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Diarmaid Ferriter in his book on the history of twentieth-century Irish sexuality, asks the question how we tell the history of Irish sex, when the only record available is that of criminalization and social stigma? Similarly, Kieran Rose of GLEN in Dublin argues that historical evidence for gay and lesbian lives and subcultures, before the 1970s, is from “those seeking to control homosexuality,” not from lesbians and gay men themselves.”

And he advises the archival record comes through many conduits, “the people who created them, the functionaries who managed them, the archivists who selected them for preservation and make them available for use, and the researchers who use them in constructing accounts of the past.”

Indeed there is also a danger, as David Norris discovered in his 2011 Presidential campaign, enemies and journalists can go through the details of one’s early career to find damaging remarks. This happened in relation to the Irish Queer Archive (IQA) recently catalogued by the NLI.

So how did we get here, in relation to the homosexual archive?

Homosexuality by that name is, as most know, a mid-Victorian coinage, and essentially an urban phenomenon. Obviously same sex love and sex has been around from the beginning of time. It was certainly well noted in the Greek and Roman eras and occasionally esteemed, or treated as respectable, as with the Ladies of Llangollen, a Ponsonby and a Butler from Kilkenny, in the late 18th century.

However, history is written by the victor or whoever leaves records. Until recently, written records came in two types: those concerning the top echelons of society – the rich and powerful – and those from the bottom drawer, those being taken through the criminal courts.

Sometimes they have co-incided, as with so many Irish examples: the 2nd Earl of Castlehaven, Mervyn Touchet, from Cork, beheaded in 1631 for sodomy and rape, and the Church of Ireland bishop of Waterford and Lismore, John Atherton, a leading anti-sodomy campaigner, hanged with his steward in Dublin in 1640.

And another bishop, Percy Jocelyn, of Clogher, who in 1822, was caught in a compromising position with a Guardsman in the back room of a London pub. He was sacked for “the crimes of immorality, incontinence, Sodomitical practices, habits, and propensities” – not to mention neglecting his episcopal duties.

Jocelyn, a brother of the Earl of Roden from Tollymore, was the most senior British churchman to be involved in a public homosexual scandal in the 19th century and indeed was the proximate cause of the Foreign Secretary, Lord Castlereagh’s suicide. It became a subject of satire and popular ribaldry, resulting in cartoons and limericks such as:

The Devil to prove the Church was a farce

Went out to fish for a Bugger.

He baited his hook with a Soldier's arse

And pulled up the Bishop of Clogher.

Ireland figures, as much, if not more, in these scandals and executions, than England or Scotland. One wonders why? Then there were the 19th century events like the Dublin Castle sodomy scandal and the Crown Jewels theft by Shackleton the explorer’s gay brother.

Harford Montgomery Hyde, the North Belfast Unionist MP (1950-59), and author of *The Other Love* and a host of books about sex and spying, Oscar Wilde and Roger Casement, mapped this pattern. Hyde led the campaign in the House of Commons to get homosexuality decriminalised, losing his seat as a result.[[1]](#footnote-1) Brian Lacey’s ‘*Terrible Queer Creatures – Homosexuality in Irish History*’ (2008) travelled the same road, updating Hyde and adding many inferences of same-sex attraction in Gaelic literature.

A fascinating foreign archival example is ‘the Office of the Night’ that for seventy years in the 15th century sought out sodomy in Florence. 17,000 men in a city of only 40,000 inhabitants were investigated; 3,000 were convicted and thousands more confessed to gain pardon. Because of the detailed records left by the Office, it is estimated that one in two Florentine men came to the attention of the authorities for sodomy.

As an aside, and revealing what can yet be unexpectedly found in archives, I instance the discovery in the 1930s of Christopher Marlowe’s inquest record, written in Latin. It helped to reveal the intelligence complications of his violent death and that it was much more than a tavern brawl over an unpaid bill. It is well described in *The Reckoning* by Charles Nicholl, a superb piece of historical detective work.

