11 51:24 | 170:9 171:10 | 22:6,10,14,19 | Chinese 32:15 | 190:3 |
| 136:21 | 191:23 192:1,20 | 23:17,19,23 24:5 | chitchat 102:5 | clearer 180:24 |
| cause 170:19 | 193:2 | 24:17 25:11,15 | chopping 137:25 | clearly 96:18 |
| causing 114:19 | chairman 5:13 | 25:17,19 26:3,13 | chose 18:24 92:20 | 102:17 109:5 |
| caution 64:12,22 | 14:2 24:1 | 26:16,21 27:2 | Chris 61:4 90:19 | 128:10 143:3,11 |
| 64:25 65:1,12 | challenge 45:3,7 | 29:21 30:17 31:4 | Christine 6:21,22 | 143:24 144:16 |
| 75:10 167:20 | challenged 44:20 | 32:7,8,12,20,20 | 9:15 37:9,24 | 151:3 152:25 |
| cautions 65:15 | 45:6 107:18 | 39:10 42:1 44:17 | 39:4,12,16,20,24 | 176:15 |
| ceased 120:22 | chance 14:16 66:5 | 45:24 46:2,18 | 40:1,8 43:5 | clever 27:6 |
| Central 5:14 10:11 | 70:4,5 75:6 | 48:2 49:8,9,13 | 45:18 51:22 | close 14:25 72:6 |
| 10:24 129:11 | 76:22 | 50:21,25 51:15 | 80:20,21,24 | 85:3 186:9 |
| 160:20 170:10 | change 85:18 | 51:21 52:3 55:11 | 104:2 193:24 | closed 26:21 |
| 175:8 | changed 31:15,17 | 58:9,17,19,19 | Christopher 116:1 | closer 14:24 |
| centre 115:9 116:2 | changing 138:1 | 60:2,3,10 63:1 | 186:25 | closing 23:19 |
| certain 19:6 30:10 | charge 65:13 | 69:21 70:9 71:7 | Chronicle 97:23 | CLP 24:15,18,20 |
| 114:23 125:25 | 68:19 163:8 | 76:19 77:13,16 | circulated 9:1 17:2 | 24:22 35:21,21 |
| 146:2 174:21 | charged 136:22 | 80:25 81:3,6,15 | 135:22 147:20 | clumsy 18:6 92:18 |
| 181:12,18 | 137:4 161:9 | 83:17 84:11,14 | circulating 17:8 | Clwyd 58:8 |
| certainly 11:18 | charges 64:7 65:5 | 84:25 85:8,18 | 56:18 136:14 | co-operation |
| 30:23 31:16 | 75:8 76:22 | 86:5 87:7,20 | circumstances | 143:13 |
| 32:21,24 55:20 | 163:10 | 92:13 93:16 | 71:16 134:7,19 | cold 166:12 |
| 83:4 86:4,25 | Charles 187:17 | 94:11,24 97:20 | 152:5 165:22 | Colin 189:25 |
| 95:17,18 96:10 | 188:7,16 | 98:22 99:13,15 | 169:19 183:25 | collating 178:1 |
| 96:11,14 97:2 | charm 33:24 | 99:17 101:13 | 186:18 189:17 | colleague 79:13 |
| 98:24 102:3,7 | charming 33:23 | 102:1 103:1 | city 2:15,20 5:4,7 | colleagues 114:13 |
| 108:1 145:7 | 34:2 | 108:7 111:10 | 23:22 28:8 45:25 | 163:16,18 164:12 |
| 151:15 165:15 | chase 192:17 | chief 32:22 43:13 | civil 170:17 | collective 175:21 |
| 172:4 178:10 | Chataway 116:1 | 43:15,17 46:18 | claim 163:4 | collectors 21:21 |
| 182:9 191:25 | 186:25 | 48:16,17,24 49:2 | claimed 14:21 | Collins 175:3 |
| cetera 21:22,22 | Chatham 20:4 | 64:3 65:3 77:8 | claims 127:21 | 176:5 |
| 45:24 53:5 | check 79:12 151:6 | 112:6 130:6 | clarification 56:17 | column 51:9 52:22 |
| 109:10 117:2 | 152:2 192:14 | 136:13 142:16,22 | clarified 186:15 | come 8:6 17:1 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | 1 | 1 | I | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 22:15 23:25 24:1 | Commons 5:11 | 112:18,19 149:17 | conscience 80:8 | constantly 51:18 |
| 24:6,13 30:15,15 | 184:11 | concerns 164:12 | consent 108:4 | constituency 5:2 |
| 31:22 33:13 | Commonwealth | 173:1 | 109:21 | 7:19 8:20 15:4 |
| 34:16 37:11 | 162:17 168:5,8 | conclude 127:23 | Conservative 2:16 | 17:7,22 24:12,14 |
| 43:25 45:10 48:6 | 190:2,9 | concluded 171:6 | 2:21 3:12 5:13 | 24:23 25:3 27:2 |
| 49:11,13,21,25 | communicated | concludes 112:24 | 5:17 7:21 8:8 | 35:16,17 36:8 |
| 53:3 61:2,11 | 10:10 | conclusion 17:10 | 10:11,18,24 12:4 | 37:3 42:16 83:23 |
| 62:19,20 63:10 | communication | 127:18 172:5 | 18:4 28:25 29:10 | 84:3,16,17 86:7 |
| 65:7 79:9,14 | 97:4 | 191:5 | 39:5,14 40:5 | 92:13 106:9 |
| 93:17 113:24 | community 87:18 | concrete 162:25 | 41:11,25 42:17 | constituent 83:22 |
| 116:11 119:11 | 87:19 | conduct 104:10 | 45:6 52:5 56:20 | constraints 124:4 |
| 120:5 121:12 | community-invo | 105:13 153:1,11 | 56:22 70:8,12,13 | consultation |
| 126:24 129:11 | 84:9 | 155:1 170:17 | 81:13 84:13,23 | 167:11 168:5 |
| 138:7,21 139:3 | companion 29:4,7 | conducted 8:4 | 86:6 88:6,16,24 | consulted 162:8 |
| 146:7 148:17 | 29:8 | 100:14 112:8,18 | 90:1 93:17 95:23 | 168:8 |
| 151:7 156:8,22 | compare 150:21 | 173:6 181:13 | 96:15 98:7 | contact 163:2 |
| 157:2,6,24 | competent 29:16 | 184:14 192:13 | 128:25 129:10 | 182:14,17 |
| 177:16 180:6 | 30:11 84:2 | conducting 124:6 | 135:7 143:21 | contacted 10:22 |
| 181:22 187:17 | compiled 160:1 | conference 58:23 | Conservatives | 73:15 79:16 |
| comes 116:8 122:4 | 176:4 177:7 | confess 146:23 | 43:22 | 104:3 |
| coming 14:20 60:5 | complaining 43:17 | confidentially | consider 116:14 | contacting 15:6 |
| 84:6 88:5 89:20 | 48:18 | 189:4 | 129:22 141:11 | contain 119:7 |
| 90:1 97:8 114:7 | complete 47:18 | confirm 78:9 | 157:10 167:6 | contained 118:4 |
| 135:25 153:3 | 191:24 | 114:2,22 118:21 | considerable | 125:24 178:4 |
| comment 11:3 | completed 125:2 | 120:8 125:2,6 | 43:25 159:24 | content 90:22 |
| 50:20 79:23 | completely 12:10 | 129:24 130:23 | consideration | 124:24 154:6 |
| 133:25 146:24 | 47:5 72:5 105:18 | 131:16 141:13 | 138:17 141:14 | contents 120:19 |
| 148:5 162:7 | completeness | 157:15 182:25 | 154:24 155:5 | 159:4 |
| comments 82:18 | 103:19 | confirmed 55:20 | 156:6 | contested 89:19 |
| 108:19 132:20 | completes 192:21 | 122:16 | considered 83:11 | context 16:23 |
| commerce 5:5 | complex 26:20 | conflated 110:5 | 116:20,24 128:3 | 92:15 109:18 |
| Commission 23:22 | complicate 25:5 | confrontational | considering 122:8 | 129:22 134:24 |
| 24:1 | complicated 20:13 | 54:8 | 138:16 141:20 | 138:6 141:11 |
| commissioned | comprehensive | confrontations | considers 101:8 | 170:11 171:8 |
| 112:15 | 124:20 | 54:2 | consisting 159:25 | 178:14 |
| commissioner | compromised | confronted 129:21 | 174:19 | continue 62:25 |
| 123:4 158:4 | 158:12 | 141:10 | conspiracies 45:16 | 116:25 155:13 |
| commitment 60:8 | concern 140:21,25 | confused 62:5 | conspiracy 72:24 | 166:17 191:17 |
| committed 126:1 | 143:25 152:16 | confusing 26:14 | 73:3 160:14 | continued 100:17 |
| 160:17 175:13,19 | 163:23 | confusion 15:21 | 170:10 175:7 | 139:22 167:5 |
| 188:2 190:6 | concerned 7:23 | 106:19 | conspiring 161:9 | continues 131:25 |
| committee 35:19 | 11:13 27:6 29:23 | conjecture 169:4 | constable 43:13,17 | continuing 124:3 |
| 35:19 95:1,2,3 | 40:21 55:13 | conjunction 23:21 | 46:19 48:17,25 | contradictory |
| 99:16 102:10 | 133:15 143:18 | connected 90:25 | 49:2 64:3 65:3 | 142:15 |
| committees 24:3 | 153:16 160:13 | connection 30:9 | 77:8 175:4 176:4 | contrary 102:12 |
| 94:22 | 190:13 | 85:20 91:5,11 | constabularies | contravene 161:9 |
| common 33:18 | concerning 40:23 | 148:8 165:7 | 176:5 | contributed 149:1 |
| 85:2 86:22 88:8 | 44:9 69:21 104:9 | connections 29:22 | Constabulary | contributing |
| 95:20,22,25 96:8 | 105:12 109:9,17 | 84:22 | 112:9,19 | 148:24 |
| | | | | |

| | | | | rage 200 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| convenor 20:14,19 | 121:2,10,11 | 58:6 | 106:1 109:9 | 133:10,21 134:10 |
| conversation | 123:5 124:25 | couple 8:23 27:22 | 110:13,14 111:7 | 134:12 144:17,22 |
| 13:14 17:18 | 125:22 126:7 | 35:11 51:7 74:23 | 111:15,25 | 145:13 147:18 |
| 18:20 69:2 70:6 | 127:5 128:7 | 136:20 | crikey 76:10 | 148:2 158:17 |
| 79:19 82:1 | 132:14 139:20 | course 5:20,25 | crime 73:1,4 112:2 | 174:4 179:23 |
| 102:13 103:15 | 140:9 143:15 | 16:18 39:19 | 118:11,14 180:16 | dates 50:16 53:4 |
| 104:24 105:22 | 150:7,8 154:18 | 57:20 102:23 | 186:2 | daughter 82:5 |
| 106:19 107:16 | 157:17 158:1,5,6 | 105:4 118:19 | crimes 176:2 | daughters 74:15 |
| 109:6,8 110:6 | 158:15 163:12,13 | 138:5 146:25 | criminal 138:17 | 75:21 |
| 148:7 | 163:17 176:11 | 148:12 151:12 | 155:1 160:20 | David 6:13 12:6,15 |
| conversations | 181:14,15 183:22 | 167:17 172:7 | 163:10 170:10 | 12:20,25 17:14 |
| 28:17 83:14 | 183:23 184:3 | 191:10 | 174:24 175:8,13 | 25:6,8,8,9 37:10 |
| 106:6 | 185:8 186:24 | court 1:24 26:25 | 190:5 | 40:7 70:11 89:4 |
| convicted 170:9 | 191:20 | 46:15 160:18,20 | critical 146:20 | 89:15,22 90:1,6 |
| 175:7 | corrected 115:7 | 170:10 175:8 | Cubbon 170:24 | 91:13 93:22 |
| convinced 106:11 | correction 115:13 | cover 3:18 19:4 | cup 156:20 | 102:11,16 105:2 |
| Cooper 37:13 | 153:23 | 26:4 41:18,19 | current 113:22 | 102.11,10 103.2 |
| coordination | correlation 151:3 | 43:24 44:2 73:11 | 115:14 137:7 | 105:0,7,17 |
| 190:25 | corresponded | 95:11 96:16 | 183:20 184:5 | 175:4 |
| copied 147:12,13 | 161:2 | 126:5 | currently 183:6 | day 45:1 48:25 |
| 178:20 | correspondence | coverage 49:16 | Currie 13:13,18 | 62:13,19,19 |
| copies 9:19 | 28:12,13 59:18 | 98:5 | 14:14 15:11 | 63:14,17 82:7 |
| copy 77:13 91:20 | 73:14 112:18 | covered 21:17,24 | Currie's 13:8 14:7 | 93:22 122:17 |
| 91:22 115:2 | 128:11 135:24 | 135:4 192:18 | cut 135:18 192:25 | 147:17,23 162:3 |
| 118:22,23 119:3 | 138:15 169:11 | covering 42:25 | cutting 131:13,24 | days 30:24 35:10 |
| 122:17 147:13,19 | corrupt 160:14 | 43:1 73:6 | Cynthia 35:5 36:2 | 49:3 63:3 68:16 |
| 161:16 | 170:11 175:8 | coverup 19:2 | 38:17 39:10 | 74:24 85:17 |
| core 61:6 172:15 | council 5:22 7:3 | 43:18 49:8,9,13 | 55:24 | 132:13 150:13 |
| corporate 113:15 | 22:20,25 23:9,15 | 91:7 92:25 | 33.24 | 159:18 170:8 |
| 118:21,23 130:17 | 23:22 24:10,17 | Cranborne 136:24 | D | dead 12:17 |
| 135:9 138:2 | 26:9 28:8 43:16 | created 122:18 | D 193:8 | deal 40:10 51:25 |
| 145:7 146:15 | 45:25 48:17 49:5 | 123:12 | daily 28:23 | 64:17 70:21 71:3 |
| 148:23 149:7 | 51:16 61:7 94:15 | creates 119:18 | damage 114:20 | 71:5,7 72:10 |
| 151:14 154:19 | councillor 46:5 | creating 13:4 | damaging 11:5 | 118:1,18 119:11 |
| 155:5 167:24 | councillors 28:8 | creation 120:24 | Dame 116:14 | 119:24 120:8 |
| 169:13 178:3 | 28:10 82:10 86:6 | credible 88:1 | danger 130:5 | 124:13 126:24 |
| 189:10 192:12 | 86:6 88:6,16 | crest 147:4 | danger' 128:2 | 127:3 154:2 |
| Corporation 5:22 | 99:18 102:5,6 | Crewe 30:14 31:20 | dangerous 13:20 | 156:24 163:5 |
| correct 2:17 4:9 | counsel 125:3,17 | 31:25 34:11,15 | dangers 139:16 | 167:5 173:11 |
| 10:7 15:10 17:16 | 126:13 130:18 | 34:21 35:1 38:3 | date 7:23,24 59:15 | 179:13,21 180:1 |
| 20:2,5,8,25 21:4 | 163:6 179:4 | 38:8,10 41:21 | 59:19 60:14,17 | 180:5,13,15 |
| 22:21 36:9 40:16 | count 82:16 163:1 | 42:13 51:24 56:1 | 74:4,5,9 91:25 | 181:12,16 |
| 58:1 113:12,13 | counter-terrorism | 56:4 62:4,18 | 104:13 115:11 | deal/arrangement |
| 113:16,17,20 | 118:17 | 82:9 85:15,18,25 | 124:24 129:6 | 97:2 |
| 114:1,17 115:21 | countersubversi | 86:1,1,3,17 87:10 | 134:14 136:22 | dealing 54:9 183:6 |
| 116:5,10 117:4,9 | 178:15 | 94:9 96:2,9,13 | 147:5,10,16,17 | dealings 26:9 |
| 117:13,16,20 | country 99:1 | 97:14 98:19 | 160:16,22 174:15 | deals 52:12 161:7 |
| 119:2,6,23 120:4 | county 5:22 21:25 | 100:21 101:11,21 | dated 16:9 57:18 | 176:15 |
| 120:13,21 121:1 | 43:16 48:17 49:5 | 103:2 104:12,15 | 113:11 114:23 | dealt 46:3 124:19 |
| 120.13,21 121.1 | 15.15 10.17 17.5 | 105.2 101.12,13 | 130:22 132:12 | |
| | l | | l | |

| | | Ī | Ī | l |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 162:3 174:23 | deposition 161:3 | 151:4 | 128:13 133:20 | 103:21,25 111:1 |
| 186:3 | depositions 161:1 | DG's 133:10 | 135:11 139:23 | 111:12,16,17,20 |
| dear 128:20 | deputy 14:2 88:22 | 144:17,22 145:12 | 145:19 148:6,13 | 112:17 124:25 |
| 134:16 | 143:21 145:22 | DI 78:2 | 149:19 151:22 | 127:12,15 128:14 |
| December 134:10 | describe 9:7 27:24 | Diana 82:4 | 152:24 188:13 | 132:6,11 133:17 |
| 134:12,13 161:14 | 49:12 81:2 89:2 | diaries 13:9 159:1 | dirty 44:7 | 134:9 144:9 |
| decide 152:24 | 185:2 | 159:4,8 162:12 | disagree 103:16 | 158:22 169:25 |
| decided 28:9 44:2 | described 8:16 | 162:14 166:19 | disagreed 44:19 | 170:13 171:9,20 |
| 47:4 79:6 158:7 | 17:1 44:16 48:2 | 167:7 | disappointed | 174:5 176:9 |
| 160:12 163:10 | 55:10,12 82:24 | Diaries' 160:2 | 69:25 75:6 76:21 | documentary |
| 168:4 | 83:7 100:9 | diary 13:13 36:22 | disclosed 173:21 | 173:9 |
| decision 142:6 | 101:21 103:1 | 51:9 52:22 | disclosure 124:15 | documentation |
| 148:16,19 150:9 | 104:7,20 105:10 | Dickens 170:5,15 | disconnect 162:1 | 120:3 |
| 150:14 152:4 | 109:25 166:11 | 171:2 | discover 162:20 | documents 1:20,23 |
| 153:19 155:3 | 192:4 | died 50:23 185:24 | discovered 157:4 | 1:23 8:24 11:7 |
| 168:9 | describes 52:15 | difference 110:1 | discovery 158:2 | 110:25 111:19,23 |
| decisions 140:7 | 111:13 | 124:8 137:19 | 166:25 | 112:24 114:9,12 |
| 148:22,25 149:1 | describing 10:4 | 143:19,22 187:10 | discreet' 14:3 | 125:5,7,12 |
| deduce 185:1 | 51:16 86:2 93:8 | different 6:2,3 | discuss 23:19 | 126:10,15 127:16 |
| deemed 125:21 | 105:17 | 20:23 31:18 72:5 | 69:20 | 130:15,17 134:21 |
| deep 154:23 155:4 | description 15:2 | 85:14 86:2 88:20 | discussed 26:16 | 134:25 135:16 |
| 155:25 173:6 | 93:6 | 105:13,15,18 | 34:10 45:11 | 138:1 159:24 |
| defeated 81:12 | desirable 180:18 | 106:7 110:5 | 95:16 101:22 | 161:11 173:15 |
| defence 117:2 | desire 180:21 | 171:18 | 103:2,12 132:23 | 176:19 178:13,25 |
| 139:15 163:5,6 | desist 91:15 | difficult 11:3 46:8 | 143:17 161:18 | 179:2 |
| 170:16,18 | desk 78:19 | 85:11 102:15 | discussing 102:20 | dog 22:25 |
| definitely 12:14 | destroy 121:8 | 143:8 148:5 | 109:19 | doing 7:7 25:21 |
| 90:12,16 | destroyed 120:18 | 153:9 159:10 | discussion 53:25 | 27:7,8 38:9,10 |
| delegate 24:14,20 | 120:20 167:9 | difficulty 106:12 | 104:6,13 105:10 | 45:1,21,23 74:21 |
| 25:2 94:23,24 | 168:3 | digital 119:11,12 | 187:16 | 86:5 88:15 151:9 |
| deleted 117:15 | destruction 71:11 | 119:17 | discussions 44:4 | 169:2 177:25 |
| deletion 116:7 | 120:8,14,22,23 | diligence 5:6 | 106:2,4,8,10 | domain 77:23 |
| denial 111:9 | 121:3 | diplomat 163:25 | 108:10,11 | 128:10 |
| denied 94:4 132:4 | detail 24:25 27:22 | 164:1,6 | dismissive 74:2 | domestic 145:21 |
| Denise 112:6 | 81:22 93:13 | Diplomatic 158:10 | disposal 120:2,25 | 184:20 185:2 |
| denote 185:3 | 185:11 | diplomats 165:20 | dispose 129:23 | Donald 128:24 |
| deny 40:22 | details 53:9 66:25 | direct 85:17 | 141:12 | 135:7 146:8 |
| departed 179:20 | 76:20 124:23 | 100:25 148:18,19 | disputing 108:6 | door 25:22 79:11 |
| department 10:11 | 129:6 182:18 | 169:1 | distinction 123:22 | doors 87:23 97:1 |
| 20:12,13 21:15 | 183:1 | directive 139:13 | 123:23 | doorstep 96:21 |
| 21:16 116:4 | detection 118:10 | 139:22 | districts 21:20,21 | 132:19 133:24 |
| 162:9 170:25 | detective 111:21 | directly 55:18 | divert 30:7 188:8 | Doreen 1:10,15 |
| 171:3 187:1,5,11 | 112:6 173:22 | 126:23 130:10 | divider 114:3 | 193:10 |
| 190:8 | determine 158:10 | 143:1 | 136:3 | doubt 56:22 |
| departments 88:20 | 184:15 | director 145:19,20 | dockyard 20:4,15 | 101:12 105:20 |
| depending 50:16 | develop 191:17 | 145:22,22 159:21 | 20:17,20 | 153:8 161:5 |
| deploy 191:14 | developed 8:5 20:9 | 159:22 161:19 | document 9:12 | 189:23 190:25 |
| deployment 122:22 | 180:9,10 DC 145:17 10 | 162:16 163:4,8,9 | 13:6 16:1 51:6 | doubts 151:7 |
| 122.22 | DG 145:17,19 | director-general | 91:18,19 100:7 | 152:1 |
| | | | | |