And then we have the two greatest gay men of the 20th century – Oscar Wilde and Roger Casement. Both Irish, both taken through the courts, and both the subject of an enormous amount of literature, as well as documentary archival records.

Since 1970s gay liberation, gays and lesbians are well documented, but even starting from the 1920s, personal records were made, kept and preserved in the form of letters even film, and increasingly transmuted into literature. After that has come the pre-digital deluge of archival material, both on campaigns and on the lives of the more ordinary. This is where I come in, both creating archives as a gay man and searching them as a writer, about Roger Casement, in particular.

Belfast is well stocked with libraries and repositories which are a pleasure to research in (including QUB and its magnificent new library) but for my Casement book I also worked in Kew in London (now The National Archives), the NLI in Dublin with its elegant Manuscript Room, and even in the US in the New York Public Library.

PRONI has the NIGRA files, catalogued[[2]](#footnote-2) and uncatalogued, for some 15 years now and latterly those early files from Cara-Friend,[[3]](#footnote-3) of gay men and women coming in from the provincial cold while the Linen Hall Library also has some gay material. In London there are the Hall Carpenter archives and, as mentioned, the IQA in Dublin.

I later served on the PRONI Forum, a users advisory committee, until it was stood down after a fracas. It was not replaced, in the same form anyway, if at all, being considered too elitist and professional- failing in that form the appropriate equality proofing.

Later, I sought and achieved a change in PRONI’s annual release procedures whereby they will list, from January 2014, those previously closed or redacted government files now being made public, on top of those being released under the (current) 30-year rule. These files closed for longer periods are by definition the most interesting, but were normally declassified without the public (and historians and journalists) being specifically aware.

I also managed to make some progress with PRONI in helping to get their inquest records indexed, even if the documents are still subject to a 100 year rule. In the case of contemporary coroners’ records, I failed to move the Minister of Justice to declined to require public listing on the Coroners Service website of contemporary inquests held and verdicts given. They remain secret unless you or the press attend the inquest itself.

Dr Ed Madden, of the University of South Carolina, has usefully written,[[4]](#footnote-4) “at the centre of the PRONI queer archives is the collection of organizational files and related materials donated by NIGRA, and the heart of the PRONI collection must undoubtedly be the extensive documentation of Dudgeon’s legal case—organizational histories and legal reform central to Irish lesbian and gay archives in both the North and the South. PRONI archives also include a relatively unused but heartbreaking and rich collection of phone counseling transcripts from Cara-Friend, which could document a very different social history of queer experience. Further, like the Irish Queer Archive, early NIGRA holdings include press clippings, which may suggest something of the deep impulse of these collections—what we might call, after Foucault, the insurrection of subjugated knowledges. They include several scrapbook annuals filled with newspaper stories about violence against gay men and simultaneous attempts at legal reform.”

In matters Casement, the NLI has index and cataloguing difficulties, not to mention provenance issues and, as they announced, last year mislaid and newly discovered files. They had been described as ‘not for consultation’ since the 1950s for no apparent reason. I have also found batches of folders that were numbered but failed to get on or into any NLI index or catalogue and were effectively lost for ever in its stacks. Provenance is especially important because the Casement story involves long-argued accusations of forgery and thus all his archived papers need good provenance. They haven’t, which only adds fuel to the flames. Sadly the NLI does not make its accession register publicly available, even in edited form.

There were three NLI indices in modern times, the Hayes Irish Civilisation volumes (recently digitised) for all accessions up to 1965, a card index for several decades afterwards and, since about 1990, computer-based accessions. They have also usefully created several Casement special collection lists.

There are huge, and largely unnoticed, archival gaps in Casement papers despite his prodigious industry – he once diaried of writing 50 letters in one day. This tendency answers the much asked question as to why he wrote his famous Black Diaries. He just wrote everything down and could not stop. Gaps include pretty well all the letters he received from his cousin Gertrude and his long-time Congo Reform collaborator, E.D. Morel, not to mention those from boyfriends. His Belfast solicitor friend, F.J. Bigger from Ardrigh on the Antrim Road, on instructions from Berlin, initially hid the papers kept there, and later, after reading them, burnt the diaries and presumably all the letters.

Angus Mitchell in extensive *Field Day Review* articles (2012), has said Casement “understood the archive as a component of the memory of the state” which hardly tallies with the enormous quantity of his papers scattered across the world’s archives. He was well aware of their purpose and was always keen to keep his letters and articles for posterity – as justification for his actions. Mitchell also talks of, “The avowed requirement to control archival knowledge in the name of ‘national security.’” I have answered this view in my responding pieces in the online *Dublin Review of Books.* States[[5]](#footnote-5) and governments need some degree of secrecy but the fact that they keep records, and ultimately release them is a matter for gratitude if not congratulations. Increasingly they store non-state material which is another cause for thanks.

In conclusion, Madden controversially asks[[6]](#footnote-6) “What are the risks of institutionalization? Is the institutionalization of gay history part of the ongoing normalization and commodification of gay and lesbian culture – and if so, what resources does the archive offer to resist those current cultural and political imperatives?”

I leave his questions hanging in the air and will conclude with listing some problems and contemporary issues – the impact and archival organisation of electronic records and digitisation; the downside of FoI with comments in government papers no longer being recorded; the surfeit of archival material that leaves nothing for the social historian except distillation, and little to the imagination for the novelist; and the issue in Northern Ireland that the paramilitaries did not self-document, certainly not as compared to the state.

Worth noting however, having only just come across it, is the Bureau of Military History in Dublin. It recorded in the 1950s, and lately digitised, the memories of the surviving Irish from the War of Independence and the Rising. Some of those records are almost literary in their descriptive quality, others honestly brutal.

Lastly, I ask if the swing of the pendulum has gone too far in the other direction and do gay men, in particular, keep too many papers?

Jeffrey Dudgeon[[7]](#footnote-7)

7 June 2013

**Biography:**
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**Abstract:**

My talk will firstly cover the formation and location of Northern Ireland gay documentation and archiving, especially that of NIGRA; how PRONI has been of particular assistance in the matter where previously most record offices would have avoided such items; whether the ability of gay groups, in particular since the 1990s, to form their own manuscript depositories, like Hall-Carpenter in London and the Irish Queer Archive in Dublin and then to pass responsibility to national institutions brings any pitfalls and problems; whether there is a danger of distortion in that uninitiated groups and individuals get left out of history otherwise.

Secondly I will describe the role of archives in writing my book on Roger Casement; relate problems uncovered of provenance, of theft, forgery and omission; address issues around catalogues and accessions; and explain how the Black Diaries came to be found, used and then hidden until pressure from the North Belfast MP Montgomery Hyde got them released into the PRO in 1959. Another related view that, “The archive has played the crucial role in the privileging of a dominant narrative convenient to Anglo-Irish relations” (Angus Mitchell, History Ireland) will be analysed.

1. The Ulster Unionist Party has always tolerated variety in its parliamentary representation. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. D3762, NIGRA 1975-86 [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. D4337, Cara-Friend [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. [The Irish Queer Archive: Institutionalization and Historical Narrative](http://lgbtialms2012.blogspot.co.uk/2012/07/ed-madden-irish-queer-archive.html), 21 July 2012 [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Part I, *Casement’s War*, <http://www.drb.ie/essays/casement-s-war>, 25 March 2013; Part II *Casement Wars*, <http://www.drb.ie/essays/casement-wars>, 4 June 2013 [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. <http://lgbtialms2012.blogspot.co.uk/> [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. For NIGRA and Casement documentation see <http://jeffdudgeon.com/> [↑](#footnote-ref-7)