| dozen 28:4,5,6,7 | earlier 4:18 11:9 | 16:9 17:22 18:2 | endorsement | 53:5 109:10 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 28:11 37:12 | 14:5 29:12 38:20 | 18:4 25:9 36:14 | 147:9 | 117:2 |
| dozens 28:5 | 48:15 49:17 | 38:1 39:17 40:24 | ends 127:7 | ethics 180:17 |
| DPP 159:5,7 | 54:22,23 55:5 | 41:17 44:1,10 | enforcement | ethnicity 182:15 |
| 161:14 162:2 | 70:19 87:1 | 51:20 52:2 60:5 | 118:10 | Eton 30:5 |
| 166:21 167:10,14 | 102:14 103:21 | 64:17 70:9 81:12 | engage 83:11,13 | Euro 7:19 |
| 167:18,21,21 | 144:10 150:13 | 89:9,17,18 90:14 | engaged 183:7 | European 5:24 |
| 168:4 | 153:22 154:23 | 90:15,24 91:14 | 185:10,16 186:10 | 7:19 8:8 |
| DPP's 116:3 | 156:9 | 92:2,14,15,17 | 187:2 190:15 | Euston 85:17 |
| 136:16 158:23 | early 7:15 9:25 | 93:2 94:17 95:13 | engaging 10:16,21 | EVANS 109:2,23 |
| 159:15 187:1,6 | 11:11 34:2 65:24 | 100:5 102:10,14 | engine 20:3 | evening 14:19 |
| Dr 67:17 70:3 | 87:1,9 100:5 | 102:19,21 105:4 | engineer 20:10 | 28:23,23 69:23 |
| 137:5 | ears 19:1 92:24 | 107:7 109:7 | engineering 20:7 | 75:2 97:22 |
| draw 17:10 89:1 | 130:9 142:25 | elections 4:5,7 | 20:11 | event 23:23 24:2 |
| 177:4 | ease 83:12 | 5:16,21,23 7:20 | enjoying 156:20 | 169:22 174:22 |
| drawing 16:6 | easier 135:15 | 8:5,6 36:16 | enquire 152:8 | events 43:23 52:15 |
| drawn 138:24 | easily 71:14 | 89:19 | enquired 151:19 | 55:10 81:20 |
| 146:13 177:13 | East 184:21 | electoral 96:17 | enquiries 78:2 | 100:8 101:14 |
| draws 153:21 | EC 55:15 | electorally 11:5 | 162:7 181:5,7 | eventual 124:9,12 |
| Driberg 185:15,16 | echelons 88:23 | elite 31:4 32:9 48:2 | enquiry 152:7,21 | everybody 31:3,6 |
| 185:24 | 108:2 | 56:19 101:13 | ensure 120:19 | 31:7 35:6 48:5 |
| drink 32:17 35:4 | economic 118:8 | Eliza 116:14 | 140:5 190:25 | 48:12 72:21 |
| drinking 34:3 87:3 | Education 21:16 | 131:16 132:12 | 191:15 | 117:22 128:17 |
| 87:3 | Edwina 13:8,13 | 146:3,10 147:14 | enter 53:25 | 156:2,13 173:22 |
| drinks 14:20 | effect 27:1 68:1,22 | 147:23 149:1,16 | entirely 48:23 | everybody's 115:4 |
| drive 60:10 | 106:14 118:13,15 | 150:13,23 151:16 | entirety 114:6 | evidence 1:7,11 |
| driven 180:21 | 131:8,21 136:14 | 151:21 152:22 | entitled 127:12 | 8:25 11:10 14:5 |
| dropped 65:5 75:9 | 150:14 157:14 | 154:14 | 160:2 | 15:8,22 17:11 |
| 76:22 | effectively 183:18 | Eliza's 151:8 | entity 58:22 | 27:1 32:5,6 40:6 |
| drunk 51:18 82:13 | eight 95:6 115:8 | email 53:1,12 | entries 164:19 | 48:15 57:20 |
| 83:8 | 116:7 136:10 | 54:13,20 | entry 13:13 115:25 | 70:18,25 78:4,8 |
| DS 111:22 | Eileen 59:4 61:9 | EMB 147:5,11,15 | 170:14 | 84:20 86:19 |
| due 3:23 101:8 | 61:11,11,13,23 | embarrassment | equally 95:22 | 94:14 95:7 96:22 |
| 120:22 190:3 | 74:22 111:5 | 18:7 92:18 128:1 | equipment 2:1 | 96:23 98:15 |
| Duff 128:5,12 | either 9:6 14:15,16 | 130:4 | 123:2 | 101:1 105:25 |
| 133:19 134:12 | 38:17 42:13,16 | embellished 87:4 | errors 115:6 | 106:1,14 107:15 |
| 135:10,19 136:9 | 46:21 62:6 76:25 | embodied 122:15 | erstwhile 170:9 | 110:20,22 112:14 |
| 142:19 146:1 | 80:3 84:25 88:11 | employed 7:21 | especially 130:2 | 112:25 113:7 |
| 150:11,24,25 | 90:15 105:7 | 113:19 | espionage 139:16 | 151:14 158:12 |
| 151:17 152:19 | 107:23 126:4 | employees 20:24 | essence 150:21 | 160:19 162:23 |
| Duff's 143:5 145:6 | 148:2 163:1 | 24:16,19 49:5,6 | essential 124:8 | 163:1 175:12 |
| 152:5 | 164:25 170:5,14 | Employees' 7:4 | essentially 92:5 | 177:10 178:7 |
| dumb 14:17 | elected 3:5 20:14 | employers 23:24 | 95:10 177:25 | 191:24 192:21 |
| Dunwoody 82:8 | 22:24 25:19 | employment 23:17 | Essex 2:7 7:11,18 | exact 7:24 12:24 |
| duplication 190:24 | election 3:11,14,19 | 25:14 140:13 | 8:7,11,16,19 15:3 | 61:22 67:23 |
| Dutch 61:21 | 3:22,25 4:10,10 | encounters 184:13 | 15:13 | 75:23 |
| duties 148:13 | 4:11,14,19,21 | 184:20 | establish 1:8 112:8 | exactly 2:11 7:16 |
| | 6:15,18 7:12 | encouraged 8:20 | established 176:22 | 15:17 36:10 |
| E 193:8 | 12:9 13:1 14:24 | 11:16 85:4 | et 21:22,22 45:24 | 60:20 74:19 |
| L 193.8 | | | | |
| L | | | | |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 99:14 105:25 | explained 1:17 | 48:5 172:2 | 159:6,8,12 | 87:2,6 90:9 99:2 |
| 149:13 187:12 | 8:11 63:23 | fallen 72:2 | 161:12 163:11 | 103:14 104:3,20 |
| examination 1:12 | explaining 16:16 | falling 174:22 | 166:1 171:13 | 111:1 114:9 |
| 19:17 57:16 | 87:12 135:16 | falls 192:15 | 173:14 176:10,12 | 115:7 117:24 |
| 80:22 113:10 | explains 114:18 | false 33:25 | 176:16,23 177:24 | 119:3 129:24 |
| 123:2 125:5,21 | 154:6 | familiar 13:9 | 178:4,22 | 130:6 132:11,21 |
| 176:24 178:6 | explanation 78:15 | family 22:11 72:3 | file' 119:18 | 132:24 134:5 |
| 179:2 193:12,16 | expose 68:23 | 84:23 182:14,16 | files 119:3,4,4,7 | 142:13,16 147:7 |
| 193:22 194:2,11 | exposed 155:2 | far 7:23 11:7,13 | 120:15 121:8 | 147:11 149:20,24 |
| examined 159:24 | exposing 48:3 | 15:14 27:5 29:23 | 123:11 125:4,20 | 150:1,19 151:3 |
| 176:20 | expression 27:5 | 40:21 44:23 | 135:25 160:1 | 152:10 153:6 |
| example 3:16 | 30:25 | 49:11 55:13 58:9 | 163:12 176:14 | 157:2 162:4 |
| 10:15 11:15 | extent 81:22 | 79:2 133:14 | 183:25 | 164:20 170:12,22 |
| 31:12 40:2 43:11 | 151:15 156:5 | 143:18 162:25 | filled 166:14 | 171:25 173:12,16 |
| 127:21 143:20 | 162:21 170:20 | 175:23 176:6 | final 16:1 175:11 | 173:19 174:16 |
| 182:9,21 183:10 | external 139:15 | 190:13 | 179:5 183:10 | 177:20 178:12 |
| examples 6:2 | extract 13:8 | fast 120:5 | finally 8:19 55:8 | 179:21 182:4,9 |
| 182:1,5,7 | Eye 99:2 108:14 | father 132:17 | 107:3 117:17 | 182:13,15 190:8 |
| exception 172:19 | 158:17 159:19 | favourable 45:18 | 126:8 134:9 | Firstly 163:22 |
| exceptions 174:21 | 161:16 162:5 | FCO 162:17 | 148:2 183:18 | fitter 20:3 |
| 174:23 | eyes-on 120:18 | 167:11 170:25 | 190:13 191:4 | five 36:18 130:16 |
| excessive 87:3 | | feared 18:25 92:23 | finances 177:6,9 | 132:21,25 159:18 |
| exchange 95:12 | <u>F</u> | feature 176:6 | financing 3:17 | flaming 161:23 |
| 160:12 172:25 | F 133:12 145:17 | February 57:18 | find 16:2 33:2,5 | flat 157:19 159:2 |
| 173:11,14 174:18 | 145:19,24 | 113:12 160:15,22 | 38:7 45:17 47:19 | Flintshire 58:8 |
| 176:3 | F2 145:18,21 | Federal 161:19 | 50:6 70:6 72:19 | focus 118:17 |
| exclusively 118:22 | face 133:7 137:19 | feel 33:25 60:15 | 114:3 180:1 | 143:10 |
| executive 35:18 | 145:8 147:9 | 61:25 62:21 70:4 | fine 24:25 27:22 | focused 142:8 |
| 36:9 43:15 48:16 | 151:12,15 153:15 | 79:4 80:8,10 | 135:19 156:15 | 172:22 |
| 95:2 176:18,25 | 176:23 | feeling 63:5 | finely 172:22 | follow 10:14 11:25 |
| 177:16 | fact 15:11 23:15 | fellow 99:24 | finish 1:18 31:23 | 62:9 119:15 |
| exercise 88:14 | 25:18 27:7 47:19 | felt 29:12,12 45:22 | finished 50:22 | 192:16 |
| 154:9 | 48:14 50:1 53:22 | 62:8 73:4 78:16 | 52:3 178:7 | follow-up 55:5 |
| exhibit 180:3 | 54:5 63:16 66:19 | 152:16 | finishes 124:7 | followed 30:14 |
| exhibited 134:25 | 71:18 79:1 81:25 84:15 85:8 89:11 | female 182:11,24 | Finn 49:3 | 103:3 132:3 |
| existed 76:16 | 89:12 91:16 | 183:3,7 | firms 23:21 | following 116:20 |
| existence 126:4,6 | 93:15 105:2 | female's 182:25 | first 1:5,18 2:6 3:5 | 127:17 133:24 |
| existing 8:9 | 106:19 115:22 | fiercely 89:19 | 6:12 9:22 10:10 | 157:3 158:2,7 |
| expect 54:7 83:25 | 129:9 131:14,24 | fifth 134:9 | 11:22 16:15,19 | 161:22 176:20 |
| 148:21 187:14 | 146:13 152:9 | fight 4:11,14 | 23:8,11 29:5 | foot 115:18 131:12 |
| expected 149:13 | 154:25 155:12 | fighting 3:18 4:4 | 31:10 34:14 35:3 | 133:11 145:23 |
| 149:15,18 173:8 | 162:14 174:12 | file 96:14 117:11 | 35:4,12,13 36:1 | force 23:1,3,6 |
| expenses 3:19,23 | 184:2 186:12 | 117:12 119:1,13 | 36:14 37:7,19,21 | 44:17 185:6 |
| experience 58:18 | facts 70:17,17 | 120:8,14,18,22 | 39:23 42:12,14 | foreign 123:14 |
| 85:1,9 114:15 | 142:5 | 120:23 121:3 | 50:4,10 51:2 | 140:25 158:14 |
| 143:9 189:3 | factual 86:2 | 122:6,16,17 | 53:23 54:11,12 | 162:17 163:24,25 |
| experts 191:19 | faded 159:11 | 123:7,10,11,16 | 55:1,2 73:21 | 164:5 168:5,8 |
| explain 32:10 73:4 | failed 52:10 | 123:18,23 124:2 | 74:6 78:18 79:13 | 172:5 190:1,9 |
| 96:4,7 | fair 5:10,15 25:13 | 124:8,24 130:20 | 82:4 83:21 86:12 | forget 72:8 107:1 |
| | 1411 5.10,15 45.15 | | | |
| | | | | |

| 108:3 | 131:1,18 132:4 | general 3:14 4:5 | 23:12 27:21 | 141:4 149:12 |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| form 111:8 122:16 | 136:20,23 | 17:22 24:21 | 29:17 30:3 37:16 | 151:2 155:13 |
| 168:22 | friendly 32:23 | 25:20 29:17 | 37:17 41:2,22 | 158:21 170:5 |
| formal 97:5 102:3 | friends 72:1 | 31:23 38:1 51:20 | 46:9,9,12,21,23 | 180:1 181:11 |
| 106:8,10 107:7,8 | front 1:20,22 33:7 | 64:17 70:9 81:24 | 46:23 51:5 52:2 | 188:7,9 191:22 |
| 107:12 139:1 | 63:2 91:20 115:1 | 89:18 92:14 95:1 | 52:8 60:10,15 | good 1:3,5 5:2 6:4 |
| 167:19 171:15 | 169:13 | 100:5 102:19,21 | 64:9 72:24 77:1 | 27:3 29:13 41:4 |
| formally 119:13 | fulfilled 166:10 | 114:16 119:3 | 77:2 79:15 86:14 | 46:1 63:6 72:1,6 |
| 119:17 | full 1:13,15 19:18 | 120:10 161:24 | 89:12 96:21 | 83:22 152:1 |
| formed 180:18 | 36:7 80:23 | 162:2 | 108:10 114:22 | goodness 71:21 |
| former 12:6 82:9 | 112:24 171:5,21 | generally 29:2 | 115:5,7,23 | Googled 74:25 |
| 90:4 170:17 | 173:25 | 30:16 46:1 83:6 | 117:25 119:14 | 75:2 |
| formerly 175:4 | full-time 21:11 | 97:10 180:22 | 126:23,25 127:18 | Gorman 13:15 |
| forth 8:17 | fully 96:12 98:25 | gentlemen's 85:23 | 130:19 137:10,24 | 14:19 15:3,14 |
| fortunate 84:2 | 174:14 | Geoffrey 163:6 | 144:9 146:4 | Gorman's 15:9 |
| forward 120:6 | function 84:8 | 170:5,15 | 149:20 150:9 | gossip 13:20 85:13 |
| forwarded 176:5 | 118:14,14,15 | get-together 58:25 | 159:9 163:10,15 | 91:8 108:14 |
| forwards 7:17,20 | 139:21 155:19 | 59:16,19 60:17 | 165:3,8 170:22 | gossipping 96:22 |
| fought 4:4 44:10 | 191:7 | 60:23 61:10,24 | 171:8,11 173:25 | 98:9 |
| found 4:13,18 6:3 | functions 114:15 | get-togethers | 176:12 179:10,19 | governed 118:2 |
| 27:19 35:12,13 | 118:6,12 138:14 | 58:23 | 181:11,17,20 | 139:12 |
| 45:19 46:2,8 | 139:8 148:11 | getting 75:7 | 183:25 | government 5:21 |
| 47:15,19 62:3 | 191:11 | 143:10 | goes 13:24 18:1 | 6:8 21:23 128:1 |
| 83:9 126:3 | fund 3:18 | give 1:13 4:23 | going 1:17 2:22 | 130:4 143:23 |
| 130:15,17 157:12 | fundraising 8:20 | 19:18 25:25 | 4:20,21 6:19 8:1 | 187:5,11 190:8 |
| 157:17,19 159:1 | funds 177:11 | 27:21 30:13 | 8:23,25 11:2,25 | Grahame 7:2 |
| 163:11 173:9 | further 11:20 39:7 | 33:25 43:25 53:4 | 12:19 13:17 14:8 | 19:16,19 51:15 |
| 176:14,14 177:21 | 43:2 52:3 57:6 | 64:7,19 72:23 | 14:24 16:7 19:8 | 70:19 71:6 104:7 |
| 178:21,24 | 79:15,18 80:13 | 73:3 80:23 93:13 | 24:6 26:17 28:24 | 104:20 105:10 |
| founding 178:16 | 80:16 110:16,20 | 95:7 105:19 | 30:15 31:22 32:7 | 193:14 |
| four 21:20 22:9 | 114:22 130:13 | 106:14 107:15 | 32:8 33:13 34:16 | great 53:7 62:22 |
| 64:22 149:24 | 134:8,20 152:7 | 113:7 127:21 | 34:18,20 35:17 | 62:24 63:1 95:23 |
| 170:8 | 153:18 154:3,13 | 148:23 168:6 | 41:2,3,22 42:5,6 | greater 128:2 |
| framework 118:2 | 154:13 169:22 | 182:2 | 42:7 46:11 49:10 | 130:5 |
| 118:4 | 182:17 183:1 | given 1:11 8:2 29:1 | 49:21 51:4 53:2 | greatly 5:5 |
| Frances 1:6,10,15 | 186:11,14 192:20 | 36:18,20 38:20 | 54:1 56:6,8,11 | Green 99:18,20 |
| 12:4,21 33:3 | future 120:12 | 40:7 53:21 64:14 | 57:23 59:6 62:12 | 100:8,15,25 |
| 83:21 89:4,21,24 | 144:8 | 78:4 92:6 123:16 | 62:24 63:1,1 | 101:5,8 102:13 |
| 90:2,9,20,21 93:2 | Fyfe 139:13 | 125:18 138:17 | 64:14 70:1 73:12 | 103:13 104:15,18 |
| 95:18 102:11,16 | | 139:9 146:8 | 74:19,25 75:12 | 105:5,24 107:11 |
| 105:1,6,8,16 | G | 154:24 155:6 | 75:13,16 77:21 | 110:1 111:13 |
| 106:20 193:10 | gain 63:8 | 156:6 158:2 | 78:21 87:21 | Green's 99:22 |
| Frank 109:24,25 | gained 84:21 | 159:7 160:19 | 89:24 90:8 93:18 | 103:22 |
| 110:7,15 | Gardens 157:20 | 166:21 167:11,22 | 95:20 101:4 | Greens 100:17 |
| frankly 74:11 | 159:2 | 168:22 171:19 | 102:12 106:15 | Gresford 57:25 |
| free 52:6 | Gary 111:21 | giving 1:7 26:25 | 107:18 108:19 | 58:6,15 59:9,11 |
| frequent 26:10 | gathered 45:16 | 27:6 73:5 78:17 | 110:11,20 113:7 | 59:12,13,14 |
| friend 36:2 39:9 | gay 80:2,4 108:4 | 151:13 | 120:5 126:22 | 60:21 69:23 |
| 42:1 72:6 90:20 | 108:18 | go 7:18 15:14 16:8 | 128:4 139:5 | grew 34:8 102:23 |
| | GCHQ 184:25 | | | |
| | I | I | I . | I . |

| ground 166:22 | 12:18 34:20 35:7 | 43:11,20 60:14 | 67:1,2,5,12 71:22 | 150:2 |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| group 36:10 37:6 | 36:13 41:3,20 | 60:16 97:1 | 108:2 | hopefully 41:21 |
| 61:1,6,10 63:5 | 47:7,16 48:8,11 | 112:21 133:23 | highest 108:12 | hopes 134:2 |
| 69:23 111:6 | 49:1,3 50:17 | 164:25 165:1,1,2 | hindsight 44:24 | 150:16 |
| 123:13 136:25 | 63:13,14 65:10 | 165:10 | 48:12 155:25 | horrible 108:18 |
| 174:19 175:2 | 74:23 89:15 94:4 | heard 4:15 9:3 | 156:6 | horrific 74:11,12 |
| group's 175:6 | 102:18 103:4,5 | 10:5,8,15,21 | hinted 45:6 | horrified 75:5,6 |
| groups 6:3 | 104:15 106:18,20 | 11:14 14:6 17:5 | historic 125:9 | 76:21 |
| Guardian 49:15 | 106:23 107:12,12 | 17:12 30:9,22,23 | 127:3 180:8 | horror 166:14 |
| 49:17 50:4 | 110:13 143:3 | 31:19 33:3 34:23 | 183:20 | hospital 82:5 |
| guess 37:12,12 | 169:14 | 35:4,14,20 36:1,4 | historical 120:20 | hostile 123:9 |
| guests 86:10 | happening 26:3 | 38:24 39:18 40:6 | 138:6 | 140:25 158:13 |
| guidance 10:12,17 | 48:1 94:1,5 | 40:21 51:3 64:13 | history 140:12 | 162:24 |
| 113:23 180:13,15 | Happily 8:4 | 70:18,21 71:4 | 158:3 | hotel 184:22 |
| 180:24,24,25 | happy 45:21 50:3 | 72:8 74:10 76:9 | hoc 82:10 | 187:21 |
| guilty 62:8,21 | 166:10 | 81:20 83:21 85:7 | Hoggart 49:14 | hounded' 132:1 |
| 72:21 73:6,10 | hard 9:19 77:13 | 86:19,22 87:24 | 51:12 52:16,20 | hour 85:19 |
| 80:11 | 91:20 118:22,23 | 90:4 95:7 97:20 | 54:16 | house 5:11 59:24 |
| Gwyn 37:13 | 119:3 122:17 | 103:6 107:9,15 | Hoggart's 49:23 | 59:25 60:11 79:7 |
| Gwyneth 37:14 | 160:16 | 111:2 128:25 | 50:10,12 55:2 | 79:9 123:17 |
| 82:8 | hat 27:25 82:6 | 136:13 146:9 | hoi 83:12 | 125:14,19 164:3 |
| Gyles 52:4 70:13 | hate 71:15 | 149:4,14 162:4 | hold 58:11,16 | 164:22 165:18,23 |
| 81:13 87:22 | Hayman 156:9,22 | hearing 1:4 34:14 | HOM002207 | 184:11,21 185:2 |
| | 157:15 159:12,20 | 48:21 83:15 | 170:2 | husband 100:1 |
| H | 160:1,25 161:3,5 | 109:20 110:21 | home 47:17 86:7 | hysteria 108:4 |
| half 21:2 52:10 | 161:13,15 162:3 | 193:4 | 171:19 | |
| 85:19 100:12 | 162:24 163:17,18 | heart 50:21 83:17 | homophobic | <u> </u> |
| halfway 60:13,19 | 163:19 164:1,17 | Heath 28:24 29:4 | 108:19 | Ian 58:11 61:3 |
| 86:16 141:7,22 | 165:12,16 166:9 | 29:8 | homosexual 17:21 | 65:21,24 66:1,6 |
| 171:14 | 166:13 167:5 | held 27:11 36:11 | 92:12 109:10 | 68:21 69:13,16 |
| hall 23:23 59:11 | 168:2,25 169:19 | 45:12 111:23 | 164:4 184:13,19 | 70:4,5 71:4,8,17 |
| 59:12 82:7 | 170:23 171:12 | 158:3 178:11 | 187:20 188:19 | 71:19,24,25 |
| halved 82:19 | 172:1,3,17,20 | help 5:4 13:2 | 190:6 | 79:20 111:2 |
| hand 9:17 | 179:21 185:9 | 27:12 29:24 | homosexuality | Ian's 80:2 |
| handed 75:7 | Hayman's 158:2 | 36:13 59:15 60:6 | 108:6 164:23 | idea 4:23 27:21 |
| handled 189:4 | 162:21 168:18 | 68:21 101:13 | 165:19 | 31:24 48:8 52:12 |
| handling 180:19 | head 98:24 184:8 | 140:20 144:23 | homosexuals | 72:22 99:4 |
| hands 167:3 | headhunted 7:18 | 145:18 147:8 | 79:25 | 102:16 107:18 |
| handwriting | 8:6 | helpful 26:23 | honest 43:8 46:8 | 189:6 |
| 133:11 | heading 121:12 | 27:10 44:8 | honestly 10:3 | idealistic 60:8 |
| handwritten | 139:6 161:13 | Henderson 160:25 | 36:19,23 47:20 | ideally 138:19 |
| 134:13 144:13 | 177:6 | 161:1,5 | 56:24 | identical 135:12 |
| 145:1 | headquarters | hierarchy 49:5 | honesty 152:20 | identified 125:24 |
| hang 72:18 85:19 | 36:12 37:21 | 67:11,13 84:23 | honour 188:22 | 126:11 130:18 |
| happen 11:4,24 | 89:25 125:14 | high 23:18 88:17 | Hoole 22:4,6 25:12 | 142:4 182:10,22 |
| 47:16 55:12 | hear 1:8 8:25 9:6 | 121:18,20 158:4 | 60:1,2,3,4,12 | 183:2,12 |
| 105:4 106:15 | 9:10 10:1 17:9 | high-profile | hope 133:25 | identity 182:18 |
| 138:7 148:20 | 17:23 30:17 31:1 | 121:14 158:4 | 156:10,20 169:25 | 183:1 |
| 188:24 | 32:1 35:3 38:17 | higher 66:7,11 | hoped 53:1 133:4 | ii 162:23 ill 83:12 |
| happened 8:13 | | | | III 03.12 |

| | I | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| illegal 10:16 | included 125:8 | informant 111:14 | initial 10:25 | 79:16 103:14,24 |
| illegible 133:15 | 184:18 192:8 | information 36:20 | 133:16 153:24 | 113:11,16 114:5 |
| illustrate 181:25 | including 56:25 | 38:20 39:3,12,18 | initialled 147:15 | 121:5,7 124:15 |
| image 6:5 | 112:20 113:24 | 40:8 41:20 42:19 | initially 6:13 96:11 | 125:3,4,9,14,17 |
| imagination 41:4,6 | 124:23 149:4 | 44:22 48:21 | initials 144:18 | 125:21 126:13 |
| imagine 41:4 | 157:11 158:4 | 52:23 53:11 | 147:9 | 130:18 132:10 |
| immediate 135:6 | 160:6 168:25 | 55:16,23 77:22 | initiated 89:3 | 173:23 178:6 |
| immediately 66:5 | 178:25 | 77:24 78:4 92:6 | inner 93:16 | 179:3,4 181:5,9 |
| 114:4 152:14 | incorrectly 174:11 | 98:23 101:3 | innocent 165:23 | inquiry's 111:23 |
| immunity 166:21 | incredible 33:2,5 | 112:9 114:9,12 | INQ001664 73:12 | 121:9 126:12 |
| 167:12 168:6,11 | 38:7 52:11 | 116:8 119:7,19 | INQ003856 50:5 | 163:22 178:6 |
| 168:20 | indefinitely 120:11 | 123:15 125:25 | INQ003993 64:19 | insert 116:20 |
| imparted 150:6 | Independent 75:3 | 126:5 129:8,12 | INQ003993 003 | insofar 114:19 |
| implementation | 76:2 78:5 | 130:9 137:18 | 67:9 | 159:14 173:6 |
| 139:22 | indicate 59:21 | 139:3,24 140:11 | INQ004031 | inspector 73:15,19 |
| implication 71:5 | 126:3 146:19 | 140:18,22 142:21 | 103:20 111:18 | 73:23,25 74:14 |
| 143:11 164:3 | 167:18 | 142:25 143:14,15 | INQ004032 114:5 | 77:15 173:23 |
| implying 188:18 | indicated 162:10 | 145:2,11 146:8 | 134:23 | instance 164:20 |
| important 129:17 | 182:15 183:2 | 146:16 150:5,12 | INQ004032_013 | 190:19 |
| 149:23 150:5 | indicates 116:19 | 150:23,25 151:16 | 184:7 | instances 73:16 |
| imported 151:16 | 145:2 | 152:18 154:15 | INQ004034 | institutional 125:9 |
| importuning | indicating 169:13 | 160:12 164:14 | 176:13 | instrumental 77:4 |
| 136:16 137:20 | 169:16 182:3,10 | 172:16,25 173:11 | INQ004035 | insufficient 184:25 |
| imposed 84:16 | 182:21 183:12 | 173:14 174:18 | 161:12 | intelligence 112:2 |
| 140:24 | indication 116:13 | 176:3,21 178:1 | INQ004036 | 123:14 140:25 |
| impression 27:7 | 146:15 147:12 | 180:15,19 182:3 | 130:21 | 158:14 162:24 |
| 29:14,21 33:25 | 148:24,25 167:19 | 182:19 183:8,17 | INQ004037 | 163:25 172:5 |
| 80:6 81:24 83:6 | 167:24 | 183:21 184:1,9 | 133:18 150:10 | 180:14 182:10,12 |
| 84:21,24 129:8 | individual 30:11 | 184:19,23 185:1 | INQ004038 | 182:15,21 183:2 |
| 130:8 142:24 | 106:6 121:22 | 185:7,10,12,15 | 173:17 | 183:11 184:9,24 |
| imprisonment | 123:10,12 124:7 | 185:17 186:1,4,8 | INQ004039 180:4 | intend 4:14 56:19 |
| 175:10 | 124:10 183:5 | 186:11,12,14,21 | INQ004040 | intended 162:6 |
| improving 6:5 | 186:25 | 187:4,6,13,14,18 | 128:19 135:17 | interaction 62:15 |
| in1974 3:5 | individuals 119:4 | 187:19,20,24 | 137:12 141:5 | interactions 79:5 |
| incident 30:13 | 119:8,20 121:14 | 188:21 189:15,18 | 146:5 | interception 123:1 |
| 34:9,11,15 36:2 | 121:18 125:25 | 190:1,7,10,15,17 | INQ004041 | interest 100:17 |
| 42:13 47:5 48:9 | 175:20 | 190:20,21 191:1 | 134:10 | 120:20 122:19 |
| 48:21 49:16 56:1 | indulging 85:23 | 191:9,12 | INQ004042 | 131:10,22 140:11 |
| 56:4 63:11 69:20 | industrial 26:20 | informed 51:22 | 159:10 | 169:1,22 178:20 |
| 78:17 96:2 | 27:17,18,24 | 133:9 135:8 | INQ004043 | 179:6 182:11 |
| 100:20 101:7,22 | 29:24 | 140:6 142:6 | 132:10 144:11 | 183:13,14 |
| 103:2 104:12,16 | Industry 140:15 | 144:15,21,24 | 149:21 | interest' 119:21 |
| 106:1 109:9 | inevitably 121:19 | 145:10 157:23 | INQ004087 111:1 | interested 29:25 |
| 139:8 165:16 | 190:24 | 163:25 184:11 | INQ004087_002 | 54:7 165:24,25 |
| 172:19 | inference 146:12 | 187:1 | 69:18 | 178:14,17 |
| incidents 74:22 | inform 139:24 | informing 12:20 | INQ004107 13:11 | interested' 52:7 |
| 105:13 181:14 | 142:1 | 121:5 169:18 | inquiry 15:6,22 | interesting 138:10 |
| include 56:20 | informal 101:25 | infuriated 45:23 | 16:6 19:23 29:1 | 165:3 |
| 113:22 | 167:21 | inherited 14:21 | 57:17 59:20 | interests 5:16,17 |
| | | | | |
| | • | • | • | • |

| - 10 | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 5:18,23 96:17 | 121:21 122:5,10 | issues 120:2 | K | 48:11 49:6 50:2 |
| interference 123:3 | 122:13 124:3,6,6 | Italian 190:5 | keen 6:9 100:17 | 52:20 55:15 56:8 |
| intermediary | 131:9,22 151:11 | items 157:16 | keep 11:16 14:22 | 56:14 57:3,4 |
| 142:21 | 153:2,12,13 | | 15:23 36:22 | 60:11,12,14 61:3 |
| internal 130:23 | 155:3 157:23 | <u>J</u> | 71:23 95:17 | 61:19 64:9 65:7 |
| 132:12 133:8 | 170:19 171:6,22 | James' 157:12 | 148:6 | 71:13,13,24,25 |
| 139:16 144:11 | 171:25 172:3,17 | Jane 57:14,15 58:4 | keeping 118:18 | 72:3 74:21 78:18 |
| 146:14 147:19 | 173:8 175:2,6,12 | 66:6 71:22 75:4 | 167:7 | 80:5 81:25 84:4 |
| 152:22 | 175:15 176:4 | 94:20 111:3,4 | Kent 20:1 25:24 | 85:11 86:4 89:14 |
| internally 149:3 | 184:14,18 | 112:20 193:20 | 47:20 51:1,2 | 90:3,18 91:12,15 |
| internet 50:9 | investigations | January 16:5 | kept 6:10 26:17 | 91:21 94:10 |
| interpolation | 121:24 172:16,23 | 128:21 136:7 | 36:22 60:21 69:8 | 96:19 99:12,20 |
| 153:22 | 173:4 176:1 | 160:20 161:16 | 80:11 148:22 | 106:23 108:1,13 |
| interpretation | investigative 122:6 | Jay 125:13 | 191:15 | 108:15,21 113:7 |
| 169:3 | 122:22 123:24 | Jeffrey 130:3 | key 99:14,16 | 134:14 136:19 |
| intervened 112:11 | 124:2 | 133:3 | 119:19 151:23 | 143:8 148:9,11 |
| interview 78:23 | Investigatory | Jeremy 159:22 | kick 87:10 | 152:12 158:16 |
| 100:14 103:22 | 122:25 | job 2:18,18,23,23 | kind 90:20 106:21 | 161:25 167:2,13 |
| 111:12 117:18 | invite 110:20 | 3:1,2,11,25 5:15 | 166:12 172:25 | 167:15 169:10,18 |
| 143:11,13 162:19 | 112:23 124:17 | 6:4 7:7 8:7 22:22 | KIS 119:19,21 | 169:23 175:17 |
| 164:18 165:12,15 | invited 103:10 | 27:3 29:15 61:13 | 122:18 123:7 | 187:12 188:24,25 |
| 166:24 167:10,13 | 178:13 | 76:13 143:16 | knew 5:8 6:12 7:3 | 189:8,21 |
| 167:16,17,18,20 | involved 2:23 4:4 | 190:11 | 14:10 26:6 29:6 | knowing 40:25 |
| 168:4,24 | 22:7,13,16 25:14 | jog 101:13 | 29:15,20 30:2 | 55:17 76:9 80:2 |
| interviewed | 26:1 29:5 32:11 | jogged 101:16 | 31:4,6,7 32:22,24 | 84:23 |
| 162:18 164:17 | 39:21,25 40:1,14 | John 67:16,17 | 32:25 34:8 35:6 | knowledge 18:8 |
| interviewee 164:1 | 41:10 42:4,23 | 70:3 189:25 | 38:19,19 41:1 | 33:18 40:22,22 |
| 164:4,5 | 48:14 57:1 | join 60:4 | 42:2,15 43:15 | 57:21 58:21 |
| interviewees | 100:19 104:17 | joined 19:24 60:3 | 47:16,17,22,24 | 65:19 85:2 92:19 |
| 163:24 | 107:9 130:10 | joining 91:15 | 49:7 65:9 66:15 | 95:20,22 96:8 |
| interviewer | 143:1,6 151:13 | joke 90:18,19 | 66:16,22 72:25 | 101:1 114:10 |
| 166:11,19 168:19 | 154:21 173:7 | journalist 52:22 | 73:6,7 81:23 | 152:15 156:2 |
| interviews 117:19 | 175:14,24 182:5 | 53:4 61:16,17 | 90:5 95:19 96:15 | 162:21 |
| 162:18,25 163:16 | 182:23,24 189:16 | 79:2 111:6 | 97:9,15 99:14 | known 29:2 31:10 |
| 169:6 172:20 | involvement 24:13 | journalists 96:12 | 153:14 | 33:14 57:3 58:3 |
| 184:18 | involving 34:21 | judged 139:17 | knocked 25:22 | 87:17 98:23 |
| introduced 139:13 | 100:20 127:4 | 140:1 188:6 | 79:11 | 109:15 123:8 |
| 139:21 | 183:21 | judges 122:9 | knocking 87:22 | 158:8 163:7 |
| introduction | Ireland 190:16,22 | judgmental 83:9 | 96:25 | 165:20 176:2,6 |
| 139:23 | Irving 187:17,19 | judice 162:8 | know 1:16 2:11 | 182:11 |
| investigate 138:20 | 187:21 188:7,16 | judicial 123:4 | 13:8,9 14:9,10,23 | knows 14:16 18:14 |
| 154:7 158:8 | 188:18 | juicy 52:23 | 15:8 18:21 26:14 | 117:22 122:8 |
| 191:8 | Islay 132:3 | July 16:9 91:25 | 27:12 30:25 31:2 | |
| investigated | issue 27:13 28:9,11 | 125:15 | 31:24 32:14,16 | L |
| 121:18 177:25 | 45:10 138:12 | June 16:20 127:11 | 32:18,19,24 33:1 | LAB000037 9:14 |
| investigating | 154:11 161:16 | 140:14 | 33:4 35:21 39:7 | 86:12 |
| 153:18 175:23 | 180:23 187:11 | jurisdiction 188:5 | 39:14 40:18,19 | LAB000038 33:10 |
| investigation | issued 113:23 | jurisdictionally | 43:14,14,14 44:2 | 37:17 |
| 116:22 119:9 | 115:10,15 | 188:2 | 44:5,13 46:9 | label 147:4 |
| | | justified 155:20 | Í | labour 6:14,15,17 |
| | ı | | | |

| 6:23 7:1 11:15 | leader 28:23,25 | 10:15 11:25 | 83:23 | 56:22 60:5 61:7 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 12:6 18:8 19:21 | 56:10 61:17 | 13:11,17 14:14 | liking 14:1,11 | 70:22,22 84:18 |
| 19:24 24:12,15 | 62:10 63:16 | 16:7,8 17:17 | 31:11 33:14 87:4 | 84:19 89:18 90:5 |
| 24:23 25:3,11 | 65:18 72:4 97:22 | 28:2 36:10 37:6 | 109:15,17 | 94:2,18 95:5,23 |
| 32:21 34:17 | 175:6 | 39:1 50:4 51:4 | limited 121:24 | 96:12 100:18 |
| 35:16,18,19 36:5 | leadership 85:4 | 81:23 103:19 | 149:3 174:21 | 117:6,7 164:2,21 |
| 36:8,12 37:4,20 | leading 106:1,2 | 126:24,25 136:1 | Linden 157:20 | 165:18 |
| 39:15 41:12 | leadworks 23:18 | 136:8 157:22 | 159:2 | locate 78:3 93:1 |
| 42:17,17 43:19 | 26:19,19 | 165:8 | line 37:21 67:10 | 112:16 |
| 43:21,24 45:19 | leak 77:9 162:10 | letter 16:4,10 | 115:9,18 116:11 | located 112:13 |
| 45:21 51:21,25 | leaked 42:15,18,19 | 17:17 43:16 | 116:18 117:5,10 | London 5:19 |
| 58:1,12,15,22 | 77:6 78:9 | 77:13,15,21 | 117:14 142:12 | 20:21 21:1,9 |
| 61:14 68:5 69:21 | leaking 76:24 | 91:23 92:4,9 | 169:21 170:4 | 132:3 176:18,25 |
| 69:22 70:22 71:7 | learned 132:21,24 | 102:18 114:23 | lines 12:1 37:23 | long 100:1 103:14 |
| 72:9 81:3,5,10,15 | leave 8:13 | 115:1 116:14,23 | 46:23 64:22 | 172:7 |
| 82:18 83:16 89:7 | leaving 99:4 166:6 | 128:4,11,21 | 95:16 115:8 | longstanding |
| 89:25 92:20 93:3 | 185:3 | 130:12 133:10,19 | 116:7 149:24 | 180:13 |
| 93:23 94:24 | led 181:4 | 134:11,17 135:9 | 170:13 | look 1:22 8:23 |
| 95:19 96:17 97:6 | Lee 57:14,15,17 | 135:19,23 136:6 | link 52:9 192:25 | 9:20 11:20 12:1 |
| 98:14,17 99:8,9 | 58:18,25 63:19 | 137:10,13 141:1 | linked 39:21 | 13:17 16:15 |
| 101:2,18 108:15 | 64:18,21 65:21 | 141:5 142:11 | list 86:10 176:2,7 | 17:17 21:21 50:4 |
| 111:10,11 137:3 | 67:10 69:5,15,19 | 144:17,22 145:6 | 184:4 | 51:4 76:13 86:11 |
| Lambton 189:13 | 70:8,18 72:7 | 145:13,23 146:5 | listed 160:20 | 89:1 91:18,21 |
| 189:14 | 78:8,24 79:18 | 147:3,13,17,18 | literally 50:23 | 92:8 100:9,12 |
| landed 89:23 | 80:6,17,18 94:10 | 148:1,14,17 | little 2:22 24:7 | 103:19,20,24 |
| lane 93:14 | 94:20 96:1,5 | 150:9,22 152:4 | 27:8 30:24 31:2 | 128:14 131:6 |
| language 154:4 | 105:24 107:11,15 | 152:11,14 153:23 | 31:11,12,15,16 | 135:15 136:1 |
| 168:18 | 111:3 112:20 | 153:24 166:11 | 31:18,21,25 | 150:11 159:20 |
| large 136:25 | 193:20 | 188:12 189:9 | 32:10,13 33:15 | 169:24,25 170:12 |
| 176:19 | left 2:24 3:8 4:16 | letters 136:11 | 47:6 51:10 76:9 | 173:16 174:15 |
| largely 5:20 118:4 | 20:20 46:24 47:4 | 144:19 188:25 | 109:15,18,20 | 181:2 |
| 180:20,23 | 47:6 50:21,25 | level 33:1 108:13 | 118:3 134:7,19 | looked 76:4 103:21 |
| lastly 4:8 | 54:4 59:24 82:13 | liable 140:23 | 144:10 152:6 | 144:10 154:10,11 |
| late 25:16 36:23 | 131:11 167:1 | liaised 177:22 | 153:17 163:6 | looking 1:23 5:16 |
| 48:25 51:7,13 | 178:17 | liaison 173:23 | 169:25 179:22 | 5:23 37:18 47:20 |
| 54:21 55:21 | legal 10:11 113:23 | Liberal 99:12,15 | 180:9 | 86:15 113:18 |
| 82:12 86:23 | 119:24 125:14 | 99:17 100:2,4,18 | live 2:7,10 22:2 | 114:2 123:6 |
| 100:18 121:3 | 178:6 | 101:2,5 102:6 | lived 2:9,11,12,14 | 136:11 138:5,5 |
| 147:21 | legally 18:15 | 108:16 | 5:9 25:22 59:24 | 138:23 144:23 |
| latest 137:15,18 | Leicestershire | lie 15:15,17 | 60:9 94:19,20 | 151:20 152:20 |
| laughed 165:20 | 176:2 | lies 133:6 150:4 | lives 121:15 | 154:19 155:19 |
| lavatory 136:21 | length 180:5 | life 9:4,4,9,9,24 | living 19:25 | 174:2 179:14 |
| law 118:9 122:24 | lengthy 110:6 | 30:18 85:6,6 | local 5:21 6:8 | looks 41:10 142:18 |
| 162:8 174:24 | 184:14 | 87:8 175:24 | 21:23 22:7 23:8 | 181:3 |
| lawful 174:21 | leniency 174:24 | 180:14 | 23:21,24 24:12 | loose-leaf 160:1 |
| lawyer 113:20 | Leon 186:8,9,13 | lifelong 19:20 | 24:14 25:10,14 | Lord 136:24 |
| Leach 58:4,5 | 186:20 | light 109:16 130:3 | 25:14 28:21 39:7 | 189:14 |
| 111:4 | lesser 163:8 | 175:12 | 41:21,21 45:13 | lost 72:3 164:24 |
| lead 143:9 191:2 | let's 7:23 9:20 | liked 30:24 31:2 | 51:16 52:1,1 | 165:6 167:8 |
| | | | | |
| | • | • | • | • |

| lot 13:20 27:7 | 131:16 132:12 | 27:19,24 29:24 | 59:2,7,9,10 63:20 | memoranda 146:3 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 28:12,13 47:22 | 146:3,11 150:13 | 80:8 103:12 | 65:24 78:5 82:12 | memory 25:20 |
| 47:24,24 96:8 | 150:23 151:16 | 104:3,9,22 | 89:3,11,14,24 | 40:11 50:14 89:3 |
| 98:9 102:4 | 154:14 | 105:12 112:10 | 90:22,25 93:7 | 89:13,16 94:1,5 |
| lots 32:25 | Manpower 23:22 | 114:18 127:23 | 94:1,2,4 95:1,2,3 | 101:13,15 104:19 |
| lower 156:4 | 23:25 | 165:12 191:10 | 95:6,8,9,15,17,19 | 169:12 177:23 |
| Lucas 58:11 65:21 | manuscript 160:1 | 192:5 | 97:4,6 101:20 | memos 136:11 |
| 66:25 67:2,20 | March 1:1 114:23 | Maurice 184:8,11 | 102:10,15 103:1 | 146:14 |
| 68:21 69:6,16,19 | 170:7,8 175:9 | 184:18 | 103:7,10,11 | men 9:25 25:7 |
| 71:4 72:9 79:20 | 184:12 193:5 | Maurice's 184:15 | 104:19 105:1,6 | 85:24 86:10 87:5 |
| 107:16 111:2,3 | Margaret 51:14 | Maxwell 139:13 | 111:5 167:21 | 87:9,14 109:12 |
| Lucas's 111:9 | 64:5 80:21,24 | mayor 46:18 | meetings 27:23 | 109:19,21 161:8 |
| lucky 136:22 | 85:3 102:24 | mean 18:15 28:17 | 60:11 61:11,12 | 174:19 |
| lunch 57:9 110:19 | 193:24 | 33:20 34:23 | 82:10 94:25 | menaced 166:6 |
| 113:2 | margin 131:11 | 39:25 40:20 | 102:3 | mention 47:9 |
| | Marinari 73:15,19 | 41:19 43:20 | member 5:2,7,24 | 49:11 59:18 |
| M | 73:23,25 78:2 | 47:23 54:12 | 8:8,9 18:20 | 86:15 102:1 |
| mad 71:12 | mark 26:5 | 56:24 62:9 66:13 | 19:21 61:14,14 | 103:9 |
| magazine 175:16 | marks 52:14 | 67:12 68:5,11,17 | 70:1,2 84:9 | mentioned 3:10 |
| magistrate 96:19 | marriage 166:10 | 70:14 71:18 76:7 | 111:5 122:4,7,12 | 6:1 7:9 34:10 |
| 99:24 | Marrick 67:16,17 | 80:4 84:18 88:19 | 122:18,23 127:15 | 35:6 40:2 48:15 |
| Magistrate's | 70:3 | 88:22 89:16 90:4 | 127:22 128:8,23 | 63:10 64:5 92:10 |
| 160:18 | married 58:5 | 96:7,18,24 | 135:8 136:19,24 | 92:11 97:18 |
| Magpie 175:17 | marry 102:15 | 101:15 132:22 | 137:15 143:23 | 108:16 153:22 |
| Mahaffey's 112:14 | material 125:18,24 | 168:14,15 | 146:7,15,19,21 | 161:3 164:6 |
| main 23:7 41:25 | 126:3 138:2 | meaning 31:18 | 149:13,17 159:4 | 166:1 175:14 |
| 93:18 94:15 | 142:2 144:2,4 | means 97:3 143:16 | 160:25 163:7,24 | mentioning 14:7 |
| 99:17 | 145:9 157:4,10 | 168:12,13 192:11 | 176:18 177:1,16 | 17:20 |
| maintaining | 157:19 158:22,24 | meant 33:21 55:2 | 182:14,16 | mentions 12:16 |
| 113:22 | 166:25 167:1,8 | 67:3 88:10,11,18 | member's 177:17 | Meredith 189:25 |
| major 93:15 | 168:7 172:11 | 88:19 109:18 | members 21:12 | merely 15:19 |
| majority 82:19 | 177:13 | 130:9 142:24 | 46:15 56:23 | met 6:24 7:5,6,8 |
| making 3:22 18:18 | materials 157:9 | measures 123:19 | 58:19 61:2 84:14 | 23:16,19 24:3 |
| 23:11,15 40:15 | 176:24 177:17 | mechanism 192:17 | 84:16 95:22 | 26:11,11,12,13 |
| 91:16,17 109:3,4 | matter 27:9 39:7 | media 41:21,22 | 96:14 102:8,9 | 27:16,17 28:10 |
| 140:6 148:16,20 | 52:2 65:21 101:8 | 42:16 112:12 | 106:7 163:18 | 30:3 39:15 48:19 |
| 148:24 184:16 | 109:25 112:12 | medically 54:9 | 172:18,24,24 | 51:17 90:21 |
| makings 71:20 | 121:15 129:18 | Medway 19:25 | 175:4 176:3 | 93:24 104:3 |
| Malcolm 108:23 | 134:6,20 138:9 | meet 28:2,15 32:2 | 178:16 180:16 | Metropolitan |
| 109:2,23 | 138:12,16,18,25 | 99:16 | 191:23 | 174:6,7 178:19 |
| male 184:20 | 139:1 140:12 | meeting 12:5,12,14 | membership | mews 89:24 92:22 |
| man 6:12 16:10 | 149:17 150:20 | 17:14 24:21 | 177:11 | 93:11,12,14,21 |
| 22:25 74:3 76:23 | 152:20 154:1,22 | 25:20 32:17 | memo 116:8 | 93:24 94:3 97:4 |
| 90:12,13,23 | 154:23 155:4,7 | 34:16 35:15 36:4 | 130:23 131:12,15 | MI5 113:7,9,15 |
| 91:23 189:25 | 155:23,25 156:7 | 36:7,11 37:20,24 | 132:12 133:8 | 114:13,16 118:2 |
| man' 12:23 | 163:9 188:1,3 | 38:18 39:1 40:3 | 144:11 145:2,11 | 118:6,19 119:8 |
| managed 24:2 | 189:24 190:11 | 40:5,8,9 43:5 | 145:17,24 149:20 | 119:13,17,20 |
| management 95:1 | matters 23:17 | 47:23 51:21 | 149:24 150:24 | 121:7,13,14 |
| manner 34:2 | 25:14 27:17,18 | 55:14,14,16,19 | 152:22 | 122:6,13,22 |
| Manningham-B | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| 123:15 124:15,20 | minister 16:17 | 55:3 89:10 | 23:16 24:3,6 | 104:10 108:6 |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 126:10,16,16 | 26:19 72:4 88:19 | 107:22 | 25:18 26:1,7 | 152:19 |
| 127:14 128:13 | 123:4 133:3 | Mmm-hmm | 34:21 37:25 38:2 | mortified 75:8 |
| 135:8 138:25 | 134:6 139:24 | 107:17 | 39:6 40:23 41:16 | mother 29:3 |
| 139:1,3,6 140:11 | 140:6,13,14 | molested 85:21 | 43:18 45:12 47:3 | mothers 33:23 |
| 140:21 141:16,24 | 141:20 142:5 | molesting 112:1 | 47:7 48:3,9 | mouth 93:7 |
| 143:13,16 144:2 | 143:20 144:3 | moment 6:1,20 | 49:18 51:7,13,16 | move 8:1,12 14:17 |
| 145:7 153:10,17 | 149:25 150:20 | 7:14 28:24 32:1 | 51:17,23 52:6 | 15:12 |
| 154:7,11 155:7 | 151:4,23 152:9 | 45:6 66:22 69:1 | 54:21,23 56:6 | moved 2:14 7:9,10 |
| 157:22 158:8 | 153:6,14,14 | 71:12 75:10 99:4 | 58:16 62:15 | 8:16,19 11:8 |
| 159:4,5,11 | 169:8,15,19,21 | 107:5 188:8 | 64:16 68:18 | 15:3 21:3 25:17 |
| 163:18 164:17 | 184:10 190:18,23 | momentarily | 69:21 70:16,23 | 32:12 51:1 80:25 |
| 165:16 169:1 | Minister's 43:12 | 179:20 | 75:1 81:23 82:12 | moving 6:20 29:18 |
| 173:6,7,13 | 64:4,6 65:4,12 | moments 107:15 | 83:7,22 84:5,22 | 30:12 85:5 94:7 |
| 176:13,23 177:22 | 152:15 154:17 | Monday 1:1 | 90:3,23 91:3,13 | Mowatt 1:6,10,14 |
| 177:24 178:11,14 | 171:2 | money 3:21 14:22 | 92:7,10,18,23 | 1:15,16 2:2,6 4:3 |
| 178:17,20,24 | ministerial 140:1,7 | 15:19,23 117:15 | 95:11,12,20 | 4:13,24 5:10 7:2 |
| 179:23 180:11 | 141:21 142:7 | 166:5,6 | 97:10 100:19,20 | 7:9 8:2,23 9:12 |
| 182:3,9,21,25 | 153:19 154:3,13 | mongering 91:8 | 100:22 101:6 | 9:15,20 10:9 |
| 183:11,20 184:14 | 154:13 | monitor 121:14 | 102:23 104:8,21 | 11:6,12,20 12:4 |
| 184:23 185:10,15 | ministers 16:24 | monitoring 192:5 | 105:3,11 106:22 | 12:21 13:6,14 |
| 186:8 187:2,5,18 | 139:25 | month 36:24 63:20 | 107:19 109:7 | 14:5 15:1,17 |
| 187:20 189:14,18 | Ministry 170:17 | 65:25 92:2 | 110:11 111:7,14 | 16:2,3 17:6,11,24 |
| 190:1,14 191:7,8 | minute 16:8 24:6 | 128:24 | 111:24 112:10,20 | 18:3,9,13 19:5,7 |
| 191:16,17 194:9 | 49:25 60:20 | monthly 59:1,7,9 | 126:25 127:4 | 19:11,12 26:25 |
| MI5's 120:10,24 | 65:11 69:5 72:19 | monthly 59.1,7,9 months 94:25 | 128:9,22 129:1,9 | 27:15 33:3 83:21 |
| 125:14,23 130:17 | 148:17 169:8 | 136:10,20 | 129:15,20 132:1 | 84:1,3,7 85:8 |
| 138:2,14,21 | minutes 57:10 | mood 82:13 | 132:3,17 133:23 | 89:4,12,21 90:21 |
| 139:8,12,14 | 60:22 82:14 | morals 160:14 | 133:24 134:2,18 | 90:22 91:5 93:2 |
| 142:4 154:19 | 156:10 | 170:11 175:8 | 135:5,21 136:15 | 93:10,22 94:4 |
| 157:6 163:12 | misdated 147:24 | morning 1:3,5,6 | 136:21 140:12,17 | 95:18 97:5 |
| 167:24 176:10,14 | | 1:17 8:25 9:18 | 140:22 141:9,21 | 102:11,16 105:1 |
| 177:13 178:24 | misrepresented | 11:23 17:13 | 142:1,7 143:19 | 105:6,8,16 |
| 179:6 180:17 | 19:1 92:24 | 19:14 33:3 40:6 | 146:9,14,16 | 106:20 193:10 |
| 183:4,25 | missed 37:18 | 44:16 62:1,7 | 148:8 149:4 | MP 3:3,7,8 6:4 9:2 |
| MI6 184:9 | mistake 147:24 | 70:19 81:21 | 150:2,6,16 | 25:19 26:6 27:2 |
| mid 186:4,8 | mistaken 11:13 | 86:20 91:22 | 151:17,21,24 | 29:5,9,9,13 30:1 |
| middle 13:12 | 12:11 105:19 | 110:22 170:1 | 152:23 153:9 | 30:11 51:16 52:5 |
| 33:17 46:25 87:5 | mistreatment | 171:10 186:12 | 154:3 155:12 | 58:17 67:16 |
| 93:24 102:20 | 182:4 | morning's 112:25 | 179:21 186:3,5 | 81:13,15,16 82:9 |
| 184:21 | misunderstanding | Morris 161:8 | Morrison's 4:10 | 83:22 84:1 |
| Midland 125:10 | 110:2 | Morrison 3:3 4:14 | 5:17 9:4,24 | 111:24 112:10 |
| miles 2:13 58:10 | misunderstood | 4:19,24 5:10,25 | 11:17 14:21 15:2 | 116:1 123:3,8,19 |
| mind 23:10 47:5,6 | 105:19,20 110:4 | 6:1,10 9:1 10:6 | 15:11,24 18:7 | 124:2,7 128:22 |
| 73:22 78:24 | 110:9 | 10:16,21 12:22 | 29:3 30:18 47:8 | 136:15 137:4,5 |
| 97:24 153:5 | mix 58:24 | 13:21 14:11 | 47:10 62:3 73:20 | 186:10,14,19,25 |
| 156:11 188:7 | mixed 80:3 | 16:16,18,24 17:3 | 73:24 85:5 87:8 | 187:17 |
| minds 36:1 | Mmm 33:16 34:13 | 17:19,20 18:7,10 | 90:8 92:19 93:2 | MPs 82:11 108:15 |
| mine 72:5 | 36:3 44:18 50:18 | 18:14,18,25 19:4 | 93:7 96:20 101:9 | 108:15,16 |
| | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| MPS003549 | 101:15 122:9,10 | 34:4,9 35:25 | 115:15 116:23 | Observer 28:22 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 173:25 | 123:16 129:21 | 37:17 38:16 | 124:21 128:6 | 34:25 35:5 38:21 |
| multiple 85:12 | 137:24 141:10 | 39:23 45:14 46:4 | 130:22 131:1,3,7 | 39:10 42:2 55:22 |
| 191:1 | 144:2 | 47:1 48:1 50:7 | 131:18,20 132:13 | 97:20,22 |
| mutually 71:10 | needed 74:20 | 51:15 52:14 | 133:10,21,22 | obtained 39:12 |
| mythology 94:2 | 148:13 | 53:19 55:8 56:13 | 134:17 135:6,10 | 143:15 176:24 |
| | needing 154:7 | 56:17 57:5 70:19 | 136:12 137:10 | obtaining 133:25 |
| N | negative 10:5 | 84:21 86:25 | 140:12 141:1 | 186:18 |
| N 193:8 | Neider 61:20 | 94:10,14,18,21 | 142:11 144:11,17 | obvious 123:12 |
| nail 133:6 134:3 | Neiderlov 61:20 | 94:23 95:3,7,25 | 144:22 145:6,13 | 152:3 189:4 |
| 150:3,17 | 111:6 | 96:5 100:9 | 146:5 147:5 | obviously 5:10 |
| naively 91:12 | Neidermeyer 59:4 | 101:12,21 103:1 | 148:17 149:21,23 | 26:21 50:9 55:5 |
| name 1:13,15 | 61:9,13,20,23 | 104:7,20 105:10 | 150:10,22,24 | 83:11,16 86:19 |
| 19:18 50:10,12 | 63:10,19,23 | 105:24 107:11 | 153:24 176:16 | 108:18 122:11 |
| 58:5 61:19,21,23 | 64:24 65:2,7 | 109:14 193:14 | 177:1 188:12 | 137:19 145:19 |
| 64:5 80:23 82:5 | 72:8 | Nicholls' 84:20 | nuclear 71:12 | 151:5 |
| 84:4 90:9 160:25 | Neighbourhood | night 59:13 132:17 | number 17:2 | occasion 27:14,16 |
| 170:23,23 182:13 | 77:13,16 | nine 20:12,13 | 23:16 24:3,4 | 30:3 82:11,15,16 |
| 182:15 189:25 | Neither 136:16 | 116:7 | 64:20 109:4 | 83:7 137:3 |
| named 127:22 | 162:13 | no-one 98:6,13 | 110:24 114:5 | 183:16 191:9 |
| 161:8 | network 126:4,6 | 108:5,5,6 109:20 | 159:24 162:17 | occasionally |
| names 183:24 | neutrality 122:14 | 166:1 | 179:13 181:8 | 184:12 |
| Nantwich 82:9 | never 6:24 7:5,6,8 | nods 88:2 | 183:11 187:18 | occasions 23:16 |
| Naples 190:4 | 7:21 12:12 13:3 | nominal 112:2 | numbered 171:14 | 24:4 26:17 82:3 |
| narrow 155:15 | 14:5 15:8,23 | nomination 95:21 | NUPE 21:1,5,12 | 82:21,25 83:1 |
| narrowed 59:19 | 26:12 30:10 43:9 | nonpersonal 119:4 | 22:22 26:14 | 125:20 183:12 |
| narrower 155:20 | 53:15 69:14 | Nora 61:4 | | 187:19 |
| nation 138:13 | 71:25 72:2 90:21 | normally 168:15 | 0 | occupation 182:16 |
| national 7:4 20:23 | 103:6 121:17,17 | Norman 14:2 | O'Byrne 57:14,16 | occupied 157:20 |
| 24:15,18,19 | 143:3 155:5 | 132:25 | 57:17 80:13,18 | occur 46:22 |
| 69:22 111:10 | 166:5,7 167:7 | North 47:17 58:7 | 110:19,23,24 | occurred 139:8 |
| 114:20 118:7 | 177:24 | Northern 190:16 | 113:1 193:22 | 170:20 |
| 121:23 122:5,9 | new 16:17,24 60:7 | 190:22 | 194:7 | October 118:15 |
| 124:9 126:18 | 70:14 82:5 | note 16:8 23:11 | O'Carroll 170:8 | 158:17 159:17 |
| 142:3,9 184:16 | 135:12,20 137:21 | 159:11 162:4 | 170:18 175:7 | 177:8 |
| 191:10 | 162:11 | 169:18,20 173:13 | O'Connor 1:4,5,12 | odd 43:20 84:8 |
| nature 42:9 87:15 | newspaper 41:25 | 173:13,20 174:3 | 1:13 19:7,12,14 | offence 111:8 |
| 124:24 141:25 | 50:1 75:1 78:12 | 174:4,8,12 | 19:17,18 56:13 | 137:4 166:22 |
| 189:1 | 78:14 131:4,15 | 176:13 177:2 | 57:7 80:20,22,23 | offences 157:16 |
| Naunton 159:22 | 131:19 | 178:12,20,24 | 108:20 110:18 | 160:8 175:13,19 |
| naval 20:4,15 | newspaper's 34:25 | noted 13:25 | 111:18 193:12,16 | 188:1,2 |
| nearer 102:14 | newspapers 28:21 | notes 49:19 78:21 | 194:2 | offered 14:22 |
| neatly 173:10 | 32:22 39:8 97:18 | 78:22 112:17 | obligation 122:14 | 15:19,23 154:3 |
| necessarily 123:11 | nice 27:19 | 159:3 163:11 | obscene 157:4 | 154:12 |
| 137:8 188:21 | Nicholas 93:17,21 | notice 109:10,13 | 166:25 174:6,12 | office 5:14 8:21 |
| necessary 117:1 | Nicholls 7:2 19:15 | 157:6 | 175:5 176:17 | 10:11,25 43:12 |
| 130:8 142:23 | 19:16,19,20 | notion 145:14 | observation 27:4 | 64:4,6 65:4,12 |
| 143:14 153:1 | 23:10 27:21 | nous 71:19 | 127:25 | 78:19 79:9 90:1 |
| need 2:2,11 24:25 | 30:12 31:10 33:6 | November 50:11 | observations | 90:7 93:18,23 |
| 27:21 66:1 | | | 83:24 | |
| | ı | ı | ı | |

| 95:4 98:20,24,25 | one-to-one 82:1 | originally 178:15 | 120:6 121:13 | 124:1,13,13,17 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 116:2 126:11,12 | ones 42:12 61:5 | 179:23 | 122:21 127:1 | 125:17 126:8,23 |
| 126:15 127:17 | online 75:2 76:2 | originated 126:16 | 130:1 131:6 | 127:1,7,8,10,19 |
| 129:11,20 135:25 | onwards 9:25 | OSP8 115:10 | 133:11 142:14 | 128:8 134:23 |
| 136:16 141:9 | 180:6 | ought 47:12 | 170:12,22 171:11 | 135:3,23 137:24 |
| 143:9 144:20,21 | open 11:19 24:1 | 155:18 156:1 | 175:11,11 177:5 | 138:23 139:5 |
| | 45:10 82:4 122:6 | 192:5 | 189:12 | 140:10,20 141:5 |
| 144:24 145:3,10 158:23 159:15 | | | | , |
| | 128:16 167:4 178:2 | outcome 169:20 | pages 63:2 | 141:7,23 146:6 |
| 160:9 161:10 | | 171:25 172:2 | paid 117:15 166:5 | 149:6 150:15 |
| 162:18 168:5,8 | opened 123:8 | 189:16 190:7 | pamphlet 46:13,16 | 152:6 153:22 |
| 169:7,16 171:13 | openly 114:19 | outing 79:24 | panel 1:19,21 8:25 | 157:3 160:3,6,10 |
| 171:19 187:1,6 | 136:25 | outrage 49:4 | 9:14 17:13 19:9 | 161:7 163:14 |
| 189:1 190:2,9,17 | opens 119:13,17 | outside 2:12,14 | 33:9 56:15,16 | 164:10,10 165:9 |
| 190:22,22 | operates 182:1 | 69:23 174:24 | 80:14 86:14 | 165:11 168:2 |
| Office's 126:17 | operation 112:16 | 189:2 | 100:12 106:12 | 169:6 173:12,25 |
| officer 25:3,4,9 | 114:16 125:10 | overlooked 71:18 | 108:22 109:1 | 174:17 175:1 |
| 92:4 96:11 | operational | overseas 118:8 | 114:3 128:18 | 179:11 180:6 |
| 159:22 | 115:10 124:11 | 187:21 | 134:11 136:2 | 181:3,11,16,23 |
| Officer's 162:8 | operator 77:12 | overseeing 145:20 | 156:12 171:10 | 181:24 183:19 |
| officers 88:6 | 119:16 128:19 | 180:17 | 191:23 192:2 | 191:4 |
| 100:15 167:20 | 136:5 188:10 | overwritten 131:3 | 193:18 194:4,13 | paragraphs 51:7 |
| 175:23 176:16 | opinion 29:8 162:2 | | paper 25:24 28:23 | 69:19 100:16 |
| offices 21:18 | 162:10 | <u>P</u> | 53:6,15 62:1,7,8 | 118:1,18 135:1 |
| official 20:10,20 | opposed 44:19 | P 170:23 | 62:9,19,20 63:11 | 156:24 181:18 |
| 21:10,11 22:6 | 79:25 | package 157:10 | 64:9,11 65:19 | parallel 93:20 |
| 26:14 64:8,15,22 | oral 160:19 170:6 | 166:25 | 118:25 171:15 | parcel 157:4 |
| 170:21 | orally 145:3 | pact 56:5 | papers 41:3 48:10 | parent 33:25 |
| officials 99:7,8,9 | orbit 129:12 | paedophile 31:1 | 76:14,20 96:12 | parents 32:3 |
| oh 32:13 36:15 | order 86:24 | 49:18 51:13 | 101:7 123:17 | Park 157:12 |
| 37:11 40:13 47:7 | 118:16 120:19 | 54:22 63:3 66:20 | 159:20 160:11 | parliament 5:2,8 |
| 70:13 76:10 | 125:4,20 141:19 | 68:14,17,23 | paperwork 147:1 | 5:24 8:9,9 70:1,2 |
| 85:15 87:25 88:7 | 142:1 158:10 | 126:4 160:11 | 151:20 | 84:9 122:4,7,12 |
| 88:16 90:19 91:2 | 171:15 176:1 | 172:24 173:10,14 | parachuted 84:15 | 122:19,23 127:15 |
| 98:9 | 178:2 | 174:18 176:3 | paragraph 9:21 | 127:22 128:9 |
| OHY003183 | ordinary 148:12 | paedophiles 68:13 | 11:21,22 13:12 | 149:18 |
| 112:17 | organisation 22:10 | 68:15,25 72:10 | 16:6,7,15,19 | parliamentary 8:5 |
| OHY005194 | 119:14,18 123:9 | 79:25 | 17:17 18:1 33:10 | 12:7 13:22 25:5 |
| 111:13 | 174:19 175:18,21 | paedophilia 54:24 | 37:18,19 39:2,13 | 29:10 51:14 81:9 |
| OHY005914 | 175:25 176:21 | 80:4 | 39:13,23 64:20 | 89:8 99:18 170:6 |
| 100:10 | 177:1 178:3 | page 9:21 11:21 | 67:9,9 77:15 | parlous 177:10 |
| OHY006997 112:5 | organisations 24:8 | 12:18 16:13 | 86:14,15,16 89:1 | parole 186:16 |
| okay 18:15 57:22 | 119:20 120:16 | 17:17 33:10 | 89:2,3 92:8 | part 3:10,10,20 |
| 73:9 | organise 23:23 | 37:17 50:10 51:6 | 103:25 113:19 | 5:15 6:4 15:18 |
| old 129:13 137:22 | 82:9 | 52:8 64:19,20 | 114:2 115:8,17 | 22:4,22 51:1 |
| older 61:2 135:21 | organisers 161:8 | 86:14 89:13 92:8 | 115:22 116:6,18 | 110:3 148:15,16 |
| Oldfield 184:8,11 | organising 4:1 | 100:13 103:25 | 117:5,10,14,17 | 148:18,19 152:18 |
| once 8:16 28:8 | 160:13 | 115:8,18,18,22 | 117:25 119:12,15 | 159:11 161:2 |
| 100:6 102:23 | original 137:8 | 115:22,23,23 | 120:3,5,6 121:13 | 162:24 168:1 |
| 154:14 | 146:4 181:10 | 116:6,18 117:5 | 122:3,21 123:6 | 171:12 177:4 |
| | | 117:17,17,25 | | |
| | l . | I | l . | l |

| participants | 89:7,25 93:3,23 | 72:20 73:11 | 51:13,23 54:21 | 52:23 55:4 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 172:15 | 94:24 95:19 | 76:25 80:2 83:13 | 54:23 56:6 58:16 | 162:10 |
| participate 54:1 | 96:15,17 97:6,7 | 83:14 84:24 | 61:6 62:3,15 | pieces 154:15 |
| participate 34.1 particular 27:13 | 98:7,14,17 99:8,9 | 87:23 90:7 95:6 | 69:21 70:16,23 | pin 74:4 |
| 28:10 30:6 32:2 | 99:12,15 100:2,4 | 95:19 96:25 | 73:20,24 75:1 | PJ 188:12 |
| 41:5,18 44:25 | 101:5,18 102:6,7 | 108:4 121:15 | 81:23 82:12 90:3 | place 10:10 22:4 |
| 73:13 82:11 85:6 | 101.5,18 102.0,7 | 137:1 183:6 | 90:8,11 91:13 | 29:5 42:13 44:4 |
| 122:25 132:11 | 128:25 135:7 | performing | 95:20 97:10 | 45:17 55:13,20 |
| 139:21 140:21 | 143:21 | 191:10 | 100:19 102:23 | 59:1 103:7,11 |
| 145:17 147:3 | Party's 12:5 72:9 | period 8:17 25:21 | 104:8,10,21 | 104:14 109:6 |
| 173:15 | pass 171:3 188:5 | 28:2,11 31:1 | 105:3,11 106:22 | 120:14 162:19 |
| particularly 3:13 | passage 9:20 52:14 | 83:19 86:23 | 109:7 111:7,14 | 173:5 188:4 |
| 31:19 41:24 | 85:8 177:13 | 89:17 100:1 | 111:24 112:10,20 | placed 158:13 |
| 84:13 122:11 | passed 126:16 | 132:23 183:10 | 126:25 127:4,11 | places 18:24 92:21 |
| 153:8,15 180:20 | 138:3,19 139:4 | Periodically | 128:9,22 132:1 | Planning 99:16 |
| parties 68:6 71:11 | 145:3 150:5,23 | 192:13 | 132:17,20,24 | plate 44:25 |
| 86:7 94:8 95:10 | 151:1 154:14 | persist 128:23 | 133:4,4,23 | play 148:15,16,18 |
| 97:3 101:2 102:1 | 182:19 183:9,16 | 137:1 | 134:18 136:15 | 148:19 192:14 |
| 102:4 103:3 | 185:7,20,21 | persisted 102:22 | 143:19 149:4 | pleas 163:8 |
| 106:2,4,7 107:8 | 186:1,6,19 187:6 | 102:22 | 151:17 152:19 | please 1:13 4:24 |
| 108:2,12,13 | 187:22,24 189:14 | person 38:14 | 155:12 156:9,22 | 11:2 12:1 13:7 |
| 185:4 | 190:9,19 | 40:21 67:14 79:1 | 158:2 159:12 | 13:11,12 19:18 |
| partly 127:16 | passing 152:22,23 | 85:13 119:13,18 | 161:13 163:17 | 33:10 37:16 |
| 154:5 | Patricia 99:20 | 161:2 | 172:1 185:9 | 56:17 59:15 |
| partner 59:25 | 100:8,15 103:13 | personal 27:9 | 186:3 | 62:25 69:18,18 |
| parts 110:5 160:5 | 103:15,15,17 | 114:10 119:4,7 | Peter's 132:19 | 73:12 79:8 80:23 |
| party 5:17 6:14,23 | 104:15 105:24 | 122:17 123:7,20 | Peters 189:25 | 86:11,15 89:2 |
| 7:1 10:19 11:15 | 110:1 111:13 | 175:20 189:3 | 190:3 | 91:19 92:7 |
| 12:6 14:3,20 | pause 35:25 69:5 | personalities | phone 64:4 65:11 | 100:12 103:19,25 |
| 19:21,24 24:12 | 88:10 135:14 | 44:10 | 74:1 144:25 | 109:25 115:17 |
| 24:15,23 25:3,11 | 141:2,4 | personally 98:20 | 145:10 | 117:25 118:3 |
| 28:25 29:10 | pausing 12:8 | 130:16 150:6 | photographs | 123:6 124:17 |
| 32:13,21 34:17 | 24:22 38:4 49:21 | 151:13 | 157:11 | 125:17 126:8,23 |
| 35:16,18,19 36:5 | 61:9 66:24 67:19 | persons 139:25 | phrase 79:20 | 126:25 128:14 |
| 36:8,12 37:4,15 37:20 39:15 | 73:2 75:11 159:18 167:13 | 175:14,24 176:6 perspective 143:22 | physical 118:25 183:13 | 130:20,21 132:9 133:18,19 134:25 |
| 41:10,12,12,25 | pederast' 13:25 | 143:24 149:12 | pick 37:22 109:2 | 135:18 144:9 |
| 42:17,17,18 | penchant 9:25 | 153:11 154:20 | 130:12 | 146:4 149:11,20 |
| 43:19,21,24 45:5 | 109:12 127:23 | persuaded 64:7 | picked 136:15 | 156:8,22 159:9 |
| 45:7,19,21 51:21 | 129:1 137:20 | Peter 3:3 9:1,4,24 | picture 148:7 | 163:20,21 164:16 |
| 56:20,23,25 58:1 | 146:10 186:5 | 12:22 13:21 | PIE 160:12,13,25 | 164:24 165:13 |
| 58:12,15,19,22 | penultimate | 16:24 17:19,20 | 170:9 172:17,24 | 166:3,18 169:24 |
| 61:14 66:22 67:1 | 117:10 | 23:16 24:3 25:18 | 174:16 176:15,18 | 170:2,22 171:8 |
| 67:6,11,12 68:5 | people 6:3,5,11 | 26:1,7 29:3 | 177:16,19,22,24 | 171:11 173:16 |
| 68:23,24,24 | 8:11 23:24 27:2 | 30:18 32:14 | 177:25 178:12,14 | 175:11 176:13 |
| 69:22,22 71:7,14 | 33:23 35:20 37:7 | 34:21 37:25 38:2 | 178:16,17,24 | 177:5,19 178:9 |
| 79:25 81:3,5,10 | 42:14 43:15 46:2 | 39:6 40:23 41:16 | 179:7 | 179:10,22 180:4 |
| 82:18 83:14,16 | 46:21 47:23,23 | 43:18 47:3,7,8,10 | PIE's 177:9,9 | 181:22 182:7 |
| 84:13,23 88:24 | 47:24,24 48:13 | 48:9 49:18 51:7 | piece 49:14,18,23 | 184:7 188:8,10 |
| , | , , | | | ĺ |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | | | | ر |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 189:12 | 184:2 185:7,13 | pose 142:2,10 | 182:1 191:18 | pressure 120:22 |
| pleased 44:22 | 185:18,20,21 | posed 142:8 | pre-empt 153:4 | 140:24 158:13 |
| plenty 86:19 | 186:1,6,19 187:7 | 184:16 | pre-empted | 162:23 166:16 |
| plural 117:8 | 187:23,25 188:6 | poses 121:22 | 106:22 | 172:4 184:17 |
| plus 99:18 165:18 | 189:14,18,21 | position 30:2 | pre-meeting 65:24 | pressured 166:7 |
| pm 113:2,3,5 | 190:10,19 191:13 | 45:20 58:11,16 | precise 61:22 | presumably 38:4 |
| 156:13,17,19 | 192:6 | 60:13,19 66:23 | precisely 18:12 | 52:12 145:11 |
| 193:3 | policemen 79:7,8 | 98:1,6,13 99:5 | 37:6 67:25 | 161:20 167:22 |
| PM's 13:21 | policies 10:12 | 124:1,3 129:10 | predates 147:17 | 171:2 |
| Pocock 173:22 | Policing 77:14,16 | 129:14 141:21 | predecessor | presume 38:13 |
| point 29:14 72:18 | policy 113:25 | 142:7 143:25 | 136:13 | 42:10 |
| 77:1 101:11 | 115:10 120:10,23 | 144:1,3,8 146:23 | preface 164:10 | presumption |
| 104:8 109:3 | 121:13 124:11 | 151:6 152:1 | prefer 44:6 183:3 | 38:13,16 |
| 127:10 128:8,10 | 138:22 139:1 | 153:18 154:13 | preferable 129:23 | pretty 51:18 98:21 |
| 134:8,20 141:16 | 179:15,16,16,22 | 169:23 173:3 | 141:12 154:1 | 148:23 |
| 143:19 146:21 | 180:7,11,19 | 183:8 | preferences 33:12 | prevalence 109:17 |
| 148:3 151:4 | 181:4,7,10,16,19 | positions 27:11 | preferred 187:15 | prevent 112:11 |
| 152:6,25 153:6 | 181:19 182:1,8 | 49:6 | prejudice 171:7 | prevention 118:10 |
| 153:13,17 154:2 | 182:19 183:9,16 | positive 26:2 | 172:6 | previous 104:10 |
| 154:24 160:4 | 183:20 184:5 | 184:13 190:2 | prejudiced 171:23 | 105:13 125:8 |
| 166:15 183:24 | 185:5,22 186:17 | possession 167:10 | prepared 174:10 | 190:4 |
| pointed 166:11 | 188:3 189:22,24 | 168:3 | 187:15 | previously 2:9 |
| pointless 88:14 | 191:17,17 192:4 | possibility 129:19 | presence 82:2 | 135:22 143:16 |
| points 31:23 109:4 | political 5:18 | 141:8 144:7 | present 60:23 61:9 | 184:23 |
| 161:22 | 27:18 31:4 32:9 | 147:25 | 82:6,7 104:6 | Prime 43:12 64:4,6 |
| police 10:23 38:2,7 | 33:1 53:25 56:19 | possible 79:23 | 105:9 122:17 | 65:4,12 72:4 |
| 39:6 42:11,12,18 | 56:25 63:8 68:23 | 102:25 104:13 | 127:25 130:2 | 123:4 133:3 |
| 42:23 46:12,14 | 68:24 71:19 | 107:10 110:5 | 159:23 167:20 | 134:6 139:24 |
| 46:15,17,20 | 82:19 83:17 | 121:9 129:19,23 | 172:10 175:25 | 140:6 141:20 |
| 48:23 52:1 59:18 | 87:18,19 95:10 | 141:12 154:2 | president 26:12,15 | 142:5 144:3 |
| 70:23 72:11,23 | 96:20 102:1,4 | 164:13,14 178:18 | press 14:23 25:3,4 | 149:25 150:20 |
| 72:24 73:17 74:9 | 106:7 107:8 | 182:4,5,6 | 25:9 28:13,14,17 | 151:4,23 152:9 |
| 74:16 75:5,5,15 | 108:2,12,13 | possibly 105:19,20 | 32:21,21,24 41:1 | 152:15 153:6,14 |
| 75:20 76:19 77:2 | 122:14 128:1 | post 22:23,24 25:1 | 41:1 42:4 46:11 | 153:14 154:17 |
| 77:6 79:4 85:15 | 130:4 | 94:17 160:8 | 46:11 49:16,23 | 169:8,15,19,21 |
| 85:22 88:5 96:10 | politician 46:5 | 161:10 | 52:1 55:17,18 | 171:2 184:10 |
| 96:10 100:15 | 71:20 | postings 158:4 | 56:5,19 64:7,16 | 190:18,23 |
| 103:22 104:16 | politicians 45:13 | posts 140:8,17 | 70:22 82:17 90:3 | Princess 82:4 |
| 111:22,24 112:7 | 45:24 46:4 76:15 | 170:21 172:12 | 90:4,5 95:10 | print 56:6,8,11,11 |
| 118:9 131:10,22 | 99:12,15,17 | posturing 183:5 | 97:9,15 99:6 | print-out 50:9 |
| 136:15 138:3,9 | politics 22:8,13 | potential 123:8,13 | 131:13,24 132:2 | Prior 180:11 |
| 138:20,20 139:2 | 25:15 34:8 44:6 | 127:3 160:8 | 132:18 133:5,23 | priority 94:15 |
| 139:4 155:3,23 | 44:6,11 45:11 | 183:21 186:1 | 134:2 150:2,16 | prisoner 186:16 |
| 157:2,23 159:2 | 50:22 57:2 58:19 | potentially 183:5 | 157:25 158:7,16 | prisoner's 186:22 |
| 159:25 162:12 | 72:5 100:2,18 | powers 122:25 | 162:6 166:13,23 | private 9:4,9,24 |
| 167:3,20 174:6,7 | polloi 83:12 | 191:15 | 169:22 179:1 | 13:22 18:19 |
| 175:3,3 176:16 | popular 5:7 6:1 | PPS 13:21 102:24 | 188:18 | 51:14 78:20 83:2 |
| 178:11,19,23 | pornography | PQ 171:1 | pressing 116:22 | 85:6 87:8 99:2 |
| 182:19 183:9,17 | 167:6,9 168:3 | practice 181:17 | 153:25 | 108:14 121:15 |
| ĺ | ĺ | - | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | I |

| 1.50.15.10.10 | 1 , , , , , , | | 1 | 1 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 158:17 159:19 | proposals 142:11 | 53:13 54:7 174:6 | puts 170:11 | 136:25 163:20 |
| 161:16 162:5 | propose 181:20 | 175:16 176:17 | putting 53:17 | quotation 52:14 |
| privately 4:20 | proposed 101:4 | Publications | Q | 117:11 |
| 134:2 150:16 | 171:1,4 | 174:13 175:5 | | quote 127:19 |
| privileged 44:3 | proposing 142:19 | publicised 162:1 | qualify 151:9 185:19 | quotes 51:19 52:9 |
| 101:9 | proscribed 123:9 | publicity 49:15 | quantities 176:19 | R |
| privy 44:14 | prosecuted 157:16 | 158:7,16 | quantities 176.19 query 152:16 | $\frac{R}{R170:18}$ |
| probable 137:7 | 160:14 168:17,22 | publicly 98:14 | 153:7 | RA 133:9 144:15 |
| probably 45:15,17 | prosecution 160:4 | publish 42:5,6,7 | question 8:3 10:14 | 144:21 |
| 65:1 82:14 87:5 | 161:7 166:21 | 52:24 133:5 | 29:17 33:6 39:11 | racist 46:19,20 |
| 90:13 95:6 | 167:12 168:6 | 134:3 150:3,17 | 49:22 53:19 | , |
| 104:24 106:24 | prosecutors 157:3 | published 4:15 | | raid 176:25 raided 176:17 |
| 135:15 154:5 | prospective 12:7 | 13:9 41:23 50:11 | 79:19 83:19 | |
| problem 147:16 | 81:9 89:7 | 50:13 52:19 53:9 | 130:7 138:10 142:17,22 162:15 | railway 30:14 |
| problems 2:1 54:3 | protect 11:16 91:7 | 62:12 76:2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 34:12,15,21 38:3 62:18 63:11 |
| procedure 1:16 | 122:9 | 127:12 | 170:5,6,6,13 | |
| process 154:25 | protected 88:8,17 | publishing 99:2 | 171:2,14,18 | 87:10 94:9 96:2 96:9 97:14 |
| 192:9 | 88:23 | Puddington 86:9 | 172:22,22 177:23 | |
| produce 143:13 | protecting 45:14 | pull 69:18 77:11 | 179:5 192:1,3 | 100:21 101:11,22 |
| produced 162:25 | 48:2 88:15 | pulled 65:10 76:11 | questioned 143:7,7 | 106:1 111:7,15 111:25 |
| 174:6 | protection 10:18 | 82:5 | questions 1:18,19 | |
| product 186:21 | 113:25 118:7 | punished 166:23 | 2:6 6:19 19:8,9 | rainy 93:21 raise 3:18 65:21 |
| PROF 109:2,23 | 138:22 180:12 | punishment | 19:11 31:9,23 | |
| professional 57:24 | 181:14 185:22 | 166:22 | 39:22 43:10 51:5 | raised 163:23 164:12 172:25 |
| 74:14 | 191:16 | purely 138:12 | 56:14,15,16 57:6 | |
| professionally 5:1 | protective 123:18 | purpose 140:5 | 57:23 59:6 80:14 | Ralph 99:18,22 ran 51:15 89:25 |
| professions 5:4 | protocol 152:12 | 148:1,3 162:19 | 80:15,16 85:5 108:22 109:1 | random 61:12 |
| Professor 125:13 | provide 134:24 | purposes 113:15 | 110:16 130:13 | rang 74:24 99:7 |
| profile 121:19,20 | 181:25 | 117:1 123:24 | 135:2 143:10 | 161:14,18 |
| programme | provided 57:17 | 164:5 165:23 | 167:22 177:20 | rank 96:14 |
| 162:20 164:18 | 77:12 103:23 | pursue 104:9,22 | 181:9 191:22,24 | ranks 49:10 68:25 |
| progression 83:15 | 105:24,25 114:12 | 105:12 107:5 | 192:2,20 193:18 | rarely 48:9 |
| prominent 121:15 | 115:2 123:19 | pursuing 151:10 | 194:4,13 | rate 27:14 55:8 |
| 124:10 131:9,21 | 125:2,7 126:10 | pushed 53:15 | quickly 23:12 | rate 27.14 33.8 re-elected 107:2 |
| 175:24 176:6 | 127:16 158:23 | put 15:12 23:24 | quiet 11:16 14:22 | re-election 107:4 |
| promise 168:22 | 184:19 | 29:19 31:14,20 | 15:23 56:12 69:8 | reach 39:15 101:7 |
| promote 6:9 | pub 59:1,14 60:25 | 33:22 41:3 49:24 | 71:23 80:12 | 130:9 142:25 |
| prompted 8:1 | 61:2,24 79:3 | 53:1,6 54:5,8 | quite 10:3,14 | reached 18:25 |
| 54:13 72:14 96:1 | 111:4 | 64:11 78:18,24 | 12:14 20:8 24:2 | 39:5 92:23 95:9 |
| 141:15 | public 1:4 7:4 | 103:17 114:6 | 28:14 33:23 35:7 | 152:25 167:3 |
| promulgated | 20:24 21:22 | 130:20 135:17 | 35:22 43:8 45:21 | 188:19 189:7 |
| 181:1 | 24:16,19 28:18 | 138:10 141:4 | 47:20 50:3 53:13 | reaching 112:12 |
| proper 152:12 | 30:5 50:19 77:23 | 147:20 158:21 | 71:14 73:25 | reacted 145:15 |
| 155:9 | 82:24 83:1 98:10 | 159:9 164:20 | 74:10 82:12 83:9 | reaction 145:8 |
| properly 140:6 | 98:11,18 99:10 | 165:12,16 169:16 | 83:10 84:1 85:2 | 186:17 |
| 141:19 154:10 | 128:10 136:21 | 170:1 173:24 | 85:3 89:19 95:5 | read 9:18 11:7,22 |
| proportion 178:15 | 160:14 170:11 | 176:12 180:3 | 100:1 101:16 | 12:10 14:14 |
| proportionate | 173:23 175:8,24 | 181:9 184:6 | 100.1 101.10 | 16:20 34:22 53:6 |
| 122:10 | publication 52:19 | 188:10 | 103:15 106:10 | 54:18,19 75:1 |
| | | | 103.13 100.10 | JT.10,17 /J.1 |
| | | | | |

| 77:21 116:11,16 | 13:14 60:23 82:2 | 192:12 | 129:9 | Relativity 77:12 |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 117:7,11,18 | 82:20 112:14 | record' 119:21 | reflection 129:16 | 119:16 128:19 |
| 118:20 128:19 | 136:12 | recorded 124:20 | 137:16 138:14 | 136:5 188:9 |
| 136:8 151:18 | recalls 100:22 | 167:15 168:18 | refusal 190:2 | release 41:20 |
| 152:22 159:11,14 | 111:4 | recording 17:18 | refuse 21:21 | 124:9,12 |
| 160:16 162:4,5 | recap 135:3 | 120:15 189:15 | regard 153:25 | relevance 120:11 |
| 171:15 174:1 | recapping 135:4 | records 6:10 109:6 | 191:2 | 121:9 130:19 |
| reading 12:16,18 | receipt 134:14 | 112:4,13,16 | regarded 18:19 | 136:9 |
| 18:22 39:9,13 | 140:22 | 120:11 121:14 | 83:22 | relevant 125:8,21 |
| 80:6 104:11 | receive 190:14 | 126:11 145:7 | regarding 111:25 | 126:12 138:12 |
| 138:15 141:22 | 191:9 | 148:22 159:25 | 127:14 138:12 | 139:12,14 140:1 |
| 153:23 | received 44:22 | 173:7,9 177:21 | regional 98:20,25 | reliability 141:19 |
| reads 120:9 127:20 | 54:19 129:8 | 178:24 185:1 | 99:7,8,9 | 141:25 |
| 130:25 131:12 | 150:12 181:5 | 192:13 | regret 138:16 | relied 150:25 |
| 130:25 131:12 | 182:3,9,21 | recovery 157:4 | 154:23 155:4,25 | 151:8,10 152:19 |
| 161:4 171:20 | 183:11 185:10,15 | red 75:7 | 154.25 155.4,25 | relies 151:17 |
| 174:17 175:1 | 186:4,8,11,14 | redaction 147:4 | regularly 99:16 | relish 61:25 62:1 |
| ready 156:13 | 187:12,18,20 | 159:16 | rehearsal 135:21 | reluctance 143:5 |
| real 60:8 129:19 | 190:1 192:16 | refer 39:9 58:25 | relate 35:7 179:14 | relying 150:12 |
| 141:8 156:7 | receiving 146:16 | 77:23 78:3 89:11 | 186:13,13 | remain 2:23 |
| 182:2 | 152:13 | 109:11 118:22 | related 86:23 | remained 3:7 |
| realise 185:23 | recitation 169:2 | 131:14,24 138:8 | 104:25 112:2 | remarks 18:15 |
| realised 73:10 | reckoned 23:2,3,6 | 149:5 161:1 | 123:20 184:10 | remember 4:13 |
| really 11:8 14:17 | 44:17 | 173:14 189:8 | relates 92:5 110:21 | 6:16,17,20 7:16 |
| 26:1 37:12 44:2 | recognise 10:3 | reference 9:14 | 174:16 176:23 | 13:2 34:14 35:17 |
| 44:5,7,13 45:13 | 191:11 | 15:4 53:16 57:19 | relating 3:16 86:3 | 35:22 36:19,21 |
| 55:11 66:16 | recognised 48:6 | 73:13 109:6 | 94:9 98:19 109:8 | 36:21,24 37:7,9 |
| 72:17,20 80:7 | recognises 191:8 | 111:3,9,16 | 112:9 125:8 | 48:10 62:4 64:2 |
| 89:11 101:15 | recollect 64:24 | 120:15 121:9 | 135:5 139:24 | 67:23,24 74:11 |
| 103:17 109:3 | recollection 57:21 | 120:13 121:3 | 140:7,11 157:16 | 82:11 85:16 |
| 149:24 150:11 | 59:23 60:16 | 131:6 132:10 | 164:12 172:20 | 89:20,23 90:12 |
| realm 117:2 | 61:22 101:16 | 135:22 152:14 | 178:3 180:12,14 | 95:15 101:20,24 |
| 139:15 | 102:7 | 157:9 163:23 | 180:15,19 186:2 | 104:14 107:11 |
| reappoint 142:6 | recommendation | 167:25 173:12 | 186:19 191:9 | 104:14 107:11 |
| reappointing | 188:22 | references 170:16 | relation 70:23 | 179:19 |
| 141:20 | reconcile 106:16 | referred 49:23 | 111:14,24 112:15 | remembered |
| reason 2:2 30:6 | record 23:14 | 54:14 56:18 | 112:19 121:13 | 49:19 96:5 |
| 38:1 45:2 65:14 | 100:14 103:22 | 58:20 60:24 67:5 | 139:7 160:11 | remembers 100:8 |
| 76:20,24 110:11 | 111:12 112:2,3 | 79:19 93:11 95:4 | 170:4 174:4 | 104:15 111:3 |
| 121:22 140:10 | 118:18,21,23 | 111:18 119:20 | 175:15 177:19 | remind 70:8 |
| 151:1,9,10 | 119:19 122:18 | 127:14 128:9,11 | 178:12 180:8 | remit 139:12 |
| 151:1,9,10 | 123:7,18 130:17 | 128:21 129:3 | 182:8 183:24 | remotely 113:8 |
| reasonable 91:13 | 135:9 138:2 | 134:21 163:9 | 188:16 192:3 | removed 100:21 |
| reasoning 150:14 | 146:15 148:23 | 181:18 182:12 | relations 186:10 | render 140:23 |
| 150:22 151:8,23 | 149:7 155:5 | 184:20 | relations 180.10 | rendered 172:4 |
| 150.22 151.8,25 | 167:3,24 168:24 | referring 60:17 | 41:24 182:24 | rented 187:21 |
| reasons 40:25 45:3 | 168:25 169:13 | 105:22 120:16 | 183:3,7 | reorganisation |
| 189:5 | 171:15 178:3 | refers 137:13 | relative 84:5 | 22:5 |
| recall 12:2,4,20 | 184:1 189:10 | reflect 46:1 76:14 | relatively 95:4 | repeat 157:22 |
| 1.4.2,7,20 | 107.1 107.10 | 1011000 70.1 /0.14 | i ciatively 73.4 | 1 cpcat 13 / .22 |
| | l | | l | 1 |

| | | | | rage zir |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 165:4 | required 162:13 | retained 81:16 | 119:5 120:17 | Robinson 6:13 |
| repetition 137:9 | requirement | 124:9 | 121:4 122:2,19 | 12:6,15,17,20,25 |
| 137:22 | 116:21 138:8 | retention 120:2,25 | 122:20 123:10,21 | 17:14 18:9,11,23 |
| replaced 75:9 | 144:6 153:10 | retired 158:3 | 123:25 124:15,22 | 19:3 25:8 37:10 |
| 115:14 | requirements | 172:7,8 | 125:1 126:2,14 | 40:7 70:11 89:4 |
| replied 166:5,7,12 | 119:24 | retiring 8:10 70:3 | 127:6 130:24 | 89:15 90:6 91:10 |
| 167:2 | requires 189:24 | retrial 170:10 | 132:8,15 134:15 | 93:3,22 95:18 |
| replies 171:3 | reshuffle 13:19 | retrieved 176:19 | 137:13,23 138:4 | 102:16 105:2,6,7 |
| report 64:10 74:16 | resignation 131:8 | return 3:22 8:8 | 139:11 140:3 | 105:17 106:20 |
| 75:3,13 98:5 | 131:20 133:3 | 64:16 91:15 | 141:7 143:4 | 110:10 |
| 111:14 117:7 | resigning 12:23 | 113:2 115:25 | 144:14 145:16 | Roger 137:5 |
| 127:19 129:4 | 13:4 70:3 | 133:8 | 147:3 149:7 | role 3:12 6:7 24:4 |
| 131:4,15,19 | resolve 129:18 | returned 125:18 | 155:10 156:14 | 24:7 26:2,8 |
| 139:2 149:16 | resources 118:17 | reveal 129:7 | 157:6 159:7 | 107:7 139:6 |
| 164:20,21 169:7 | 120:23 | revealed 112:4 | 163:20 164:15,17 | 142:4 149:2 |
| 169:14,17 174:1 | respect 72:2 | 171:22 | 169:9 170:23 | roles 83:15 |
| 177:7 191:3 | respective 176:5 | revelations 166:14 | 176:10 177:18 | room 187:21 |
| reported 12:24 | respond 181:9 | review 120:2,19,25 | 179:17,18,25 | Rossett 57:25 58:6 |
| 28:21 64:15 | responded 69:6 | 125:20,23 126:20 | 185:5,18 186:23 | 58:15 59:10,12 |
| 65:14,16,17 | responding 54:25 | 127:13,16,18 | 189:20 190:20 | 59:12,24,25 60:9 |
| 72:11 73:16 | 87:23 | 181:3,6 192:9,13 | 192:7 | 60:21 69:24 |
| 75:20 98:2 | responds 111:22 | reviewed 121:8 | right-hand 116:3 | rough 37:11,12 |
| 137:15 149:18 | response 28:19 | 124:20 126:13,18 | right-wing 96:20 | roughly 37:7 |
| 155:23 157:25 | 77:11 88:9 98:18 | reviews 125:8 | rightly 55:4 | rounds 86:5 |
| 165:25 184:2 | 127:21 145:5,14 | revised 138:22 | ring 93:16 107:23 | route 152:3 |
| 192:6,7 | 171:4 180:23 | 179:24 180:2 | 107:25 | routine 121:16 |
| reporter 32:22 | 192:16,17 | 181:2,7,19 | risk 48:4 113:25 | row' 161:23 |
| 34:25 35:5 38:21 | responsibilities | revision 181:4 | 128:2 130:3 | rows 35:22 |
| 55:17,21,22 90:5 | 3:1,13,16,24 | revisions 181:8,9 | 138:21 142:3,3,8 | RRD 120:24 |
| reporting 131:18 | 113:22 191:14 | Richard 127:12 | 144:5 154:12 | rub 33:24 |
| 149:3,23 191:1 | responsibility 3:20 | Richardson | 167:6 170:20 | rule 68:2,22 |
| reports 112:12 | 21:12,14,19,24 | 111:21,22 | 172:10 179:16 | rules 24:18 |
| 117:6 165:18 | 191:2 | ridiculous 71:8 | 180:12 184:16 | rumour 10:15 32:6 |
| 178:4 179:1 | responsible 3:22 | rife 11:8 30:19,20 | 185:22,25 190:24 | 34:18 91:8 101:1 |
| 188:18,19 189:7 | 101:18 145:20 | 30:21 32:9 56:24 | 191:16 | 129:16 135:23 |
| 189:8 192:10 | 180:17 | right 4:3 20:9,18 | risks 127:25 | 137:14,16,22 |
| representative 7:4 | rest 55:19 78:24 | 20:19 33:22 39:1 | road 93:16 | 149:25 186:15 |
| 101:18 | 96:19 101:5 | 41:8 42:3 47:9 | Robert 16:12 | rumoured 186:13 |
| representatives | result 78:5 124:23 | 58:4 59:8 61:16 | 91:24 92:5 128:6 | rumours 9:1,3,6,8 |
| 108:12 132:2 | 124:25 132:1 | 63:21,22 65:5 | 128:13,20 133:20 | 9:10,23 10:2,5,8 |
| represented 155:1 | 175:5 176:20 | 66:2 69:2 72:12 | 134:11 136:10 | 10:21 11:2,5,11 |
| reputation 8:5 | resulted 46:14 | 72:17 73:17 | 142:19 144:16 | 11:14 14:11 17:2 |
| 11:17 | 172:17 | 74:16 75:11 76:2 78:15 79:16 | 145:5,12 152:8 154:16 155:7 | 17:5,7,12,23 19:4 |
| request 12:14 111:23 126:17 | results 125:5,23 resume 156:13 | | | 30:17 31:5,6 |
| | resume 156:13 resumed 120:24 | 81:17 89:9,13 100:7 107:2 | Robertson 25:6,8 163:6 | 32:6,8,11,18 34:19,19 44:8 |
| requested 12:12 89:12 | 121:3 | 114:25 115:15,16 | Robertson's 25:9 | 56:18 85:7,10,20 |
| requesting 12:5 | resurrected 133:2 | 114:23 113:13,16 | Robin 43:16 48:16 | 85:22 86:16,23 |
| requesting 12.3 require 189:22 | retain 120:10 | 117:3 118:24 | 188:15 | 87:1,4,4,8,11,17 |
| 1 cquit 109.22 | 1 Ctain 120.10 | 117.3 110.24 | 100.13 | 0/.1,4,4,0,11,1/ |
| | <u> </u> | | | l |

| 87:24 88:3,20 | 87:23 90:2,23 | 153:21 154:15 | 172:6,10 173:1 | selection 115:10 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 96:8,18,22,23 | 93:25 96:15 | 161:12,14 164:9 | 184:16,24 191:11 | 124:11 |
| 98:16 101:17 | 105:21 106:15 | 166:24 167:16,17 | security-related | send 52:17,22 79:7 |
| 102:22 107:1,2 | 110:3 151:10 | 168:1 171:11 | 155:15 | 79:8 90:18 |
| 108:1,8,9 109:17 | 154:10 155:9,17 | 182:21 189:6 | see 1:6 6:25 12:2 | senior 20:15 49:6 |
| 136:14,17 137:1 | 155:21 164:11 | secondly 15:19 | 16:12,15 17:18 | 55:21 76:14 |
| 137:7,16,18 | says 9:22 12:19 | 37:8 104:21 | 17:19 18:22 19:8 | 170:17 180:16 |
| 138:18 150:1 | 14:14 18:23 | 151:8 152:10 | 19:24 22:18 | sense 168:20 |
| 187:2 | 34:22 39:2 54:21 | secret 95:17,24 | 23:25 26:17,21 | 187:13 |
| run 182:7 | 90:9 102:13 | 184:8 | 37:21 38:22 45:4 | sensitive 140:17 |
| run-up 7:19 | 104:1 112:3 | secretariat 148:10 | 50:9,11 51:12 | 142:2 144:4 |
| rung 66:6,10,25 | 134:4 137:14 | 148:12 149:17 | 54:10 56:7 71:14 | 172:11,11 |
| 67:2,11 | 150:18 167:4 | secretary 2:20 | 79:2 87:6 89:13 | sensitivity 126:18 |
| running 151:21 | scandal 45:7,8,9 | 13:22 16:11 | 91:25 99:5 | sent 16:5 52:15,25 |
| Russell 6:21,22 | 45:14 101:11 | 22:19 24:5 25:10 | 100:15 102:17 | 53:10 54:5 79:10 |
| 9:15,22 11:19 | scares 14:23 | 25:12 37:15 | 103:15 115:9,18 | 147:13 |
| 12:3,16 37:9,24 | schools 30:5 | 51:15 57:25 | 128:5,17 131:5 | sentence 9:22 12:2 |
| 39:4,12,16,20,24 | scope 122:5 | 58:13 60:5,9,20 | 132:11 141:1 | 33:13,14 87:7 |
| 40:1 43:5 51:22 | score 151:7 152:1 | 84:3 91:24 | 142:12 145:4,17 | 116:16,19,25 |
| 80:20,21,24,25 | 152:16 | 128:14 133:21 | 145:18,23 147:2 | 164:9 189:6 |
| 81:19 85:5 86:13 | scot 52:6 | 141:16 151:5,6 | 147:4 148:13,25 | sentenced 175:9 |
| 89:2 91:18,20 | Scotland 162:11 | 151:22,25 152:13 | 152:3 153:9 | sentiment 107:24 |
| 94:7 96:4 97:9 | 176:17 | 153:7 170:9,15 | 157:15 165:9 | separate 58:22 |
| 100:11,14 101:11 | screen 13:11 71:1 | 188:15 | 170:22 171:13 | separately 132:18 |
| 104:2 105:5,23 | 91:21 114:7 | section 9:18 | 189:7 191:22 | September 20:22 |
| 106:12 108:20 | 119:14 130:21 | 100:16 126:8,22 | seeing 148:4 | 121:6 137:6 |
| 110:18 193:24 | 135:15 136:6 | 139:14 160:8 | 154:22 | 140:14,15,16 |
| Russell's 11:10 | 141:4 158:21 | 161:9 170:1 | seek 114:5 115:13 | sequence 89:23 |
| | 159:10 170:2 | 171:10 | 129:18 | Sergeant 73:15,16 |
| <u>S</u> | 173:24 176:13 | sector 21:22 | seeking 95:21 | 73:21 74:1 77:4 |
| sabotage 139:17 | 180:4 184:7 | secure 34:1 | 107:4 132:20 | 77:18 78:6,16 |
| safe 191:15 | 188:10 | securing 8:7 | Seemingly 18:14 | 79:10 175:3 |
| safeguarding | SDP 57:1 | security 16:11 | seen 16:4 55:1 | sergeants 77:18 |
| 10:18 118:7 | search 178:9 | 18:20 91:23 92:4 | 69:15 70:25 | series 51:9 130:15 |
| 179:15,22 181:14 | searched 192:12 | 113:14 114:20 | 75:23 76:17 | 130:16 132:9 |
| 181:19 191:13 | searches 112:3,7 | 116:21 118:5,7 | 84:10,12 91:22 | 133:17 136:11 |
| safety 123:20 | 112:15,18 124:14 | 121:23,25 122:5 | 100:7 109:5 | 163:16 |
| satisfied 119:25 | 124:14,19 125:19 | 122:9 123:18 | 111:8,16 130:19 | serious 66:17 |
| save 52:11 | 173:6 181:13 | 126:18 128:2 | 145:4 146:17 | 118:11,14 155:1 |
| saw 53:23 54:11 | seat 43:21,22 | 129:22 130:5,7 | 147:14,23 148:1 | 176:1 180:16 |
| 132:17 171:16 | 81:16 | 138:13 139:9 | 157:8,9,14 | 186:2 |
| 186:4 | second 1:4 51:6 | 140:2 141:11,14 | 162:14 164:19 | servant 170:17 |
| saying 10:5 11:3 | 53:24 54:12 82:8 | 142:3,9,23 143:6 | 168:7 169:11 | served 20:3 81:5 |
| 12:3,12,14 14:9 15:14,19 17:4 | 82:15 92:8 | 143:24 144:6 | seized 187:11 | 140:13 170:21 |
| 18:12 23:13 29:6 | 103:25 111:12 | 149:15 151:11 | select 86:9 | service 16:11 |
| 30:8 36:7 39:24 | 114:12 117:5,14 | 153:1,11 154:1 | selected 120:15 | 18:20 91:24 92:4 |
| 40:4 49:4 56:10 | 131:3 132:6 | 154:12,20 158:11 | 125:21 178:5 | 113:14,20,23 |
| 66:20 68:25 70:1 | 136:23 137:3 | 165:15 170:20,25 | 179:2,3,3 | 118:5,16 121:5 |
| 72:22 85:21 | 142:14 150:2 | 171:5,7,21,23 | selecting 125:4 | 121:25 124:5 |
| 12.22 03.21 | | | | |

| 130:7 139:9 | shocked 66:14 | 135:10,19 137:14 | solicitor 16:5 | specifically 117:6 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 142:23 143:6 | 73:25 101:6 | 138:11 141:3,15 | 125:3 | 117:7 164:21 |
| 148:22 149:12 | shop 20:6,11,14,19 | 142:19,19 143:5 | somebody 56:9 | 165:17 167:1 |
| 158:10,14,23 | short 2:3 57:12 | 144:15,21 145:5 | 66:6,10 67:5 | specify 133:1 |
| 161:11 162:24 | 60:13 113:4 | 145:6,12 146:1 | 71:22 76:11,13 | speculation 14:7 |
| 172:5 180:17 | 156:18 173:11 | 150:11,24,25 | 168:15 178:8,19 | spectration 14.7 spent 3:21 5:10 |
| 184:9 188:25 | shortly 16:9 70:2 | 150:11,24,25 | somewhat 154:5 | 72:16 |
| | 82:13 128:5 | | | |
| 189:4,21 Service's 118:21 | 139:21 | 152:11,19 153:24 155:7 158:2 | soon 90:15,16 130:10 143:1 | spoke 18:23 19:3 30:2 48:17 59:4 |
| | showed 43:23 | | | |
| 120:12 121:24 122:3 145:21 | 177:8 | 161:13 170:23,24 | sooner 106:20 | 63:19 98:24,25 99:7 104:2 111:9 |
| | | 170:24 184:11,15 | sorry 9:7 11:2 | |
| 184:4 | showing 183:5 | 184:18 | 16:19 28:5 29:7 | spoken 11:15 18:7 |
| services 21:15 | 189:15 | SIS 184:25 | 41:15 44:13 61:8 | 48:7 67:21 71:18 |
| 23:22,25 74:14 | shown 11:10 132:7 | sister 74:15,18,22 | 62:25 67:1 70:6 | 71:22 92:19 |
| 123:15 140:25 | 173:20 | 75:12,22 76:17 | 70:12 71:21 | 166:20 |
| 173:1 | shows 73:14 | sit 24:17 28:9 | 75:17 79:11 84:4 | spread 32:15 |
| serving 81:16 | shredded 166:20 | 32:16 35:22 | 103:2 135:18 | 87:17 133:6 |
| 158:9 184:21 | side 8:22 44:9 45:7 | 78:20 | 163:25 | 150:4 |
| set 61:5 62:2 85:20 | 45:8,9 46:17 | sitting 35:23 | sort 28:18 36:4 | spreading 85:13 |
| 94:18 160:6 | 170:14 | situation 26:16 | 41:6 65:24 86:6 | spring 127:17 |
| 181:23 184:6 | sides 35:23,23 | 54:8 153:17 | 101:24 103:2 | Squad 174:7,13 |
| sets 118:5 124:23 | 45:25 | 155:11 | 139:2 153:11 | square 35:24 |
| settled 22:9 46:15 | sign-off 145:25 | six 12:1 21:2 | 192:10 | St 157:12 |
| seven 12:1 115:8 | signature 133:16 | skip 126:22 | sorts 65:3 140:24 | Stables 86:8 |
| Sex 160:2 | significance | slight 187:10 | sought 114:24 | staff 98:25 128:24 |
| sexual 9:4,7,9 | 149:15 | slightly 85:14 86:2 | 183:19 | 136:20,24 137:15 |
| 10:16 30:18 | signify 147:10 | 135:3 142:14 | sound 43:20 | 146:8,16,20,21 |
| 33:12 38:15 85:6 | silent 71:17 | 156:9 171:18 | sounds 15:4 | 149:14 162:14,18 |
| 85:24 111:8 | similar 100:8 | 185:20 | source 76:18 135:6 | 180:21,24 184:20 |
| 126:1 127:4 | 103:6 135:12 | small 55:14,15 | 135:6 142:20,21 | 185:3 |
| 156:3 164:13,14 | 137:4 189:17 | 61:1 95:4,6 | 177:11 178:2 | stage 16:17 89:6 |
| 172:21 174:20 | 190:7 | 127:23 129:1 | sources 127:22 | 116:22 127:25 |
| 175:19 182:5,13 | Simon 49:14 50:12 | 131:10,14,23,24 | 129:1,7 146:9 | 129:21 130:2 |
| 182:17 183:21 | simple 67:15 | 137:20 146:10 | 178:2 186:5 | 141:10 153:25 |
| 184:15 185:11,16 | simply 10:5 37:19 | 186:5 | south 7:18 11:9 | stages 15:1 66:10 |
| 186:10 187:2 | 66:1 84:10 102:8 | Smith 73:15,21 | 176:18,25 | stand 4:21 39:6 |
| 189:16 190:15 | 106:14 110:19 | 74:1 77:4,18 | spare 18:6 92:18 | 64:16 70:2 85:4 |
| 191:8 | 113:18 116:11 | 78:6 | speak 45:18 57:21 | 90:8 104:8,21 |
| sexually 100:24 | 121:18 129:9 | Smith's 78:16 | 105:5 155:25 | 105:11 110:11 |
| shame 166:14 | 151:18 152:23 | smooth 33:21 | speaking 75:17 | standing 12:25 |
| shan't 179:7 | 167:21 168:23 | so-called 94:8 | 79:4 83:6 106:13 | 37:25 40:24 |
| shared 190:17,18 | 169:2,18 173:25 | social 8:21 21:15 | 154:20 177:23 | 41:16,17 44:23 |
| 190:21 191:12 | 185:2 | 31:17 | Special 136:17 | 51:23 55:9 70:23 |
| Sharpling 192:1,3 | single 192:11 | socialised 5:9 | 173:13,20 174:7 | 90:14,24 91:14 |
| 192:19 | singular 117:8 | society 6:3 180:22 | 174:11 177:22 | 95:12 105:3 |
| shattering 66:21 | Sir 16:12 91:24 | SOI 182:22 | 178:5 | 106:22,24 |
| she'd 62:19,20 | 108:23 109:2,23 | SOIs 119:22 | specific 3:13 8:7 | Star 131:6,20 |
| 149:4 | 128:5,6,12,13 | solely 154:12 | 30:13 175:13 | start 30:6 32:18 |
| shed 109:16 | 133:9,19 134:11 | soliciting 136:21 | 180:11,24 192:10 | 57:9 165:10 |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | 1 |

| | 1 | | | <u> </u> |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|---|--------------------|
| started 2:15 3:2 | 159:25 194:6 | 129:15,22 133:22 | subsequently | supporter 85:3 |
| 4:3 48:6 78:22 | states 69:20 112:7 | 134:4 135:21 | 146:13 | supporting 133:4 |
| 99:2 186:15 | stating 37:20 | 141:11 150:18 | substance 188:20 | 150:1 152:10 |
| starting 11:11 12:2 | station 30:14 | story 40:23 41:18 | substantiate 88:12 | supportive 151:24 |
| 49:11 | 31:20 34:12,15 | 42:2 43:24 47:2 | 98:15 108:9 | 153:8 |
| starts 16:20 55:4 | 34:21 38:3,8 | 49:11,12,22 56:6 | 136:17 | supports 145:13 |
| state 88:20 123:9 | 51:24 62:4,18 | 62:12 63:16,23 | substituted 116:3 | suppose 29:8 |
| 139:18 140:2,13 | 63:12 85:16,18 | 65:10 68:18 | subversion 145:21 | 90:11 129:19 |
| 140:14 143:20 | 85:25 86:1,1,3,17 | 70:17 74:22 | subversive 139:18 | 141:8 158:11 |
| 170:15 177:10 | 94:9 96:2,9 | 75:14,18 77:6 | 178:16,18 | suppress 126:5 |
| 192:14 | 97:14 100:21 | 78:9,12 132:5 | suddenly 72:18 | suppressed 101:8 |
| stated 37:24 39:4 | 101:11 106:1 | 133:1 136:25 | 73:10 | 101:12 |
| 124:4 | 110:13 111:8,15 | 137:8,15 | sue 133:5 134:3 | sure 17:5,23 19:3 |
| statement 9:15,21 | 111:25 | straight 60:4 | 150:3,17 | 21:16 33:19 |
| 11:19 19:23 | statistics 181:12 | strand 121:7 | sufficiently 124:10 | 35:18 40:14,17 |
| 22:18 28:18,19 | status 25:1 | 151:23 | suggest 15:18 57:7 | 42:18 53:20 |
| 29:1 30:12 33:7 | statutory 118:1,4 | Straubenzee | 57:9 66:3 78:4 | 55:10 89:20 |
| 34:11 36:19,25 | 122:14 139:9 | 190:14 | 138:2 143:9 | 101:20 103:9 |
| 37:13,16 41:9 | stay 60:6 71:17 | street 18:24 21:22 | 168:7 171:22 | 106:8 108:15,16 |
| 49:24 53:23 | stayed 60:9 | 92:20 93:11,14 | 177:21 | 128:17 143:8 |
| 54:11 55:1 56:9 | stenographer | 93:17,19,20 | suggested 107:6 | 147:7 156:11 |
| 57:18,19 58:25 | 23:10 | 160:18 | 167:4 185:16 | 165:3 168:23 |
| 59:20 64:18,20 | step 95:21 129:24 | Strevens 112:21 | suggesting 91:10 | 174:10 189:21 |
| 64:21 66:17 67:8 | 130:6 142:13,16 | strictly 122:13,23 | 104:18 125:25 | 191:5 192:15 |
| 69:15 72:7 73:14 | stepped 81:8 | striking 127:21 | 152:5 185:10 | surfaced 17:21 |
| 79:10 80:7 81:2 | steps 39:18 67:19 | strong 41:24 | 186:9 190:15 | 92:13 |
| 85:9 86:11 87:7 | 98:18 | stronger 102:23 | suggestion 13:3 | surgeries 84:6 |
| 87:22 88:25 | steward 20:7,11 | strongly 101:3 | 43:11 84:20 | surly 82:13 |
| 103:18,21,23 | 20:15,19 | 102:8 | 142:18 165:21 | surprise 43:7 |
| 105:8 109:5,11 | stewards 20:14 | struck 51:25 52:13 | summarise 110:25 | 47:18 |
| 109:14 111:2,17 | 184:22 | 70:21 72:10 | 118:6 163:15,20 | surprised 43:8 |
| 111:20 112:3,6 | Stewart 128:24 | 131:2 147:11,14 | 172:2 | 75:22 156:4 |
| 113:11,14 114:4 | 129:25 130:7,8 | structure 119:1 | summarised 168:2 | susceptible 123:14 |
| 114:8,18,24 | 135:7 142:13,17 | structure's 31:17 | summary 25:13 | suspect 121:22 |
| 115:5 117:22 | 142:20,22,24 | Stuart 61:4 | 124:14,24 | 145:4 155:9 |
| 118:19 120:9 | 143:6,14 146:8 | studies 179:13 | superinjunctions | 156:12 |
| 126:23 127:7 | 154:7 | 180:7 181:23,25 | 76:10 | suspected 158:9 |
| 130:13 134:23 | Stewart's 129:12 | sub 162:8 | Superintendent | suspended 118:16 |
| 135:14,17 137:25 | stipulates 123:1 | subagents 18:16 | 111:21 112:6 | Sussex 176:2 |
| 139:6 146:17 | stood 52:2 70:16 | subgroup 37:3 | Supplement | sweepers 21:22 |
| 153:2 156:25 | stools 192:15 | subject 17:1 30:3,7 | 127:13 | sworn 1:9,10 |
| 163:14 166:9 | stop 96:17 164:24 | 119:8 121:20 | supplementary | 193:10 |
| 173:19,21 174:1 | stopped 69:2 | 122:12,19 124:2 | 127:19 129:4 | system 94:18 |
| 179:10 180:6 | 179:20 | 133:12 145:24 | 135:2 | 118:25 161:20 |
| 181:17,20 185:24 | store 119:19 | 169:4 172:21 | supplied 19:23 171:9 | |
| 189:13 191:4 | stored 118:25 | 182:11 183:13,14 | | tab 9:13 13:7 16:2 |
| 192:9,18 statements 1:21 | stories 17:20 48:6 51:10 92:12 96:9 | subjected 166:16 | support 8:21 118:9 152:15 154:17 | 33:9 50:6 86:12 |
| 98:10 110:23 | 99:3 128:23 | subjects 119:5,21 | | 100:10 103:20 |
| 70.10 110.23 | 77.3 140.43 | subsequent 105:3 | supported 115:20 | 128:18 130:20,20 |
| | | | | 120.10 120.20,20 |

| | | | | Page 221 |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 132:9 133:18 | 30:6 31:12,25 | terms 25:20 36:13 | 47:14 50:24 54:2 | 143:8,24 145:25 |
| 134:10 135:18 | 32:16,18 47:7 | 41:13 67:20 | 73:7 76:9,13 | 146:6,17,20 |
| 137:11 141:6 | 76:25 101:2 | 81:24 90:9 | 97:8 105:15 | 148:21 149:2,6 |
| 144:9 149:21 | 119:16 136:6 | 114:16 121:9 | 149:23 168:25 | 149:10 151:9 |
| 150:10 158:22 | 165:1,2,9 | 124:4 148:10 | think 2:12,15,24 | 152:20,22 153:2 |
| 159:9 161:12 | talks 11:10 39:21 | 152:11 155:10 | 3:5 4:3 5:12 6:13 | 154:5,9,20 |
| 170:1 171:11 | 87:22 | 163:22 185:5 | 6:22 7:3 8:2 9:13 | 155:24 159:7 |
| 173:17 176:12 | target 123:8,13 | terrible 62:22 | 11:14 12:8,23 | 160:22 161:4 |
| 180:3 188:8 | targeted 123:1,2,2 | 80:12 | 14:15 16:2 20:19 | 164:2,24,25 |
| table 44:3,14 | task 139:14 | terribly 81:25 | 22:5 26:25 29:6 | 165:5 168:24 |
| 45:19,23 46:24 | taxi 14:19 | terrorist 123:13 | 30:23 33:7,22 | 169:15 170:24 |
| 115:25 124:20,23 | Taylor 159:21 | text 116:13 132:16 | 36:7,11 37:13,15 | 172:2,7,9 179:7 |
| 125:2,12 184:6 | tea 156:20 | 144:23 | 38:5 40:18 42:20 | 180:2 188:15,20 |
| 189:12 190:13 | team 120:25 | Thames 125:14,19 | 44:7 45:2,5,12,16 | thinking 65:25 |
| tag 192:10,14 | 125:15 173:23 | thank 1:25 2:5 | 45:17,24 46:10 | 72:16,17 |
| tagged 192:10 | 178:6 | 19:7,11,12 56:13 | 46:22,25 47:12 | third 33:14 77:14 |
| take 2:3 9:12 16:7 | Tebbit 14:2 132:25 | 57:5,6,7 67:19 | 47:15,21 48:5,11 | 82:16 86:4,14 |
| 27:9 39:7 57:7 | 134:4 150:18 | 69:5 71:20 73:2 | 48:15 49:2,18 | 113:19 114:15 |
| 64:18 66:10,13 | Ted 28:24 29:4,8 | 74:13 79:15 80:6 | 50:5 54:13,23 | 116:18 170:12 |
| 66:24 67:8,19 | teenage 10:17 | 80:13,16,17,18 | 56:10 57:1 59:10 | 177:5 182:6 |
| 68:5 70:14 73:13 | teenagers 186:11 | 94:7 108:20 | 59:13,18 60:14 | Thomas 137:5 |
| 76:7 78:21 79:10 | telephone 105:21 | 109:2,23,24 | 60:16 61:1,7,21 | 170:18 175:7 |
| 79:18 81:19 | 133:9 144:15,21 | 110:15,16,18,18 | 62:19 64:14 | thoroughfare |
| 85:14 98:18 | tell 4:20 9:3 12:17 | 113:1 115:3 | 69:15 70:18 71:3 | 93:15 |
| 104:14 113:1 | 15:16 23:5 25:19 | 116:17 134:17 | 71:5,6,15,25 | thought 10:24 27:3 |
| 122:16 147:11 | 30:22 34:14 35:3 | 139:5 156:15,16 | 72:15 76:16,17 | 34:6 37:10 43:25 |
| 155:10,24 156:10 | 50:2 58:11 61:18 | 173:10 178:11 | 77:3,5 78:20 | 47:2,12 48:13 |
| 162:19 165:13 | 61:23 62:20 | 182:20 183:18 | 79:13 80:1,1,3,10 | 49:4,20 52:6 |
| 168:14 181:6 | 66:18,19 67:14 | 186:3 191:21 | 80:13,25 82:1,21 | 53:3,6,14 62:22 |
| 187:21 189:19 | 67:21 68:1,2,3,4 | 192:19,20,21,21 | 83:25 84:7,14,21 | 62:24 63:3 72:18 |
| taken 39:19 48:12 | 68:4 69:6 72:16 | 192:23,25 193:1 | 85:4,16 86:5,8,13 | 72:23 74:20 |
| 85:21 89:21 | 72:25 74:18 | 193:2 | 86:22 87:1,3 | 76:10,19 77:5 |
| 103:7 111:15 | 81:22 118:2 | that' 66:8 | 88:5,10,11,13,15 | 78:11 110:2,3 |
| 129:10 133:9 | 122:3 134:25 | Thatcher 14:15 | 89:6,22 90:15 | 126:15 143:11 |
| 144:16,22 145:12 | 136:8 153:5 | 29:7 52:11 85:3 | 91:7,12,19 93:6 | 148:13 177:9 |
| 151:18 153:23 | 179:22 180:8 | Thatcher's 51:14 | 93:19 94:14,17 | 182:17 |
| 155:22 169:21 | 189:23,24 | 64:5 102:24 | 95:3 96:8 97:20 | thoughtful 26:22 |
| 170:4 192:16 | telling 72:19 102:8 | theme 86:22 | 98:23 99:1,5,14 | thread 95:25 |
| taker 167:6 | 106:17 136:25 | they'd 41:13 75:7 | 100:6,7,10 | threat 121:23 |
| takes 31:18 188:4 | 137:11 165:11 | 76:22 90:10 | 101:15 102:9,9 | 180:14 |
| talk 2:22 27:16,17 | tells 71:24 | thing 27:8 41:5,6 | 102:13,25 103:3 | threatened 166:4,8 |
| 27:18,19 30:4,4 | ten 82:14 90:11 | 53:6 63:6 69:3,4 | 103:7,11 104:4 | threats 118:8 |
| 32:9,23 53:11 | tend 86:10 | 71:15 90:20 | 106:16,18,18,21 | three 4:5 34:5 |
| 69:13 78:19 87:7 | tends 146:19 | 117:24 137:21 | 107:10 110:7,8 | 37:23 82:2,19,21 |
| 96:20 104:12 | tepid 154:5 | 150:2 153:21 | 112:24 115:2,13 | 94:25 96:12 |
| 129:25 142:13 | Teresa 13:15 | 166:13 173:12,19 | 116:20 126:24 | 97:18,24 100:15 |
| talked 27:22 | 14:19 15:3,9 | things 22:18 23:7 | 129:4 130:6,15 | 106:13 132:10 |
| talker 33:21 | term 31:12 64:21 | 30:8,14 31:15 | 134:9,15 136:19 | 160:5 182:2,7 |
| talking 6:5 18:10 | 185:1 | 41:5 45:1,23 | 138:8,11 142:17 | 190:5 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | 1 | 1 | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| throw 172:20 | 103:17 104:25 | 102:6 108:7,15 | 72:19 91:5,7 | 51:10 |
| tie 109:3 | 108:9 111:3 | 131:9,21 | 99:5 | undercurrent |
| till 45:12 | 123:7 138:7,25 | totally 150:12,25 | TUC 24:5,18 | 108:18 |
| Tim 159:21 | 139:1,3 155:21 | 152:19 | 26:13 | underlying 150:14 |
| time 2:19,24 3:3,7 | 173:21 186:18 | touch 43:12 46:11 | Tuesday 112:15 | 151:1 |
| 3:13,25 4:5,25 | 189:17 | 72:3 | 193:5 | undermine 154:16 |
| 5:11 7:10 9:2,5 | toilet 38:3,5 | town 23:23 82:7 | tumbleweed 69:1 | underneath 50:12 |
| 9:10 10:12 11:22 | toilets 34:20,24 | towns 19:25 | turn 34:9 57:3 | 134:13 |
| 15:7,12 22:10,12 | 35:1 42:14 51:24 | trace 76:5,7,12 | 92:7 115:17 | understand 1:24 |
| 22:15,25 23:18 | 62:4,17,18 68:19 | trade 19:20 22:19 | 175:11 | 2:4 13:4 31:11 |
| 25:21 26:22 | 85:23 | 23:8,9,15 24:10 | turned 47:14 | 31:24 33:1 41:12 |
| 28:14,25 29:20 | told 12:21 14:19 | 24:17 94:24 | 93:18,19 186:16 | 42:23 48:19,22 |
| 29:20 32:24 34:6 | 25:17 39:3 40:11 | 140:15 | turner 20:4 | 65:14 67:5 68:11 |
| 37:15 39:11,17 | 40:17 41:8 42:6 | trades 7:3 20:10 | turns 131:19 | 69:25 77:22 |
| 41:22 44:25 | 47:3 50:19 55:18 | 22:25 26:9 27:25 | twice 147:5 164:17 | 88:18 90:25 |
| 46:18 47:6 48:7 | 55:23 56:1 61:23 | 51:15 94:15 | two 21:18 25:7 | 100:13 103:24 |
| 48:10 50:20,23 | 62:12 65:2,15 | trail 50:2 | 35:23,23 37:21 | 119:16 125:23 |
| 57:1 58:3,12,16 | 66:7 67:20,22 | train 34:23 42:14 | 41:14 42:16 | 141:15,17,24 |
| 58:18 60:13 63:4 | 68:22 69:6 74:15 | 85:21,22 100:20 | 54:22,23 57:8 | 143:5 144:18 |
| 63:5 65:22 67:17 | 74:19,22,23 | 100:21 110:13 | 58:13 62:6 70:4 | 168:11,13,20,21 |
| 67:20 69:11 | 75:12,14,17,21 | 111:15 | 79:7 80:4 83:4 | 168:23 169:15 |
| 72:11 74:5 77:19 | 75:22,25 76:19 | trains 85:17 | 85:12 86:2 97:8 | 176:9 177:2 |
| 82:8 90:8 94:13 | 78:14 89:14 90:2 | transit 167:5 | 105:13,15 119:3 | 179:5,23 185:22 |
| 94:22 96:21 99:2 | 90:6 95:8 96:10 | Transport 85:15 | 127:22 129:1 | understanding |
| 100:2 102:6 | 98:20 105:2,9,16 | 85:22 111:22,24 | 132:13,19 133:7 | 41:15 42:21 |
| 106:9 108:3 | 111:6 128:24 | travelled 7:17 | 133:16 146:3,9 | 48:22,24 100:23 |
| 109:22 111:11 | 131:1,13,18,23 | 32:11 | 146:13 149:23 | 109:16 126:19 |
| 116:23 130:11,22 | 132:18,20,24 | travellers 85:18 | 150:5 157:6 | 156:3 157:18 |
| 133:20 136:18 | 134:4 136:12,20 | travelling 100:22 | 161:8 163:11 | 177:12 |
| 137:5 138:8,14 | 136:23 150:18 | treasurer 61:8 | 164:11 173:15 | understood 43:4 |
| 138:17 139:12 | 152:23 162:12,15 | treasurer's 177:7 | 175:10 178:13 | 48:20 68:17,21 |
| 143:1 144:14 | 164:11 165:5 | treat 138:25 | 179:1 180:1 | 71:21 84:25 |
| 148:3,11 154:11 | 166:19 174:11 | trial 160:17,19 | 182:5 186:5 | 104:16 107:6 |
| 154:22 155:8,18 | 184:12 | triangulation | type 10:22 101:21 | 166:19 184:24 |
| 156:1,3 157:13 | Tom 170:8 185:15 | 101:10 | 134:12 | undertaken |
| 157:23 161:21 | tomorrow 62:1,7 | tried 19:4 36:21 | typed 145:2 159:3 | 122:23 171:5 |
| 163:7 165:17 166:15 169:25 | 112:21 193:1 Tany 72:4 124:16 | 60:25,25 72:7 88:11,12 | types 119:3 typeset 62:2 | underwear 157:11 |
| 171:20 175:25 | Tony 72:4 134:16 tools 191:14 | trouble 5:3 14:25 | typewritten 131:5 | unemployment 23:17 26:16,18 |
| 180:22 181:12 | top 44:3,14 45:19 | true 64:10 71:8,17 | 132:16 144:23 | unfortunately |
| 184:2 185:6,23 | 45:22 52:8 53:12 | 96:11,14 98:17 | 158:24,25 | 18:2 25:23 36:22 |
| 188:13,16 189:1 | 91:25 122:21 | 138:19 140:23 | 136.24,23 | 55:22 92:17 |
| times 27:23 28:4 | 133:8 144:14 | 152:9 153:16 | U | unhelpful 27:20 |
| 28:11 45:23 | 145:1,17 147:3 | 152.9 155.10 | UK's 118:8 | union 7:4 20:7,10 |
| 82:20 155:19 | 170:23 177:5 | truth 95:14 96:3,3 | unable 54:1 78:2 | 20:11,20,23,23 |
| timetable 3:23 | topic 152:21 | 106:17 132:5 | 182:25 | 21:10 22:19,25 |
| title 2:18 3:10 | topics 110:21 | try 23:12 25:22 | uncertain 135:20 | 23:9,15 24:10,15 |
| 158:19 179:15 | Tories 52:1 70:22 | trying 24:7 40:10 | 137:21 | 24:15,17,19 25:2 |
| today 47:24 85:7 | Tory 84:22 97:6 | 43:21 44:4,13 | unclear 135:11 | 26:9 27:25 94:15 |
| 1000 17.27 05.7 | 1019 01.22 77.0 | 13.21 17.7,13 | unconnected | 20.7 21.23 77.13 |
| | | | _ | |

| 94:24 | vice 5:13 | 35:2 41:23 53:8 | 113:18 123:22,22 | whichever 171:3 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| unionist 19:20 | vicinity 110:13 | 54:4,10 72:6 | 127:10,20 129:18 | whilst 158:9 |
| unions 23:8 | victim 182:13 | 79:8,14 81:19 | 138:10,11,19 | 184:21 187:21 |
| unit 77:14,16,19 | Victor 175:7 | 83:13 89:16 94:7 | 145:15 154:9 | 190:16 |
| unknown 184:23 | victory 4:2 | 107:5 115:5 | 174:2 178:21 | Whip 130:6 |
| unlawful 10:22 | video 189:15 | 119:15 130:12 | 192:4,7 | 136:13 142:16,22 |
| unnamed 127:15 | videolink 1:7,11 | 141:17 146:5 | we're 62:24 63:1 | 143:7,12 154:6 |
| unpleasant 17:2 | view 88:1 146:21 | 147:2 149:5 | we've 67:24 68:12 | whispers 32:15 |
| Unprompted | 152:25 155:11,15 | 153:4 170:7 | weapons 71:12 | Whittam 127:12 |
| 132:18 | 155:20,24 160:4 | 181:22 | web 52:9 | 129:3 |
| unspecified 136:23 | 170:16 180:18 | wanted 8:11 19:8 | website 115:5 | wicked 15:15,16 |
| unsuitable 188:21 | views 46:1 130:14 | 28:9 29:17 46:7 | week 1:4 108:14 | 15:18 |
| unsupported | village 59:11 86:8 | 53:11,11 56:14 | 186:15 | widely 87:17 |
| 115:19 | vindictiveness | 60:6 77:1,2 | weeks 35:10,11 | wider 155:11 |
| unsurprised 156:5 | 186:16,22 | 78:19 79:7,14 | 49:17 54:22,23 | 156:5 |
| untrue 15:20 | violence 182:6 | 87:6 148:6,9 | 132:19 | widespread 87:19 |
| 163:6 | 183:13 | 166:15 | welcome 1:3 | 98:22 137:2 |
| unwilling 83:11 | virtually 64:2 | ward 25:11,11 | welcomed 84:13 | wife 61:4,4 99:21 |
| unwritten 68:2,22 | 108:14 | 94:19,20,23 | well-being 118:8 | 99:22 166:12 |
| updated 177:22 | visited 159:15 | Wardell 161:8 | well-connected | wild 86:7 |
| upgrade 180:21 | 164:3 | wares 23:24 | 84:24 | William 190:14 |
| upper 88:23 | visiting 164:22 | warn 76:25 | well-meaning 18:6 | win 43:21 62:24 |
| use 27:5 29:3 31:6 | 165:18 | warning 64:7,8,12 | 92:17 | 63:1 |
| 41:8 53:2 63:2 | visits 125:19 | 64:14,15,25 | well-regarded | wing 178:17 |
| 68:14,15 168:11 | vital 191:11 | warnings 64:11,11 | 27:2 | winnable 43:22 |
| usual 188:24 | voluntary 4:1 6:7 | 65:15 | Wells 160:18 | winning 8:6 |
| usually 35:21 | 6:9 22:23,24 | warrant 123:1,2,3 | Welsh 58:21 | wish 41:6 50:2 |
| utmost 72:2 | vote 25:23 26:1 | 166:22 | Wendt 43:16 | 116:20 134:24 |
| 174:24 | vulnerable 172:4 | wasn't 3:3 4:21 6:7 | 48:16 | 136:19 153:20 |
| utterly 11:23 | 184:17 | 7:11 10:20 12:9 | went 26:12,13,15 | 177:4 180:24 |
| | | 18:3,9 19:3 26:5 | 26:21 27:12 30:5 | wished 141:24 |
| V | W | 36:7 41:17,22,22 | 32:17,20 44:23 | withdrawn 184:14 |
| v 170:18 | wait 136:5 | 43:8 44:14 51:9 | 49:24 62:2 72:22 | withdrew 19:13 |
| vacancy 4:15 | waiting 85:19 | 53:22 64:5 65:14 | 73:1 100:25 | 80:19 110:17 |
| van 190:14 | wake 133:2 | 72:22 84:8,10,12 | 147:19 148:2 | 192:24 |
| Vanessa 84:4,7 | Wales 47:17 58:7 | 84:12,18,19 | weren't 30:11 | witness 1:5,20 |
| variety 178:1,25 | 58:21 | 90:23 94:23 97:1 | 35:20 41:2 42:5 | 9:15 19:13,14 |
| various 11:7 24:8 | walked 52:6 93:22 | 98:22 106:4,9,24 | 42:6,7 45:25,25 | 29:1 30:12 33:7 |
| 96:13 | 93:23 | 107:4 146:21 | 46:11 48:4 53:20 | 34:11 36:25 |
| vehemently 132:4 | Walker 16:10,16 | 152:3 156:6 | 55:18 56:8 147:7 | 57:18,19 69:15 |
| vehicle 93:20 | 17:1,4,18 91:23 | 183:6,8 191:1 | 169:1 | 80:19,20 85:9 |
| 178:18 | 92:4,6,11 188:12 | way 3:21 14:16 | West 5:24 7:18 | 87:21 88:2 |
| venue 65:24 | walls 98:22 | 27:12 28:20 31:7 | Westminster 5:8 | 110:17,23 113:6 |
| verbatim 168:25 | Wanless 127:11 | 31:17 32:14 | 5:11 100:22 | 113:6,9,15 |
| verified 174:5 | 129:3 | 33:22 39:20 | 121:7 126:4 | 135:17 146:17 |
| version 115:14 | Wanless/Whittam | 42:19 49:3 53:2 | 128:25 135:8 | 151:14 154:19 |
| 180:2 | 127:13,18 | 55:12 72:19 | wet 93:21 | 156:25 159:25 |
| versions 180:1 | want 6:11 8:13 | 93:19,22,23 | whatsoever 47:18 | 165:5 173:19,21 |
| vetting 184:13 | 14:10 16:1 20:12 | 100:23 103:6 | 95:14 | 180:5 189:12 |
| 190:3 | 25:5 31:22 34:9 | | | |
| | | | | |

| 102 24 104 6 0 | 1 50.0 | 01.15.110.10 | 144,121,2 | 150.0 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 192:24 194:6,9 | would've 53:3 | 81:15 113:12 | 11th 131:2 | 172:8 |
| witnesses 57:8 | wouldn't 25:25 | 114:23 121:6 | 12 118:18 120:5,6 | 1975 2:16 3:2 4:3 |
| 81:21 85:7 90:4 | 37:10 41:18 44:9 | 133:21 181:1 | 160:15,22 161:12 | 10:1 |
| 106:13 161:2 | 64:16 71:11 | 183:11 190:4 | 193:5 | 1976 185:24 |
| woman 14:21 15:2 | 83:25 90:24 | years 4:17 7:6 8:4 | 12,000 46:16 | 1978 81:3 157:3 |
| 15:12 18:23 | 95:21 104:22 | 17:3 21:1 22:9 | 12.57 113:3 | 175:3 |
| wonder 130:5 | 105:12 108:10 | 30:16 36:18 | 13 115:22 121:13 | 1979 4:7 22:13 |
| 142:16 | 144:6 155:21 | 43:23 47:15 | 128:21 132:13 | 1980 112:1 157:25 |
| wondered 4:18 | 188:3 189:9 | 49:13 50:16 53:8 | 144:11 149:21,23 | 158:17 159:17 |
| wondering 109:15 | wrestled 80:7 | 55:9 58:13 69:9 | 150:24 173:17 | 160:22 161:14 |
| word 30:24 31:1,5 | Wrexham 56:10 | 72:15 74:3 77:23 | 175:9 | 184:12 185:9 |
| 32:9 41:8 54:5 | 58:7,9 59:7 60:7 | 81:3 90:11 | 14 115:23 118:15 | 1980s 9:25 11:11 |
| 63:2 64:2,3,12,12 | 61:17 62:10 | 103:12 104:5 | 122:3 176:12 | 26:2,5,8 28:3,4 |
| 64:24 68:14,15 | 63:16 65:18 | 132:21,25 134:1 | 189:12 | 30:17 51:17 |
| 74:12 115:19 | 67:17 | 157:7 187:18 | 15 57:9 122:21 | 81:24 82:22 |
| 117:14 127:24 | write 54:13 133:12 | years' 175:10 | 127:1 136:3 | 85:10 86:24 88:4 |
| 133:14 151:18 | 141:16 145:24 | Yew 112:16 | 15-year-old 31:20 | 186:8 |
| 168:11 192:11 | 164:10 166:7,13 | young 9:25 14:1 | 34:22 38:14 47:1 | 1981 132:22 |
| words 4:16 9:8 | writer 131:15 | 14:11 22:12 31:7 | 47:10,13 48:3 | 160:21 161:16 |
| 12:24 16:23 27:1 | writing 151:5 | 85:24 86:10 87:5 | 51:25 | 170:7 175:9 |
| 29:3 37:19 66:8 | 152:4 188:25 | 87:9,14 109:12 | 16 50:11 123:6 | 185:15,25 |
| 67:23,23,25 71:9 | written 46:13,16 | 109:19,21 157:11 | 183:4,8 | 1982 46:14 176:16 |
| 90:13 107:23,25 | 46:17 48:20 | 185:11,17 190:16 | 16-/17-year-olds | 177:1,8 190:14 |
| 107:25 116:1,7 | 54:17,22 145:5 | younger 31:13 | 31:13 | 1982/83 25:4 |
| 137:1 143:21 | 145:14 148:9 | youth 185:3 | 17 31:15 37:18 | 1983 4:7 46:15 |
| 172:24 178:12 | 169:6 170:6 | | 124:1 134:10,12 | 129:16 135:13,23 |
| 189:7 | 189:10 | Z | 170:7,8 182:25 | 136:12 137:6,8 |
| work 6:10 94:15 | wrong 19:1 39:19 | zero 131:3 | 17/18 31:16 | 137:13 140:14 |
| 118:15 120:12,23 | 39:24,25 50:24 | zoom 13:12 51:6 | 18 19:25 39:13,23 | 174:3,5,16 |
| 126:12 145:21 | 78:25 79:1 92:24 | 69:19 77:14 92:9 | 116:6,23 124:13 | 1984 59:20 74:7 |
| 147:1 178:15 | 103:5 104:23,24 | | 133:21 134:13,17 | 187:20,24 |
| 180:8,18 | wrote 13:13,18 | | 148:17 150:10,22 | 1985 140:14,15 |
| worked 2:9,10 | 43:16 48:24 | 1 | 19 16:20 18:16 | 1986 5:13 81:6 |
| 4:24 5:9 21:1 | 49:14,17 51:12 | 1 33:9 57:18 114:3 | 116:18 170:1,1 | 128:6 130:22 |
| 26:15 61:7 62:10 | 51:16 52:22 | 117:25 131:3 | 193:14,16 | 131:7 133:10 |
| 77:18 90:10 | 54:19,24 77:8 | 193:10,12 | 192 194:13 | 135:6 136:7 |
| 94:11,21,21 | 133:22 146:13 | 19 5.10,12 10 115:8 131:1,3 | 1940s 184:22 | 138:3 140:12,16 |
| 180:25,25 182:8 | 152:11 | 131:18 158:22 | 1950s 184:22 | 144:11,17 147:5 |
| workers 4:1 6:7,9 | Wyles 61:4,4 | 188:12 | 1952 139:13 | 147:8 155:20 |
| 21:23 | | 10.00 1:2 193:1,5 | 1953 160:9 | 156:6 |
| working 2:15 21:5 | X | 10.00 1.2 193.1,3 109 194:4 | 1959 164:2 | 1987 4:8,10,19 |
| 21:9 32:12 | X 182:12 193:8 | 11 1:1 31:14 | 1966 20:16,22 | 6:15,17 7:12 |
| 145:22 148:10 | T / | | 1968 190:1 | 12:9 16:9,9 |
| 149:7,16 169:12 | <u>Y</u> | 115:18 130:22 157:12 159:9 | 1970 120:9 | 36:14 51:20 |
| works 180:7 | Yard 162:11,11 | | 1970s 25:15 30:17 | 82:16 89:9 91:25 |
| world 58:10,20 | 163:2 176:17 | 160:8 161:9 11.26 57:11 | 1973 187:1 189:14 | 92:2,15 93:1 |
| worry 7:23 36:10 | Yeah 88:22 | | 1974 21:7 25:17,22 | 109:7 184:10 |
| 37:6 165:8 | year 3:5 15:7 | 11.40 57:10,13 | 28:24 29:18 | 1988 2:24,25 3:8 |
| Worth 112:6 | 25:18 36:20,24 | 110 194:6 | 80:25 158:3 | 7:15,24 10:1 |
| | 48:20 52:10 | 113 194:9,11 | | |
| L | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ı | ı |

| | | | | rage 22 | Ŭ, |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------|----|
| 37:1 59:20 74:7 | 117:17 | 103:20 117:25 | 150:10 157:12 | | |
| 86:24 111:5 | 23 2:13 124:17 | 118:1 122:21 | 80 74:5 193:24 | | |
| 1988/89 87:10 | 24 125:17 158:17 | 128:18 135:18 | 194:2 | | |
| 1989 7:19 57:24 | 174:3,5,16 | 137:11 141:6 | 80s 25:15,16 29:11 | | |
| 59:21,24 60:11 | 26 126:8 | 163:4 | 34:2 48:25 87:5 | | |
| 60:18 86:24 | 28 124:13 | 50 8:4 134:23 | 87:9 100:18 | | |
| 111:5 118:5 | 29 159:17 180:6 | 50 8.4 134.23 52 137:24 | 186:4 | | |
| 121:25 122:15 | 29 139.17 100.0 | 53 138:23 | 87 89:18 90:15 | | |
| 139:10 188:12 | 3 | 54 139:5 | 94:17 102:14 | | |
| 1990 11:8 13:13 | 3 9:21 16:2 33:10 | 56 140:10 193:18 | 107:2 | | |
| 37:1 51:20 52:15 | 64:20 115:8 | 57 140:20 141:23 | 88 89:19 | | |
| 57:24 59:21 | 127:11 131:7,20 | | 00 09.19 | | |
| 60:18 106:24 | 135:23 141:5 | 193:20,22 599/5 116:23 | 9 | | |
| 112:1 113:20 | 147:5 152:6 | 599/3 110.23 5999/1B 180:3 | 9 120:3 134:10 | | |
| 1991 4:15 | 160:3 175:11 | 5999/1B 180.3 5999/2 135:10 | 173:25 | | |
| | 3.12 156:17 | 3999/2 133.10 | 92 70:13 | | |
| 1992 4:11,14 36:16 36:23 41:16 | 3.25 156:13,19 | 6 |) = 10.1 <i>5</i> | | |
| | 3/11/86 147:15 | 6 9:13,21 33:10 | | | |
| 45:12 51:23 | 30 55:9 | 50:6 69:19 86:14 | | | |
| 70:10 81:6 105:4 1993 50:21 | 31 161:14 | 100:10 113:12 | | | |
| | 32 181:3 | 114:23 118:1 | | | |
| 1996 118:15 | 35 181:11 | 127:19 130:20 | | | |
| 1997 50:25 81:12 | 37 181:16 | 136:7 | | | |
| 81:16 120:10,14 | 3rd 147:20,21 | 60 116:6 146:6 | | | |
| 1999 120:18 | Jiu 147.20,21 | 164:2 | | | |
| 2 | 4 | 63 149:6 | | | |
| 2 64:20 150:15 | 4 13:7 37:17 67:9 | 65 116:18 153:22 | | | |
| 161:16 188:8 | 67:10 114:2 | 66 127:8 | | | |
| 2)' 161:15 | 120:6 121:13 | 67 156:24 157:3 | | | |
| 2.00 113:2,5 | 125:15 128:6 | 68 163:14 164:10 | | | |
| 20 49:13 50:16 | 133:10,22 135:10 | 164:10 | | | |
| 53:8 171:10,11 | 137:10 141:1 | 69 117:5 165:9,11 | | | |
| 2005 115:15 | 142:11 144:17,22 | 170:13 171:14 | | | |
| 2006 118:16 | 145:6,13 146:5 | | | | |
| 120:15,22 | 153:24 162:15 | 7 | | | |
| 2010 81:17 | 180:3 | 7 58:10 69:19 | | | |
| 2012 50:11 53:25 | 4.24 193:3 | 91:25 103:25 | | | |
| 2014 72:12 179:24 | 43 115:17 | 118:18 132:9 | | | |
| 180:11,16,25 | 44 181:24 | 144:9 149:21 | | | |
| 2015 127:11,17 | 45 115:22 126:23 | 70 117:14 | | | |
| 2016 120:24 | 160:1 179:11 | 70s 25:16 29:11 | | | |
| 122:25 | 181:23 183:19 | 73 84:15 168:2 | | | |
| 2017 124:21 | 46 127:1,7 | 74 84:15 117:17 | | | |
| 2018 79:16 121:3 | 460.48 177:8 | 156:24 169:6 | | | |
| 125:15 | 47 127:10 | 77 191:4 | | | |
| 2019 1:1 55:9 | 48 128:8 | | | | |
| 57:18 179:24 | 4th 147:19 | 8 | | | |
| 193:5 | | 8 11:21 89:2 | | | |
| 20s 182:23 | 5 | 119:12,15 124:11 | | | |
| 21 108:5 109:21 | 5 17:17 92:8 | 124:21 133:18 | | | |
| | l | | | I | |